



## MEMORANDUM

<b>DATE</b>	May 11, 2015
<b>TO</b>	Board Members
<b>FROM</b>	Karen Johnson Licensing Coordinator
<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>Agenda Item 9(c) (Hand Carry)</b> Discussion and Consideration of Draft Supervision Guidelines (ASPPB)

At their May 7, 2015 meeting, the Licensing Committee drafted the following comments to be sent to ASPPB:

### Draft Comments:

- Requirement for broad & general experience at the practicum level is of concern. Practicum training in California is more focused, not educational and tends to address local mental health needs. The description of practicum experience in the Guidelines is not in keeping with the realities of training environments in California. California does not count practicum as supervised professional experience needed for psychology licensure. (Guidelines P.15 #37-39)
- Broaden number of hours and training of supervisors. Too restrictive in terms of the hour requirements. (Guidelines P.9 #199-202)
- Broaden possibilities to include audiotaping and process notes in the responsibilities of the supervisors as an alternative to observing videotaped sessions. (Guidelines P.11)
- California does not allow for the payment of supervision. The payment for supervision could lead to the exploitation of trainees. (Guidelines P/15 #28-30)
- Placing a minimum of 16 hours per week to earn post-doctoral hours of supervised experience is problematic. The limitation of the number of hours could lead to the denial of hours of experience. (Guidelines P.18 #403-405)
- Telepsychology guidelines are good and forward thinking. (Guidelines P.22-24)

**Action Requested:**

To finalize comments for ASPPB's consideration regarding their draft supervision guidelines.