

MEMORANDUM

DATE	May 9, 2016	
то	Psychology Board Members	
FROM	Jacquelin Everhart CE/Renewals Coordinator	
SUBJECT	Agenda Item 4 Approval of Minutes: February 25-26, 2016	

Approval of Minutes: February 25-26, 2016

Attached are the draft minutes for the February 25-26, 2016 Board meeting.

Action Requested:

To approve the attached minutes for the February 25-26, 2016 Board meeting.



BOARD MEETING MINUTES 1 2 3 State Capitol, Room 112 Sacramento, CA 95815 4 5 (916) 324-0333 6 7 Thursday, February 25, 2016 8 9 Stephen Phillips, PsyD, JD, Board President, called the open session meeting to order at 9:41 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties. 10 11 12 **Members Present:** 13 Stephen Phillips, PsyD, JD, President Nicole J. Jones, Public Member, Vice-President 14 15 Lucille Acquaye-Baddoo, Public Member Johanna Arias-Bhatia, Public Member 16 Michael Erickson, PhD 17 18 Andrew Harlem, PhD Jacqueline Horn, PhD 19 20 Linda Starr, Public Member 21 22 Others Present: 23 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer 24 Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager 25 Karen Johnson, Licensing Coordinator 26 Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Coordinator 27 Jacquelin Everhart, Continuing Education/Renewals Coordinator 28 Norine Marks, DCA Legal Counsel 29 Mark Ito, Programs DCA Budget Analyst 30 31 Melodie Schaefer, CPA-Division II, CAPIC Matt McAlear, MA, BCBA, CalABA 32 33 34 35 Agenda Item #2: President's Welcome 36 Dr. Phillips welcomed those in attendance, read the Board's mission statement, and 37 thanked Senator Bates for sponsoring the Board to have its meeting at the State Capitol. 38 39 40 Agenda Item #3: Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda 41 42 No public comments were received. 43 44 Agenda Item #4: Approval of Minutes: November 12-13, 2015 45

Dr. Horn requested minor changes to the minutes.

It was M(Acquaye-Baddoo)/S(Starr)/C to approve the November 12-13, 2015 Board Meeting minutes as amended.

Vote: 8 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Acquaye-Baddoo, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

Agenda Item #5: Approval of Minutes: November 28, 2015

Dr. Horn requested some minor changes be made to the minutes.

It was M(Starr)/S(Arias-Bhatia)/C to approve the November 28, 2015 Board Meeting minutes as amended.

Vote: 8 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Acquaye-Baddoo, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

Agenda Item #6: Budget Report

 Mark Ito, the Board's DCA Budget Analyst, presented the Board's budget report. He said that all programs within DCA participate in incremental budgeting, which means that the starting point for billing each year's budget is the prior year's Budget Act approved by the Governor. From there they make various current end-year and budget-year adjustments. The result is the Board's current year appropriations figure, which is included in the Governor's budget.

Dr. Horn asked if the budget was created with the assumption that no new programs are going to be added. Mr. Ito said that as a result of AB 1715, he would be working with Board staff to create a fiscal analysis of the bill and once it is approved, he can present it to the Board members.

Dr. Erickson asked how sure the Board members could be in getting repaid for the loans given to the General Fund. Mr. Ito said that it is his understanding that the Governor has directed finance get the loans repaid in order to remove the wall of debt.

Dr. Phillips inquired about items that the Board had spent more money on than was allocated. He said it is important to track items to understand why the Board is spending more than the amount allocated. Mr. Ito said that he can provide a breakdown of the Board's expenditures in the past three to five years so that the budget can be structured based upon those expenditures.

Dr. Horn agreed that if the Board knows where the money is going, it helps the Board moving forward if they decide to reallocate money.

- 91 Dr. Horn thanked Mr. Ito for taking the Board through the report step-by-step and Dr.
- 92 Phillips thanked him for all of his hard work.

Agenda Item #7: Enforcement Report

Ms. Monterrubio presented the Board's enforcement report. She reported that the Board's enforcement unit hired Crystal Martinez as an enforcement analyst and she started on February 12, 2016. She is responsible for issuing all enforcement-related citations, processing and reviewing subsequent arrest notifications, and assisting the Office of Attorney General with Statements of Issues. Ms. Monterrubio said that since the Board hired Ms. Martinez, there are no vacancies in the enforcement unit.

She reported that since July 1, 2015, the Board has received 529 complaints, issued 49 citations, and referred 20 cases to the Office of the Attorney General. She stated that the Board is monitoring 41 probationers who are all currently in compliance.

Dr. Phillips said that the number of licensed psychologists in California provided in the report for fiscal year 2015/16, was inaccurate and that it was actually 19,850. This figure includes both inactive and active licensed psychologists. He also said that the number of complaints is significantly higher than the preceding years. Ms. Monterrubio said that she is not sure what has contributed to the increase in complaints, but she is looking into it.

Ms. Arias-Bhatia asked if there were any trends amongst the complaints and Ms. Monterrubio said she would do some research and provide more information at the May Board meeting.

