

### MEMORANDUM

DATE	July 30, 2018
то	Board of Psychology
FROM	Liezel McCockran CE/Renewals Coordinator
SUBJECT	Agenda Item #13 – Approval of Minutes: May 10-11, 2018

### **Background:**

Attached are the draft minutes of the May 10-11, 2018 Board Meeting.

### **Action Requested:**

Review and approve the minutes of the May 10-11, 2018 Board Meeting.



**BOARD MEETING** 1 2 3 Westin Los Angeles Airport Hotel 4 5400 W. Century Blvd. 5 Los Angeles, CA 90045 (310) 216-5858 6 7 8 **Thursday, May 10, 2018** 9 10 Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD, Board President, called the open session meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties. 11 12 13 **Members Present** Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD, President 14 15 Nicole J. Jones, Vice-President Lucille Acquaye-Baddoo 16 Alita Bernal 17 Sheryll Casuga, PsyD 18 Michael Erickson, PhD 19 20 Sevron Foo Jacqueline Horn, PhD 21 22 23 **Others Present** 24 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer 25 Norine Marks, DCA Legal Counsel Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager 26 Cherise Burns, Central Services Manager 27 Curtis Gardner, Probation Coordinator 28 29 30 Agenda Item #2: Presidents Welcome 31 Dr. Phillips welcomed the attendees to the Board's quarterly meeting. He read the mission 32 statement. 33 34 35 Agenda Item #3: Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda. The May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this Public Comment Section, Except to Decide 36 Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code 37 sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)] 38 39 40 Dr. Phillips explained that public comment is the opportunity for members of the public to make 41 comments on items not on the agenda. He reminded the Board that they could not discuss or act on any of the comments received. 42 43 44 Mark Trustin, Member of the Advisory Board at Ryokan College, stated that Ryokan was engaged in national accreditation and recently switched its focus to regional accreditation. He 45 stated that Ryokan would prefer to allocate their resources to the accreditation process and 46 47 nothing further. 48 49 Agenda Item #4: Executive Officer's Report

50	
51 52	Ms. Sorrick provided the Executive Officer's Report.
53 54	Agenda Item #5: DCA Executive Update
55 56	Ms. Sorrick provided the Executive Update.
57 58	Agenda Item #6: Petition for Reinstatement – Simone Ravicz, PhD
59 60 61	Administrative Law Judge Cindy F. Forman presided. Deputy Attorney General Brian D. Bill was present and represented the People of the State of California. Simone Ravicz, PhD, was present and was represented by Adam Brown, JD.
62 63	Agenda Item #7: Petition for Reinstatement – Roberto Velasquez, PhD
64 65 66 67 68	Administrative Law Judge Cindy F. Forman presided. Deputy Attorney General Brian D. Bill was present and represented the People of the State of California. Roberto Velasquez, PhD, was present and was represented by A. Steven Frankel, PhD, JD.
69	Agenda Item #8: Petition for Early Termination of Probation – Joan Dawson, PsyD
70 71 72 73	Administrative Law Judge Cindy F. Forman presided. Deputy Attorney General Brian D. Bill was present and represented the People of the State of California. Joan Dawson, PsyD, failed to appear for the hearing.
74 75	Agenda Item #9: Closed Session
76 77 78 79	The Board met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3) to discuss disciplinary matters including the above Petitions, Proposed Decisions, Stipulations, Petitions for Reconsideration, and Remands.
80 81	Agenda Item #10: Closed Session
82 83 84 85	The Board met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e) to confer with and receive advice from Legal Counsel regarding pending litigation.
86 87	Friday, May 11, 2018
88 89 90	Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD, Board President, called the open session meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties.
91 92 93 94 95	Members Present Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD, President Nicole J. Jones, Vice-President Lucille Acquaye-Baddoo Alita Bernal
96 97 98 99	Sheryll Casuga, PsyD Michael Erickson, PhD Seyron Foo Jacqueline Horn, PhD

