


MEMORANDUM

DATE	February 26, 2017
TO	Policy and Advocacy Committee
FROM	 Jason Glasspiegel Central Services Coordinator
SUBJECT	Agenda Item #8 – Update regarding the California Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act (CANRA) and Mandated Reporting – Penal Code Sections 261.5, 288, and 11165.1

Background:

In February, 2015, Assembly Member Garcia requested an opinion from the Attorney General (AG) regarding mandatory reporting requirements under CANRA, on behalf of the Board of Psychology.

The questions laid out in the request to the AG were:

1. The Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act (CANRA; Pen. Code, sec. 11164 et seq.) requires “mandated reporters” to report instances of child sexual abuse, assault, and exploitation to specified law enforcement and/or child protection agencies. Does this requirement include the mandatory reporting of voluntary acts of sexual intercourse, oral copulation, or sodomy between minors of a like age?
2. Under CANRA is the activity of mobile device “sexting,” between minors of a like age, a form of reportable sexual exploitation?
3. Does CANRA require a mandated reporter to relay third-party reports of downloading, streaming, or otherwise accessing child pornography through electronic or digital media?

The issue became subject to litigation which placed the opinion on hold pending the disposition of the case.

On January 9, 2017, a decision was rendered by the Court of Appeal of the State of California, Second Appellate District. This decision affirmed the judgement of the Los Angeles County Superior Court trial.

On February 21, 2017, the plaintiffs in the Mathews v. Harris case filed a petition for review with the California Supreme Court.