Dr. Harlem asked about the four dismissed Accusations. Ms. Monterrubio said that there was no Expert Reviewer training provided for a period of 4-5 years. In some cases when the Expert Reviewer testified, they fell outside of their scope of expertise. She said as a result, the Administrative Law Judge might have recommended to dismiss the case. She said the trainings would now be held every other year to avoid this from happening again.

Dr. Harlem requested that staff include the credentials of the practitioners who receive accusations. Ms. Monterrubio said that she would look into this and report back at the May Board meeting. Dr. Phillips said that the Board has received a request to provide more licensee information on its website and suggested that the BreEZe system be updated to include credentialing information.

Ms. Jones asked what the reasons for the 19 citations were and Ms. Monterrubio explained that most of them were for continuing education (CE) violations.

a) Changes to the Expert Reviewer Program

Ms. Monterrubio reported that there were nine changes made to the expert reviewer program. She indicated that the Board used to send cases over to the investigative unit to work with the Board's experts who would then report what their findings were. These findings were then forwarded to another expert for a final review and sometimes the findings were different. This was an issue when presented at a hearing so enforcement staff made changes to the program in order to resolve this issue. She said now there is just

one expert reviewer who will create a written report and testify to those findings at a hearing.

Dr. Horn said that staff has spent a lot of time reviewing the process and is pleased to hear that staff is expanding the locations where the trainings will be held.

Ms. Monterrubio said that Deborah Morales, one of the Board's enforcement analysts, spent a lot of time with the Board's Deputy Attorney General liaison, Josh Templet, to review the program.

Dr. Erickson thanked Ms. Monterrubio and Ms. Morales for all of the hard work they have done. He asked if there is room to increase the fees because he would like to see that the experts are paid as well as defense attorneys. Ms. Monterrubio said she does not know if that is possible but what the Board pays its experts is in line with what other boards are paying theirs.

Agenda Item #8: Executive Officer's Report

Ms. Sorrick reported on the following items:

a) Organization Update

The Board recently hired Jason Glasspiegel as the Central Services Coordinator and Crystal Martinez as an enforcement analyst. Chris Siepert, formerly the Board's Program Technician II, was promoted to a licensing analyst and she thanked him for working two desks at one time until his prior position is filled. She reported that Jeffrey Thomas, formerly the Board's Staff Services Manager I, was promoted to Staff Services Manager II and will remain as the Board's Assistant Executive Officer. Rob Loyola recently moved from the enforcement unit to the licensing unit as a staff services analyst.

Ms. Sorrick reported that there is now a licensing unit, an enforcement unit and a central services unit. Staff members Marjean Dupree, Jacquelin Everhart and Lavinia Snyder have moved to the central services unit after an evaluation of their roles and responsibilities showed that their duties were aligned with the duties of the central services unit.

Staff is looking to add a student assistant and fill both the program technician II and staff services manager I positions.

b) Other Informational Items

 A recent report from DCA's Executive Office states that Board members need to complete a form 700 upon appointment and then annually thereafter by April 1 of each year. The deadline to submit it online is March 15. Board members must complete the Board member orientation, sexual harassment, ethics and defensive driver training. Staff will notify each member via email if he or she is due for a training.

Agenda Item #9: Outreach and Education Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations

187 188

185 186

Ms. Starr reported on the following items:

189 190

a) Strategic Plan Update

191 192

195

196

Ms. Jones asked where the Board was in its strategy of reaching out to consumer groups.

Ms. Sorrick reported that the Board has a stakeholders list that includes consumer groups

Ms. Sorrick reported that the Board has a stakeholders list that includes consumer groups and that it is consistently being updated. She said that the Board's former student assistant

researched organizations both for licensees and consumers in order to maximize the

Board's outreach and that this project would continue to be carried out by the new student

assistant.

197 198 199

b) Communications Plan Update

200201

Ms. Starr said that this agenda item would be updated as needed.

202203

c) Social Media Update

204205

Ms. Starr referred to the social media update that was included in the Board meeting packets.

206207

d) Website Update

208209210

211

212

213

Mr. Thomas reported that previously there was a discussion at the November 2015 Board meeting to change the "consumers" tab on the Board's website to "public". He followed up with DCA to see if this change is possible and was informed that it is possible, however, a justification for the change would need to be approved by DCA's Executive Office. Mr. Thomas noted that out of the 43 DCA websites, only four did not have a consumers tab.

214215

The Board members agreed to keep the term as "consumers".

216217218

e) Update on Newsletter

219220

Ms. Starr presented the Board's Fall Journal that was provided in the Board meeting packets.

221222223

224

Dr. Harlem asked why the Board includes disciplinary actions in the newsletter and Ms. Acquaye-Baddoo said it is consistent with the Board's value of transparency. Dr. Horn

agreed that it is an important piece to the newsletter.

226227

Ms. Sorrick said that there is no requirement to include the Board's disciplinary actions in the newsletter, but she has received positive feedback from licensees about its inclusion.

228229230

231

Ms. Jones said that she appreciated Dr. Harlem's question and said that it is important to determine what the focus of the newsletter is.