100	
101	Others Present
102	Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
103	Norine Marks, DCA Legal Counsel
104	Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager
105	Cherise Burns, Central Services Manager
106	Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager
107	Liezel McCockran, Continuing Education and Renewals Coordinator
108	A need a litera #44. Dublic Comment for Itama not on the Amenda Note: The Doord May Not
109	Agenda Item #11: Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda. Note: The Board May Not
110	Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this Public Comment Section,
111	Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting
112	[Government Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)]
113	
114	Dr. Phillips explained that the public comment is the opportunity for members of the public to
115	make comments on items not on the agenda. He reminded the Board that they could not
116	discuss or take action on any of the comments received.
117	·
118	Sandy Ross, member of the public, wanted to bring to the Board's attention the issue of child
119	safety in child custody cases. She asked the Board to look at research on child safety issues
120	when addressing complaints. Ms. Ross quoted studies to the Board in hopes to educate the
121	Board as to her concerns.
122	board as to her concerns.
	Jaimia Cay mambar of the public analys about reunification programs. She stated how those
123	Jaimie Gay, member of the public, spoke about reunification programs. She stated how these
124	types of programs are unsuccessful, discriminatory based on socioeconomic status, and
125	requested active monitoring of these programs.
126	
127	Kathleen Russell, Executive Officer for the Center of Judicial Excellence, compared the
128	reunification programs to gay conversion therapy. She stated that she filed a complaint with the
129	Board against a licensee who is part of the reunification programs and the complaint is currently
130	being investigated by the Department of Investigations (DOI).
131	
132	Agenda Item #12: Approval of Meeting Minutes: February 15-16, 2018
133	<u> </u>
134	Dr. Horn and Ms. Jones provided changes to staff.
135	
136	It was M(Foo)/S(Horn)/C to approve the minutes as modified.
137	To was with some to approve the minutes as mounted.
138	Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no
139	Agenda Item #13: Budget Report
	Agenda item #13. Budget Report
140	Ma Duma manifold the hardest nament. Ohe stated that there are still much large with the EifCAI
141	Ms. Burns provided the budget report. She stated that there are still problems with the Fi\$CAL
142	program. Dr. Phillips asked about how the Budget Office processes the line items. Ms. Burns
143	stated that the Budget Office has the numbers, but because they cannot generate reports they
144	are unable to provide a break down.
145	
146	Agenda Item #14: Enforcement Report
147	

Ms. Monterrubio provided the Board with an overview of Enforcement activity. She stated that the Enforcement Unit is actively recruiting an Office Technician position. She stated that staff

had conducted expert training on April 13, 2018. Experts were trained in writing analyses, working with the Board's investigative unit, and testifying at administrative hearings. Board staff, the Office of Attorney General and the Board's Investigative unit assisted with the training. She stated that since July 1, 2017 a total of 926 complaints have been received. Ms. Monterrubio talked about Performance Measure 4 which tracks the timeline of investigations. She broke down the processes in each phase of investigations and how long it takes Board staff to process. Ms. Monterrubio provided the Board with the Probation Program statistics, indicating that there are currently 49 probationers, 18 of which are out of compliance.

Ms. Jones requested the breakdown of the Board's performance measures in the legislative visit presentation.

 The Board Members asked Ms. Monterrubio questions regarding the enforcement activity. She stated that since the Board moved from Health Quality Investigation Unit (HQIU) to the Department of Investigations (DOI), PM4b, which is the investigation length of time, has reduced significantly. She stated that only sexual misconduct on the part of the psychologist cases are still referred to HQIU. Ms. Monterrubio stated that although she does not know the statistics for PM4 for other boards and bureaus, she does know that other boards and bureaus are having a difficult time reaching the PM4 goal set forth by the Department. Ms. Monterrubio also stated that there are currently eight enforcement staff members and each staff member is working on 90 – 110 cases with a turnaround time of 10 days.

Kathleen Russell, Center for Judicial Excellence, asked to see a copy of the legislative visit presentation. She also asked if licensees who have multiple complaints are being fast tracked, and if not, then why not.

Ms. Monterrubio stated that the issue of licensees receiving multiple complaints was discussed at the Berkeley meeting on September 16, 2017. She stated that for each complaint received, staff reviews the licensee's entire enforcement history, compare allegations in prior complaints to what is being alleged in the current complaint and each case gets evaluated individually to determine how to proceed.

 Jaimie Gay, member of the public, asked if an allegation of child abuse by a psychologist is moved up in priority and whether psychologists who work for the court have immunity from the Board. Ms. Monterrubio stated that staff follows the DCA Prioritization Guidelines which emphasizes which cases are formally investigated by Division of Investigation and which cases are investigated by Board staff.

Dr. Jo Linder-Crow, California Psychological Association (CPA), asked the Board for more information on the legislative visits. She also asked how the Board is addressing the issue of lengthy processing times.

