SATISFACTION SURVEY JANUARY 2013 - DECEMBER 2013

Total Responses	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
	1	4	1	0	1								7

Initial Contact with the Board

1. How did you first contact the Board's Licensing Unit?

•	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Telephone	1	4											5	56%
In person													0	0%
Website/Email	1	1	1	1									4	44%
Other													0	0%
													9	100%

2. Please rate the ability of the Analyst to address your questions or concerns?

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Excellent					1								0	0%
Excellent Very Good		1											0	0%
Good													0	0%
Fair	1	1	1										3	60%
Poor		2											2	40%
		•		-	•	-	•	•	•	•	=	-	5.00	100.00%

3. Please rate the courteousness and professionalism of the staff person who responded to your questions or concerns.

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	I otal	Percentage
Excellent	1	·	1		1								0	0%
Very Good		,	1										1	50%
Good		·	1										1	50%
Fair			1										0	0%
Poor		,	1										0	0%
													2.00	100 00%

4. How would you rate the timeliness of the response you received from the staff person?

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Excellent		1			1								2	29%
Excellent Very Good													0	0%
Good													0	0%
Fair													0	0%
Poor	1	3	1										5	71%
													7	100%

Application Process

••														
5. Type of Application	ı													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Registration application	ns		4		,	1							5	71%
License applications		1		1									2	29%
													7	100%
6. Please rate the ease				٠.		т.	- T		la .	10.	1			
- " .	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Excellent	<u> </u>		4										0	0%
Very Good			1			I							2	33%
Good Fair	-		3	1	-								3	50% 0%
Poor		1		1					_				1	17%
P001		1											6	100%
7. Was the application	processed	in a timoly r	mannar?										O O	100%
7. Was the application	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Yes	- Carr	1.00	111.6.1	7.15.	,	1		7.09	0001		1.01		1	100%
No		1	4	1									0	0%
		-1			1				- I			L .	1	100%
8. Were you contacted	d in a timely	manner reg	arding any	deficienc	ies in your a	applicatio	n?						<u> </u>	
•	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Yes		1	1			1							3	43%
No			3	1									4	57%
Not applicable													0	0%
													7	100%
How would you rate	e the courted			nd respon	siveness of	the state		who proce		application				
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
Excellent						1							1	25%
Very Good													0	0%
Good			1										1	25%
Fair			1	1									2	50%
Poor		1	1										0	0%
40	San Cara Tanan	P. C.	0										4	100%
If a licensing appli	_			ΙΔ	1.4	Line	1	A	101	10-1	N1	In.	T-(-1	D
0 "	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
													0.	0%
Online	<u> </u>	2	2	1		1							7	4000/
US Mail		2	3	1		1							7	100%
		2	3	1		1							7	100%
US Mail				1		1								

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
kcellent	1				_								1	33
ry Good				1									1	33
od													0	C
r													0	(
or			1										1	33
t applicable													0	(
	L	1			- L	ı					II.		3	100
How would you ra	ate your experi	ence with	the schedu	ling proc	ess for the Ca	alifornia	Psycholo	gy Supple	mental Exa	mination ((CPSE)		<u>.</u>	
,	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
ellent	1							- 3					1	5
Good				1									1	5
od													0	
													0	
or													0	
applicable													0	
арриосоло		I.				I.						•	2.00	100
How would you ra	ate vour overal	Lexperien	ce with the	BOP's Li	censing /Red	istration	Unit						2.00	
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total	Percentage
ellent				1. 1.	1						1101		1	2
y Good													0	
od													0	
•			+										0	
	—		_			 		-		+		H	<u> </u>	
or	1 1		21	1									Δ.	80

	Staff unhelpful, rude and unprofessional	Thanked staff for helpfulness, promptness and responsive all throughout the process
	Inquiries not answered	
January 2013	Phone messages not returned	
	Written responses not within 10 days	
	Took BOP months to alert applicant of problems with the	
	application	
	Due to unanswered calls resulted in delay in processing	Staff helpful, excellent, professional, polite and knowledgeable
February 2013	applications	Stail helpful, excellent, professional, polite and knowledgeable
1 ebituary 2015	Had to make several calls to fd out about the status of	
	application	
	Quality of Service is unacceptable	
	Unclear instructions on the website	
March 2013	Calls are not returned	
March 2013	Delays in PSB approvals	
	Staff unhelpful and unresponsive	
	Need an internal quality assurance person to monitor staff	
April 2013		
May 2013		