- 232 Norine Marks, DCA's legal counsel, suggested that staff consider mirroring the State Bar magazine's disciplinary section where they have a small paragraph of what the factual 233 234 basis was for each discipline. The Board members agreed and felt this approach would be more educational. 235 236 237 f) Outreach Activities Update 238 239 Ms. Starr reported that Board member Dr. Horn and staff members Jacquelin Everhart, 240 Karen Johnson, and Sandra Monterrubio would be attending the CPA convention in April. 241 242
 - She said that Ms. Sorrick is awaiting DCA's approval to travel to the ASPBB Midyear Meeting in Anchorage, Alaska.
 - g) Access to Mental Healthcare in the State of California Campaign Update

244245

246

251252

253

254255

256

257

258259

260

263264

265266

267

268269270

271

- Ms. Starr reported that a recipient of this program would be featured in the Board's Winter
 Journal. Staff is working on providing the application as an insert in the renewal
 applications. Ms. Sorrick said that the insert would be two-fold. It would allow licensees to
 apply for the program and to donate funds.
 - h) Discussion and Potential Recommendation to Full Board Regarding Outreach to High Schools to Increase Licensing Population Access to Care
 - Ms. Starr reported that Ms. Acquaye-Baddoo and Ms. Sorrick are currently drafting an outreach plan.
 - It was M(Harlem)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to approve the Outreach and Education Committee report.
- Vote: 8 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Acquaye-Baddoo, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem)
 0 no

Agenda Item #10: CLOSED SESSION - FULL BOARD

- The Board met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3) to discuss disciplinary matters including Petitions for Reconsideration, Stipulations, and Proposed Decisions.
- **RETURN TO OPEN SESSION FULL BOARD MEETING**
- 272 Agenda Item #11: Regulation Update and Review: Possible Action 273
- a) Title 16, CCR Section 1397.12 –Consideration of Adopting Amendments to Uniform
 Standards Relating to Substance Abuse and Disciplinary Guidelines

Mr. Glasspiegel reported that the language was noticed on February 12 for a 15-day comment period which will expire on February 27. The Board received one comment from Dr. Arthur Thomas Horvath, which was presented to the full Board.

Dr. Phillips thanked Dr. Horvath for his comment and said that this is a statutory program imposed on all DCA healing arts boards. Discussion ensued and the Board members decided not to make any changes to the modified text based upon Dr. Horvath's comment.

It was M(Jones)/S(Arias-Bhatia)/C to delegate the authority to the Executive Officer to adopt the third modified text after the comment period ends on February 27 absent any negative comments.

Vote: 8 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Acquaye-Baddoo, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

b) Title 16, CCR Section 1380.5 - Filing of Addresses

Mr. Glasspiegel reported that the Filing of Addresses package was taken to the Office of Administrative Law (OAL) on February 24, and they have 30 (thirty) days to approve or reject the package.

<u>Agenda Item #12: Policy and Advocacy Committee Report and Consideration of</u> Committee Recommendations

a) Legislation Update

Ms. Jones presented the matrix drafted by Mr. Glasspiegel that provided current positions of the Board on various legislative bills. She reported that the Board had a position on SB 479 and AB 750, but they are no longer moving forward. The Board is watching several of the bills; thus, no action required for those items as this time.

1) AB 12 (Cooley) State Government: Administrative Regulations

The Board will continue to watch this bill.

2) AB 19 (Chang) State Government: Regulations

The Board will continue to watch this bill.

3) AB 259 (Dababneh) Personal Information: Privacy

The Board will continue to watch this bill.

4) AB 507 (Olsen) Department of Consumer Affairs

The Board will continue to watch this bill.

324 5) AB 611 (Dahle) Controlled substances: prescriptions: reporting 325 326 The Board will continue to watch this bill. 327 328 6) AB 618 (Maienschein) Parole: Primary Mental Health 329 The Board will continue to watch this bill. 330 331 332 7) AB 796 (Nazarian) Health Care Coverage: Autism and Pervasive **Developmental Disorders** 333 334 335 Mr. Glasspiegel presented AB 796. Ms. Sorrick said that staff's recommendation is to "Oppose" AB 796. 336 337 338 Dr. Jim Lantry, a lobbyist for Floortime Coalition of California, the sponsor of AB 796. 339 said that ABA is covered and works for some children, but it does not work for all. It is important that a psychologist or medical health professional be able to practice 340 according to what is best for their patient. The parents go with whatever treatment 341 the insurance companies are willing to pay for. 342 343 344 Dr. Horn reported that previously the Board stated it was not really the appropriate 345 body to determine appropriate evidence-based treatments. She asked if Mr. Lantry has approached the American Psychological Association or the California 346 347 Psychological Association, the major practice-oriented associations for the 348 profession. Mr. Lantry said he had not reached out to those associations because none of those were state agencies. Mr. Lantry stated that the interested parties 349 350 supporting AB 796 thought it would be best to go to a state agency rather than ask a 351 non-profit that is not under the direct control of the state. Dr. Horn said his request 352 would change the role of the Board. 353 354 Dr. Harlem said that APA has moved away from listing specific treatments. He 355 stated that although he agrees with Dr. Lantry that a multiple treatments may be needed to treat the patients, however, the answer is not to list four more treatments. 356 357 The idea is to keep the list open so that it does not prevent newer ideas from emerging in the future. 358 359 360 Dr. Phillips said that he does not want the Board to start dictating the scope of the services psychologists are providing. He said that mental health coverage in general 361 is very difficult to get through insurance companies no matter how much legislation 362 has been passed. 363 364 365 Dr. Melodie Shaefer from CPA-Division II, CAPIC said it might be more helpful for Dr. Lantry to approach the APA Practice Director because this is a national issue. 366 367 368 It was M(Horn)/S(Harlem)/C to accept staff's recommendation to oppose AB 796. 369