 Ms. Jones stated that when she mentioned legislative visits, she was referring to the visit made this past February to the legislature which was talked about in the last Policy and Advocacy meeting She reiterated that there were no new visits made. Dr. Phillips stated that the Board switched from HQIU to DOI to reduce the processing times. He also stated that Board staff is actively working with Gloria Castro, the Senior Assistant Attorney General of the Health Quality Enforcement Section Civil Division, to improve processing times.

Kathleen Russell, Center for Judicial Excellence, asked Board staff if they can explain what an interim suspension order is and to also provide the definition of Penal Code 23. Mr. Templet, Deputy Attorney General, explained how the application of Penal Code 23 fits in the investigation phase for specific types of cases to restrict the practice of a licensee and that the order is issued by the criminal court.

Jamie Gay, member of the public, asked what is the substantial evidence needed to file a complaint through the Board. Mr. Templet stated that for action to be taken against a licensee, the burden of proof is clear and convincing evidence.

Sandy Ross, member of the public, wanted to thank the Board for the report as it helps provide a better understanding.

# Agenda Item #15: Enforcement Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations

a) Amendments to Title 16 CCR Section 1395.2 - Disciplinary Guidelines

Ms. Acquaye-Baddoo stated that the Enforcement Committee met on March 8-9, 2018 to make the proposed changes to the Disciplinary Guidelines. The Committee also reviewed and made changes to the complaint forms and to the acknowledgement and closure letters.

The Board and Ms. Marks provided their edits on pages 3 – 15 of the Disciplinary Guidelines to staff.

Dr. Elizabeth Winkelman, CPA, requested that the Board consider changing the language where it states that sexual misconduct is an automatic revocation (lines 192-196 of the Guidelines). She stated that behaviors such as hand holding may be inappropriate but should not merit automatic revocation.

Discussion ensued regarding the reference to sexual misconduct and Ms. Marks clarified that when there is sexual contact involved, there is no discretion by the Administrative Law Judge regarding the discipline imposed pursuant to statute. Mr. Templet stated that sexual misconduct is broad but the law itself relating to revocation uses the specific term of sexual contact, which is narrow.

Dr. Phillips stated the discussion will be continued after the Board meets in closed session.

### Agenda Item #9: CLOSED SESSION

The Board met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3) and 11126(e) to discuss disciplinary matters including Petitions, Proposed Decisions, Stipulations, Petitions for Reconsideration, Remands.

### Agenda Item #10: CLOSED SESSION

The Board Met in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(e) to Confer with and Receive Advice from Legal Counsel Regarding Pending Litigation.

### <u>Agenda Item #19 – Overview of the Role in the Office of the Attorney General in the</u> Administrative Enforcement Process – Office of the Attorney General

Gloria L. Castro, Senior Assistant Attorney General of the Health Quality Enforcement Section, Civil Division, from the Office of the Attorney General and Joshua Templet, Deputy Attorney General, provided an overview of the role of the Office of the Attorney General in the Administrative Enforcement Process.

Once the presentation concluded, the Board asked how long prior discipline stays on record with the Health Quality Enforcement Section Civil Division. Mr. Templet stated that he has seen records for 10 years and Ms. Castro confirmed that prior discipline is considered by the Office of the Attorney General's Office especially when it is related to the same topic they were disciplined for in the past. Ms. Monterrubio stated that, for the Board of Psychology, prior discipline stays on file indefinitely and that information is transmitted to the Office of the Attorney General with the case. The Board also asked about the Office of the Attorney General's success rate in prosecuting Board cases and if this was due to adequately vetting cases before proceeding to prosecution. Ms. Castro confirmed that this is part of the liaising process and strengthens cases to meet the burden of proof, and for this Board, there is a good rate with only two withdrawn cases of the 30 in their current report. The Board also asked if the Office of the Attorney General' has its own prioritization guidelines or tool. Ms. Castro stated that although their office was not involved in the process of setting the DCA Prioritization Guidelines, they are aware of them, and the Office of the Attorney General can work with those standards and the needs of the Board. She also stated that highly complex and sensitive cases like the ones the Board has can take longer than the time DCA established for performance measures.

A member of the public stated that she is an international journalist caught in a custody battle in Los Angeles. She wanted to know how a court appointed psychologist is vetted and who oversees them. She stated there is a lack of oversight of the evaluators.

Ms. Castro stated that the Board does have a history of issuing discipline on child custody evaluators, however, it is very case-specific and each case is weighed on its own merits.

Kathleen Russell asked if "simple cases", which are those involving conviction of a crime, dishonesty or fraud and discipline by another state, are handled more quickly. Also, she would like to know why revocations are so rare for even egregious behavior.