370 371 372	Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Acquaye-Baddoo, Erickson, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 1 no (Jones)		
372 373 374	8) SB 52 (Walters) Regulatory Boards: Healing Arts		
375 376	This bill has died.		
377 378	9) SB 128 (Wolk) – End of Life		
379 380	The Board will continue to watch this bill.		
381 382	10) SB 130 (Roth) Mental Health		
383 384	The Board will continue to watch this bill.		
385 386	11) SB 468 (Hill) Bureau of Security and Investigative Services: licensees		
387 388 389	Ms. Sorrick reported that the Board had a "Neutral if Amended" position. She said if anything changed, it would be brought back to the full Board.		
390 391	12) AB 750 (Low) Business and professions: licenses		
392 393 394	Ms. Jones reported that the Board previously took a Support position on AB 750, but it has since died.		
395 396	13) SB 479 (Bates) Healing Arts: ABA		
397 398 399 400 401	Ms. Sorrick reported that SB 479's language has been moved to AB 1715 (Holden). She recommended that the Board continue to watch SB 479 and if the language were to impact the Board, it would be brought back to the Board members for a position.		
402 403	14) AB 1715 (Holden) Healing Arts: ABA		
404 405 406 407	Ms. Sorrick pointed out that the Board received public input on possible amendments to the bill, which was highlighted in the text provided. Individuals from CalABA, Assembly Member Holden's Office, and the Autism Business Association were in attendance		
408 409 410 411 412	This bill was introduced on January 26, 2016. The last position the Board took on this language, which was previously in SB 479 (Bates), was "Oppose unless Amended" with regards to the exemption in regional centers issue.		
413 414 415	Matt McAlear from CalABA said that the "Opposed unless Amended" position the Board previously took was due to the exemption from licensure available for individuals working under contract at the California Department of Developmental		

Services (DDS). His team has been working with the Governor's office to see how they can make this work for everybody involved.

Helen Mader, the owner of an ABA agency in California and a representative of the Autism Business Association, presented the amendments that the Autism Business Association had proposed to be included in the language. A recent survey conducted by the Autism Business Association showed that the 33 agencies within its association serve approximately 13,000 individuals in California. One of their goals is to maintain capacity for clients because they do not want to limit the access to care for the clients with autism in California. For the past few decades, they have used the three-tier model that is currently represented in AB 1715. DDS also utilizes behavior management assistants within its middle tier, but these individuals are not represented in the bill. She reported that 58% of their middle-tier supervisors are non-certified. In order to ensure consumer protection, they are requesting that this category be added to the three-tier model so that the Board can regulate these individuals.

Matt McAlear requested that the Board change their position to "Support unless Amended".

Rick Rollins from the Autism Business Association and chief lobbyist for the regional centers, suggested that the Board take an "Oppose unless Amended" position because the changes they requested have not been made.

It was M(Horn)/S(Harlem)/C to take an "Oppose unless Amended" position until the following sections are amended in AB 1715: regional center exemption removed, adding a third category of registration, and amending the delegation of family members to be able to act per the direction of the provider.

Vote: 7 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

Ms. Mader requested that the language be changed in section (g) to include employees under a vendorized individual. Dr. Phillips thanked her for her efforts.

Matt McAlear said that he does not think that the addition of behavioral management assistant is necessary.

b) Update Regarding the California Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act (CANRA) and

Mandated Reporting - Penal Code Sections 261.5, 288, and 11165.1

Attorney General regarding clarity issues in mandatory reporting requirements per CANRA.

Mr. Glasspiegel reported that the Board requested an opinion from the Office of the

He indicated that the Office of the Attorney General still has not issued an opinion because it is in the middle of a lawsuit.

Dr. Harlem stated that he wants to remind people that the Board has a responsibility and that it can still take action. It is not reasonable any longer to wait for the AG's office.

There are youth in the process of discovering their sexuality who are experimenting with their peers and may be facing different forms of homophobia, misunderstanding, and pressures to conform at home. They go to their therapist or psychologist who are unable to provide a safe enough environment to discuss what is really going on. The Board of Behavioral Sciences (BBS) has clarified how they are interpreting CANRA and advising their licensees not to consider oral or anal sex by definition abusive. He said this was a bold move that has not resulted in negative consequences and this Board is still moving this discussion to another meeting because of the pending status of the AG's opinion. He wants the Board to continue to ask what else it can do.