Ms. Castro stated that the use of the term "simple" means that an expert is not needed to make the legal determination to file a determination. She stated she does not have the data, but these types of cases can have a shorter course of investigation by the agency. Ms. Castro addressed the issue of revocations and stated she does not have the exact statistics of revocations, but a license is a property right so the proceedings require adequate consideration of due process for the licensee. Licensees who lose their license are required to rehabilitate and provide evidence of how they are ready for reinstatement at a petition hearing where the Office of the Attorney General represents the people of California.

The Board asked Ms. Castro and Mr. Templet to explain how the Topanga case ruling affects the Board in terms of revocation and the disciplinary cases that come before the Board. Ms. Marks provided a brief description of the Topanga case stating that it is related to the analytical framework that must be demonstrated in any of the decisions issued by the Board. The decision must show that the evidence supports the factual findings and the factual findings support the

legal conclusions. Ms. Castro stated that it usually affects cases that reach the Superior Court by way of a writ rather than through administrative hearings.

Ms. Sorrick asked Ms. Castro to speak to Health Quality Investigative Unit's involvement in negotiating the surrender of a license. Ms. Castro stated that discoveries are made as early as possible and provided to opposing counsel. She stated that many of the Board's licensees have counsel who can advise the licensee to surrender their license. Surrenders are ideal for cases where licensees cannot demonstrate rehabilitation to the Board in accordance with the Disciplinary Guidelines. Mr. Templet stated that surrenders are a very helpful tool for both parties to streamline the process in those specific cases.

# <u>Agenda Item #15: Enforcement Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations (Continued)</u>

a) Amendments to Title 16 CCR Section 1395.2 – Disciplinary Guidelines (Continued)

The Board and members of the public provided their edits/suggestions on the remaining pages of the Disciplinary Guidelines to staff.

Dr. Schaeffer, Division 2 CPS, stated that the provisions on Tolling for Ceased Practice was confusing (2:31:42 on the video) and lacked clarity. Dr. Phillips provided an example of how this mechanism works, which provided clarity for Dr. Schaeffer.

Ms. Jones suggested that for the technical grammatical items, the Board could delegate to staff to make the changes suggested by Board Members. Ms. Marks provided language for such a motion and asked if there was any additional clarity needed in the language that Dr. Schaeffer asked about. Ms. Jones stated that the issue Dr. Schaeffer raised is a good reminder that educational materials with specific examples are needed for implementation rather than a change to the language itself. Ms. Sorrick stated that the Initial Statement of Reasons requires the changes to be explained and this would be a good place to include this clarifying information.

No further public comment was received.

 It was M(Phillips)/S(Casuga)/C to approve the language for noticing and set for hearing.

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

It was M(Jones)/S(Erickson)/C to delegate to the Executive Officer the authority to initiate rulemaking and to make any non-substantive changes including grammatical changes.

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

The Board suggested edits on the Complaint Form, acknowledgement letters, and closure letters. The Board members asked a question about the language in the authorization for release of patient information and if this language is too narrow for our licensees who provide an array of psychological services outside of healthcare settings. Discussion ensued on this issue and it was determined that the Board would send the Complaint Form back to the Committee with general suggestions that can be finalized at the Committee.

Kathleen Russell, Center of Judicial Excellence, commended the Board for revising these forms and letters and suggested including the DCA Prioritization Guidelines with the Complaint Form to better educate consumers filing complaints. Discussion ensued regarding the DCA's prioritization guidelines and which version would be included in the Complaint Form. Ms. Monterrubio explained it will be printed on the back of the Complaint Form.

 A member of the public asked if an acknowledgement letter was sent to all complainants. Ms. Monterrubio confirmed that an acknowledgement letter is sent for every complaint that is opened. She also stated that staff's average response time for the enforcement email, <a href="mailto:BOPenforcement@dca.ca.gov">BOPenforcement@dca.ca.gov</a>, is about two to three working days.

Dr. Schaeffer, Division 2 CPA, commented that it could be helpful to email these forms to recipients so there is a record of the letter being sent. Ms. Monterrubio stated that a copy of all letters is included in the complaint file.

It was M(Jones)/S(Horn)/C to accept the Enforcement Committee's report.

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

Kathleen Russell, Center of Judicial Excellence, asked about the different types of letters and whether the psychologist and complainant is informed of the status of the complaint when a complaint is opened, and an investigation moves forward. Ms. Monterrubio stated that all complainants are sent an acknowledgement letter, but status update letters are not sent out due to workload. She stated that anyone can call at any time to get an update on the status of their case.