Dr. Phillips stated that, according to his recollection, BBS sought advice from its legal counsel and that its counsel supported the position BBS has taken. He said that the Board of Psychology was told they would not necessarily receive the same support from its counsel. They were in a position where they felt like they had received conflicting information and insufficient basis upon which to interpret the statue without the AG's opinion. He thinks that this legislation does differentially impact minority youth as well as other youth, but the Board was in a quandary and looked to the AG's office for clarity. If the Board is unable to get an opinion from the AG's office in the near future, the question becomes what other avenues are open to the Board.

Ms. Marks said that BBS had asked its counsel whether or not there was a statutory change necessary to clarify that non-abusive, consensual acts would be considered the same. Her opinion was that there was no statutory basis to distinguish between particular sex acts being engaged by minors of like age in non-abusive relationships. Ms. Marks said she agreed with that opinion. She had advised the Board to be cautious about informing licensees that this was an appropriate interpretation of the law because we are talking about statutes contained in the Penal Code, which this Board does not enforce. She said the danger lies in telling the licensees there is no basis for this distinction; therefore, you can feel confident in not reporting behavior that you may have once thought was reportable. The downside is that the various district attorneys around the state may see it differently based upon what she understands to be 30 years of secondary sources that have made these distinctions. Her concern was the Board assuring licensees that they will not be subject to criminal prosecution for failing to report certain acts.

Ms. Sorrick reported that Assembly Member Garcia had AB 832 last year and the Board took a support position. This issue is important to her because there are many people in her constituency and in her former career as a teacher that the legislation would have affected. Ms. Sorrick suggested that the Board send a letter to Assembly Member Garcia to show its support in her continued efforts, which can be posted on the Board's website and in its newsletter. The Board needs a legislative fix to clean up the statute, short of getting the AG's opinion, to resolve this issue.

Ms. Marks said her caution is two-fold. Without indicating that there are other law enforcement agencies out there that have their own laws to administer, it provides a false sense of security to the Board's licensees. Her other concern is if the Board receives notice of conviction for a licensee because of a violation of those laws, the Board has the obligation to initially investigate those and look at them on a case-by-case basis.

Dr. Marilyn Immoos asked if the Board was in the position to engage the American Psychological Association (APA), the California Psychological Association (CPA), the American Association for Suicidology and other professional associations to see if they would take a stand if they have not already. It hinders psychologists' ability to provide therapy.

Dr. Shaefer suggested that the Board look to CPA to take a position. If the Board decides to communicate where it stands in its next newsletter, she is concerned that some psychologists may misunderstand that there is a separate issue within CANRA regarding child pornography. She does not want psychologists to think that the Board is taking a blanket position on all of CANRA.

It was M(Harlem)/S(Starr)/C to direct staff to ask BBS what it did after it received legal counsel's opinion and to put content in the newsletter that says where the Board stands in this process, and to send a letter to Assembly Member Garcia to show the Board's support in her continued efforts.

Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

c) Proposed Legislative Revisions – Discussion, Consideration, and Possible Action on Amendments to the following sections of the Business and Professions Code

Ms. Sorrick requested that the Board pay particular attention to sections 2913, 2934.1 and 2988.5. She indicated that sections 2915 and 2947 have not changed since the November Board meeting.

Ms. Jones said that staff and legal counsel reviewed and made changes to sections 2913, 2947 and 2988.5 and brought those to the Policy and Advocacy Committee for review.

1) Section 2913: Services by Psychological Assistants

Mr. Sorrick reported that the main changes in this section are the settings in which psychological assistants are working, supervision of psychological assistants provided by licensed psychologists or licensed psychiatrists and the application come directly from the psychological assistant.

2) Section 2915: Continuing Education Requirements; Practice Outside Fields of Competence

This language has not been changed since the November Board meeting.

3) Section 2947: Appointment of Commissioners on Examination; Qualifications

This language has not been changed since the November Board meeting.

4) Proposed Section 2934.1: Internet Information

 Mr. Glasspiegel reported that this establishes what information on licensees the Board will make available on its website. It would include their degree, a link to their website and any disciplinary action.

Ms. Sorrick reported that the Medical Board uses specialization as an identifier on the license lookup feature; however, because the Board of Psychology does not recognize specializations in statute, the alternative was to provide a link to the licensee's personal website.

Dr. Erickson asked how the Medical Board was able to list specializations on its website since it does not issue licenses based upon specialty areas of practice.

Dr. Phillips said based upon what he has seen on the Medical Board's website, it lists the diplomas of particular boards. This method becomes problematic for the Board of Psychology since some licensees have specialties that are not necessarily approved by a particular body. He said that if we allow people to self-specify it could be allowing them to misrepresent themselves using an official government website.

Dr. Horn said about 85% of psychiatrists are board-certified versus less than 5% of psychologists.

5) Proposed Section 2988.5: Retired License Status

Ms. Jones reported that the language from AB 750 was inserted into proposed section 2988.5 because AB 750 died.

Ms. Marks reminded the Board that this section does not currently exist in statute.

Dr. Phillips said this proposal is the Board's attempt to address the concern of older psychologists that there be some type of status where people do not have to give up their professional identity.

Ms. Sorrick said that AB 750 never got past Appropriations because there was a perceived negative fiscal impact.

It was M(Horn)/S(Harlem)/C to adopt the proposed legislative amendments to the Business and Professions Code.

Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

d) Review and Consideration of Proposed Policy for Legislative Positions for Inclusion in the Administrative Procedures Manual

Ms. Jones presented the proposed policy for legislative positions.

- 603 Ms. Marks said the Board might want to consider another policy on how to address 604 changes to legislation on which the Board has taken a position when it is near the end of 605 the legislative session and there is no time to have a Board meeting. The Board members would need to determine how they are going to delegate authority in order to provide the 606 607 Board's position. 608 609 Ms. Sorrick suggested drafting some new language to be included in the Administrative 610 Procedures Manual to present at the next Policy and Advocacy Committee meeting 611 regarding Committee delegation. The Committee can then bring this draft language back to 612 the full Board in May. 613 614 It was M(Starr)/S(Arias-Bhatia)/C to adopt the language. 615 616 Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no 617 618 Board recessed at 2:13 p.m. 619 620 Friday, February 26, 2016 The Board reconvened at 8:47 a.m. 621 622 623 Board members Lucille Acquaye-Baddoo and Miquel Gallardo, PhD, were recorded as absent. 624 625 626 Agenda Item #13: Reconsideration Hearing of the Petition for Early Termination of **Probation – Timothy Beach, PsyD** 627 628 629 The Board held its Reconsideration Hearing of the Petition for Early Termination of 630 Probation for Dr. Timothy Beach. 631 632 Agenda Item #14: Enforcement Division Overview and Presentation 633 634 This agenda item was postponed until the May Board meeting. 635 636 Agenda Item #15: CLOSED SESSION FULL BOARD 637 638 Agenda Item #16: The Board met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e)(1) to Discuss Pending Litigation 639 640 641 Agenda Item #17: The Board met in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code 642 Section 11126(a)(1) to conduct its annual evaluation of its Executive Officer 643 644 **RETURN TO OPEN SESSION – FULL BOARD MEETING**
 - Agenda Item #18: Licensing Report

647

Ms. Johnson, the Board's Licensing Coordinator, presented the licensing report. Chris Siepert and Rob Loyola received licensing analyst positions in the licensing unit. Staff's 650 processing times in reviewing and approving applicants is being maintained to two weeks 651 or less.

Agenda Item #19: Continuing Education Report

Ms. Jacquelin Everhart, the Board's CE/Renewals Coordinator, presented the continuing education report.

<u>Agenda Item #20: Licensing Committee Report and Consideration of Committee</u> Recommendations

Dr. Horn requested that the Board address how staff could determine which requests should go to the Board for future agenda items.

a) Discussion, Review and Consideration of the Proposed Revisions to Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations; Sections 1397.60, 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67, 1397.69, 1397.70 (CE/CPD)

Dr. Horn reported that the language is still under review by the Licensing Committee and hopes to bring it back to the May Board meeting.

<u>b) Overview and Presentation of the Department of Justice and Federal Bureau of Investigation Fingerprint Process</u>

Ms. Karen Johnson presented an overview of the DOJ and FBI fingerprint process.

c) Consider Licensing Committee Recommendation Regarding Request from K.P. for an Extension of the 30-consecutive Month Requirement to Accrue 1500 Hours of Pre-doctoral Supervised Professional Experience Pursuant to Section 1387(a) for the California Code of Regulations

Dr. Horn presented the Committee's recommendation to grant the two-month extension to K.P.

It was M(Jones)/S(Arias-Bhatia)/C to accept the Licensing Committee's recommendation to grant the two-month extension to K.P.

Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

d) Consider Licensing Committee Recommendation Regarding Request from M.P. for an Extension of the 30-consecutive Month Requirement to Accrue 1500 Hours of Post-doctoral Supervised Professional Experience Pursuant to Section 1387(a) for the California Code of Regulations

694 Dr. Horn reported that the Committee's recommendation is to grant the six-month extension to M.P.

It was M(Harlem)/S(Arias-Bhatia)/C to accept the Licensing Committee's recommendation to grant the six-month extension to M.P.

700 Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

e) Consider Licensing Committee Recommendation Regarding Request from P.C. for an Extension of the 30-consecutive Month Requirement to Accrue 1500 Hours of Post-doctoral Supervised Professional Experience Pursuant to Section 1387(a) for the California Code of Regulations

Dr. Horn reported that P.C. accrued the bulk of the hours within 12 months and did not accrue anymore hours until a couple of years later at which time P.C. obtained an additional 136 hours. The 30 consecutive months had ended a couple of years before P.C. accrued the 136 hours; therefore, P.C. is requesting an extension in order to count the 136 hours. There is some question that P.C. may have been given some inaccurate information from supervisors. The Committee's recommendation is to approve the extension and grant P.C. the entire 1500 hours.