Dr. Linder-Crow, CPA, asked whether a psychologist who is the subject of a complaint can call and get an update on the status at any time. Ms. Monterrubio confirmed that they can.

Dr. Winkelman asked if psychologists are informed when an investigation is opened, or a complaint is received. Ms. Monterrubio confirmed when and under what circumstances the licensee is informed of an investigation, noting that no contact will be made to the licensee if the Board does not have jurisdiction or if there is an undercover investigation.

## <u>Agenda Item #18: Licensing Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations</u>

a) Standardization of Training Categories

b) Pathways to Licensure:Proposed Amer

1) Proposed Amendments to Business and Professions Code:

§§ 25, 28, & 2915.5 (Training in Human Sexuality, Child, Elder, and Dependent Adult Abuse Assessment and Reporting, and Aging and Long-term Care):

- § 27 (Disclosure of Information);
- § 2903 (Licensure Requirements);
- §§ 2909, 2909.5, 2910, & 2911 (Exemptions);
- § 2913 (Psychological Assistant):
- § 2914 (Applicant's Requirement);

397	• § 2915 (Continuing Professional Development):
398	<ul> <li>§§ 29 &amp; 2915.7 (Continuing Education: Chemical Dependency and</li> </ul>
399	Alcoholism and Aging and Long-term Care)
400	<ul> <li>§§ 2940 &amp; 2941 (Application and Examination Fees);</li> </ul>
401	<ul> <li>§§ 2942, 2943, &amp; 2944 (Examination Time and Subjects);</li> </ul>
402	<ul> <li>§ 2946 (Reciprocity and Temporary Practice);</li> </ul>
403	<ul> <li>§ 2948 (Issuance of License); and</li> </ul>
404	<ul> <li>§ 2960 (Grounds for Disciplinary Action)</li> </ul>
405	
406	2) Proposed Amendments to Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations:
407	• § 1380.3 (Definitions);
408	<ul> <li>§§ 1381, 1381.1, &amp; 1381.2 (Applications);</li> </ul>
409	<ul> <li>§ 1381.4 (Failure to Appear for an Examination);</li> </ul>
410	<ul> <li>§ 1381.5 (Failure to Pay Initial License Fee);</li> </ul>
411	§ 1381.6 (Permit Processing Times);
412	• §§ 1382, 1382.3, 1382.4, 1382.5, & 1382.6 (Pre-licensing Courses);
413	• § 1386 (Evaluation of Education);
414	<ul> <li>§ 1387 (Supervised Professional Experience);</li> </ul>
415	• §§ 1387.1 & 1387.2 (Qualifications of Primary and Delegated Supervisors);
416	• § 1387.3 (Non-Mental Health Services);
417	• § 1387.4 (Out-of-State Experience);
418	• § 1387.5 (SPE Log);
419	• §§ 1388, 1388.6, 1389, & 1389.1 (Examinations-
420	Waiver/Reconsideration);
421	• §§ 1387.7, 1390, 1390.1, 1390.2, & 1390.3 (Registered Psychologists);
422	• §§ 1387.6, 1391, 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.3, 1391.4, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.7,
423	1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11, & 1391.12 (Psychological Assistants);
424	<ul> <li>§ 1392.1 (Psychological Assistant Fees); and</li> </ul>
425	• § 1397.71 (CE Provider Status)
426	
427	Dr. Phillips stated that because of time constraints, the Board would proceed to Item 18c and
428	will continue the above-mentioned items to the next Board meeting.
429	
430	c) Consideration of Licensing Committee Recommendations Regarding an Extension of the 72-
431	Month Registration Period Limitation for Registered Psychological Assistant Pursuant to Section
432	1391.1(b) of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations
433	Dr. Horn provided a summary of PSB #1's extension request.
434 435	DI. HOTH PROVIDED A SUMMARY OF FOD #1 5 EXTENSION TEQUEST.
435 436	It was M(Acquaye-Baddoo)/S(Erickson)/C to accept the committee's recommendation to deny
437	the request for a 10 to 15-year extension of the 72-month limitation for the psychological
438	assistant registration, but to grant a one-year period of extension from the current expiration

Agenda Item #21: Legislative Update

 date of the registration to make any necessary arrangements.