Ms. Jones said that the Board previously discussed how it could ensure that supervisors are providing correct information to their psychological assistants.

Dr. Shaefer said it would be great if the Board could develop a sheet that provides the issues supervisors need to know about in addition to creating an information sheet for internships and post docs.

Dr. Phillips said one of the objectives of the pathways to licensure discussion is to make the language as clear as possible so that people know what their responsibilities are.

It was M(Jones)/S(Erickson)/C to accept the Licensing Committee's recommendation to grant the extension and the 1500 hours to P.C.

Vote: 7 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

f) Consider Licensing Committee Recommendation Regarding Request from K.F. for an Extension to the Limit of a Cumulative 72 Months to Renew a Psychological Assistant Registration Pursuant to Section 1391.1(b) of the California Code of Regulations

Dr. Horn reported that K.F's 72 months will expire in June 2017. K.F. has not been able to pass the national licensing exam. K.F. is requesting an extension based upon them being older, having an active practice and not going to practice too much longer, and does not want the psychological assistantship to end.

The Committee's recommendation is to deny K.F.'s request for an extension of their psychological assistant registration because it perceives it as a consumer protection issue.

It was M(Starr)/S(Jones)/C to accept the Licensing Committee's recommendation to deny K.F.'s request to extend their psychological assistant registration.

Vote: 6 aye (Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 1 abstain (Arias-Bhatia) 0 no

g) Consider Licensing Committee Recommendation Regarding Request from S.M. for an exception to the CE Requirements Pursuant to Section 1397.62(b) of the California Code of Regulations

Dr. Horn reported that this request came to the full Board at its February 2015 meeting. Additional information was requested in order to grant or deny the request. After the meeting, the file had been given to the incorrect staff person for processing. When the file was revisited, it was discovered that the licensee had never been contacted to request the additional information. In December 2015, staff sent a letter to the licensee and received a response with the requested information. The response was provided to the Licensing Committee at its February meeting.

The Licensing Committee's recommendation is to approve S.M's request for an exception to the Board's CE requirements.

It was M(Erickson)/S(Jones)/C to accept the Licensing Committee's recommendation to grant the exception to S.M.

Vote: 5 aye (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn) 2 abstain (Starr, Harlem) 0 no

<u>h)</u> Review and Assessment of Current Licensing Reguirements and Recommendations for Possible Amendment

1) Proposed Amendments to Sections of the Business and Professions Code: 2909, 2909.5, & 2911 (Exemptions); 2913 (Psychological Assistant), 2914 (Licensed Psychologist), 2915 (Continuing Education); 2940 & 2941 (Application and Examination Fees); 2942, 2943, & 2944 (Examination Time and Subjects); 2946 (Reciprocity and Temporary Practice); 2947 (Appointment of Commissioners); 2948 (Issuance of License)

Dr. Horn reported that the Licensing Committee is still reviewing the current language and will continue its review at its next meeting and provide an update to the full Board in May. It will then hold another Licensing Committee meeting with stakeholders prior to the August Board meeting and provide a report to the full Board in August. Dr. Phillips suggested that this meeting be webcast so that the other Board members can listen to the discussion.

2) Review of Proposed New Statutory Language Regarding Coursework in Suicide Risk Assessment and Intervention

Dr. Horn reported that the Committee is still reviewing the language.

3) Proposed Amendments to Sections of Title 16, California Code of Regulations: 1381, 1381.1, & 1381.2 (Applications); 1381.4 (Failure to Appear for an

Examination); 1381.5 (Failure to Pay Initial License Fee); 1387 (Supervised Professional Experience); 1387.1 & 1387.2 (Qualifications of Primary and Delegated Supervisors); 1387.3 (Non-Mental Health Services); 1387.4 (Out-of-State Experience); 1387.5 (SPE Log); 1388, 1388.6, 1389 & 1389.1 (Examinations-Waiver/Reconsideration); 1387.7, 1390, 1390.1, 1390.2, & 1390.3 (Registered Psychologists); 1387.6, 1391, 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.3, 1391.4, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.7, 1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11, & 1391.12 (Psychological Assistants)

Dr. Horn reported that the Committee is still reviewing the language.

Agenda Item #21: Enforcement Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations

Dr. Phillips presented the Enforcement Committee report on behalf of Ms. Acquaye-Baddoo.

a) Proposed Changes to the Disciplinary Guidelines - Title 16, CCR, Section 1397.12

The Enforcement Committee met on January 22 to review the Board's disciplinary guidelines. The Committee is reviewing the guidelines in a very systematic and detailed way. They will be meeting again to continue their review in hopes to have the guidelines ready for the May Board meeting, and if not May then August.

Agenda Item #22: Telepsychology Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations

Dr. Erickson reported that the Telepsychology Committee met on January 25 to review, edit and develop the draft regulations. The Committee's recommendation is to come back to the full Board in May with proposed regulations.

Dr. Phillips said that the Board received comments from Dr. Adam Alban and he would like to ensure that those are included at the next Committee meeting.

<u>a) Proposed Additions to California Code of Regulations, Title 16, to Address Standards of Practice for Telehealth</u>

This agenda item was moved to the May Board meeting.

Agenda Item #23: Sunset Review Update and Possible Review of Background Paper from the Senate Business, Professions, and Economic Development Committee

Ms. Sorrick reported that the Board met and reviewed the Sunset Review Report and continued and completed its review at the November 28 Board meeting. The report was submitted to the Senate Business and Professions Committee on December 1, 2015 and staff received follow-up questions from the Sunset Review Committee about a week prior to the Board meeting. Staff has drafted the responses for the Board members to review, which were reported as due Monday, February 29.

Dr. Horn asked if the term "citation and fine" should be capitalized and suggested that staff add that the Board has started tracking first-time test-takers in its response to question four. She said staff needs to explain why certain subject areas are no longer making up a large portion of the exam. She said it currently sounds like these areas were removed because they were too difficult. A more direct answer to question eight was suggested. Dr. Phillips said the answer should be that to date, travel restrictions have not prevented the Board from participating in activities that it wants to participate in.

Discussion ensued regarding the passage rates for approved schools. Ms. Sorrick reported that the consultants with the Senate Business and Professions Committee requested staff to pull data on disciplinary actions by approved schools and test passage rates on approved schools. Staff is not sure whether it can pull this type of data from BreEZe, but if it can, it will present it to the Senate Business and Professions Committee and to the Board. She said she received an email from OPES that morning to request that staff change its answer to number four, bullet point number four. The new answer would read "The Board increased its efforts in recruitment newly licensed subject matter experts who were more in touch with entry-level practice; however, the composition of the subject matter expert groups may have impacted the process." The Board members agreed with this change.

Dr. Erickson requested that bullet point number one in question four be deleted because it did not answer the question.

It was M(Erickson)/S(Jones)/C to approve the Sunset responses.

Vote: 7 yes (Arias-Bhatia, Erickson, Jones, Phillips, Horn, Starr, Harlem) 0 no

Ms. Sorrick said the Board has been asked to appear at hearing in front of the Senate Business and Professions Committee on March 14. She would be present as well as Board President Phillips and Board Vice President Jones. They will go through the responses staff has drafted to the questions that have been provided. There will be more time to ask any follow-up questions. Stakeholders are invited to testify on behalf of the Board's Sunset Review and there will be more time given more closing. The next step after the hearing is legislation that will be carried out by the Senate Business and Professions Committee. The Board has SB 1194 as the placeholder bill to grant the Board an extension until 2021. It also includes the five sections the Board has requested be added to the Sunset Bill.

Ms. Sorrick also reported that staff has been asked by Senator Hernandez' office to attend a hearing on March 9 regarding Access to Mental Healthcare in California. The Board was asked to provide a perspective on licensing and how the Board's licensing process works. The Board of Behavioral Sciences and the Medical Board have also been invited to attend.

Agenda Item #24: North Carolina Dental Board Examiners Case Review

883 Ms. Marks read the Case Review and discussed the standards that are applied to each 884 board as a result of the North Carolina case. The supervising agency over the state boards 885 depends on the action that is being taken.

Ms. Marks read, "...the state has a duty to defend and indemnify members of California professional regulatory boards against anti-trust litigation to the same extent that it defends and indemnifies state officers and employees against other forms of civil litigation."

Ms. Marks said that regulations are anti-competitive in nature. It is important that the Board members are aware of the impacts.

Discussion ensued between the Board members.

Agenda Item #25: President's Report

Dr. Phillips reported on the following items:

a) Presentation to Outgoing Board President Michael Erickson, PhD

Dr. Phillips recognized Dr. Erickson's hard work in his leadership and presented him with a Certificate of Appreciation on behalf of the Board of Psychology. The Board members congratulated Dr. Erickson and Dr. Erickson said how much he enjoyed serving the Board.

b) 2016 Meeting Calendar and Locations

Universal City was announced as the potential location for the next Board meeting location.

c) Committee Updates

The Licensing Committee is currently searching for a new date for its next meeting. The new chairman of the Sunset Committee is Dr. Phillips and the new chairman of the Telepsychology Committee is Dr. Erickson.

d) Other Informational Items

Dr. Phillips thanked Senator Bates and her staff for having the Board at the Capitol and setting up such a beautiful room for the Board to have its meeting.

He recognized Ms. Jones for getting through the regulation updates and Dr. Horn for getting us through the pathways to licensure discussion. He thanked staff and the Board members for their passion and diligence they bring to their job.

He recognized the efforts of the Outreach and Education Committee and Ms. Starr for her taking Dr. Gallardo's role of reporting on behalf of the Committee.

Agenda Item #26: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board Meetings

930	The Board discussed agenda items to	r luture Board meetings.
932	Agenda Item #27: Adjournment	
933		
934	The Board adjourned at 3:48 p.m.	
935	·	
936		
937		
938	President	Date