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

- 445 <u>a) Sponsored Legislation for the 2018 Legislative Session: Review and Potential Action,</u>
- 446 Recommendations to the Full Board
- 1) AB 2968 (Levine) Amend Sections of the Business and Professions Code Regarding the
- 448 Brochure Addressing Sexual Contact Between a Psychotherapist and a Patient

Ms. Jones provided an update on the bill stating that this bill heads to the Assembly Committee on Appropriations for its next hearing. Ms. Jones stated that no action needs to be taken

- 453 <u>b) Newly Introduce Bills Review of Bill Analyses and Potential Action to Recommend Positions</u>
  454 to the Full Board
- 1) Recommendations for Active Positions on Bills
- 456 A. AB 282 (Jones-Sawyer) Aiding, Advising, or Encouraging Suicide: Exemption from
- 457 Prosecution

 Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill stating this bill codifies the intent of the End of Life Option Act to create a safe and legal way for physicians and psychologists to assist individuals suffering from terminal illnesses to die with dignity. This bill simply codifies that professionals' participation in this process, when done in compliance with the End of Life Option Act, is not a prosecutable offense.

It was M(Foo)/S(Casuga)/C to support AB 282.

Vote: 7 aye (Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 1 no (Acquaye-Baddoo)

B. AB 1779 (Nazarian) - Sexual Orientation: Change Efforts

471 Ms. Burns stated that the author is no longer pursuing this bill.

C. AB 2044 (Stone) - Child Custody: Safety of the Child

Ms. Burns stated this bill would require, when considering the factors for child custody determination, or in overcoming the presumption against the award of sole or joint legal or physical custody to a person who has perpetrated domestic violence, that the safety of the child have priority over all other considerations. Ms. Burns stated that during the Policy and Advocacy Committee meeting, the Committee voted to watch AB 2044 and delegate to staff and a Committee Member to contact Assembly Member Stone for additional information on possible unintended consequences on the provision relating to the rebuttable presumptions for custody and visitation.

D. AB 2138 (Chiu) – Licensing Boards: Denial of Application: Criminal Conviction

Ms. Jones stated that the Committee recommends an opposition position. Ms. Burns stated the Committee recommends the opposition position due to the bill's potential to diminish consumer protections integrated into the Board's licensing and enforcement processes and its infringement upon the Board's legislative mandate of consumer protection. Additionally, this bill would impose impossible deadlines on the Board's petition process. Ms. Marks stated that in addition to the 90-day timeline to hear petitions for early termination of probation, it would be deemed granted if it was not heard within the 90 days.

It was M(Erickson)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to take an opposed position to AB 2138.

Mr. Foo asked if this is related to the ban the box campaign and asked what the response of other boards has been to this bill and if other boards have taken a position. Ms. Burns stated that they were receptive to these concerns and stated that other boards had other issues with this bill. Ms. Burns stated that she is unsure if some boards have taken an actual position yet, but others have expressed their concerns. Discussion ensued about the process for expressing the Board's concerns with the author and how and when an oppose unless amended position is recommended.

Vote: 7 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 1 no (Foo)

#### E. AB 2943 (Low) – Unlawful Business Practices: Sexual Orientation Change Efforts

Ms. Burns stated that this bill would include, as an unlawful practice prohibited under the Consumer Legal Remedies Act (CLRA), advertising, offering to engage in, or engaging in sexual orientation change efforts with an individual.

It was M(Foo)/S(Casuga)/C to adopt a support position

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

#### F. SB 1125 (Atkins) – Federally Qualified Health Center and Rural Health Clinic Services

Ms. Jones stated this bill would allow Medi-Cal reimbursement for a patient receiving medical services at a federally qualified health center or rural health clinic, to receive both medical services and to obtain mental health services on the same day they receive the medical services.

It was M(Bernal)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to adopt a support position.

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

### 2) Recommendations from Committee to Watch Bills

528 <u>J. AB 2143 (Caballero) – Licensed Mental Health Service Provider Education Program:</u>
 529 <u>Providers</u>

Ms. Burns stated that staff would like to talk about this bill. She stated that this bill relates to the current Licensed Mental Health Service Provider Education Program within the Health Professions Education Foundation (HPEF). This bill would add physician assistants who work in psychiatric mental health settings and psychiatric-mental health nurse practitioners to those licensed mental health service providers eligible for grants. There were amendments made on May 7, 2018 that stated that the added professionals would then have access to the Mental Health Fund which is currently only for individuals whose educational loans were incurred to become licensed psychologists. Staff recommends taking an opposed position on this bill.

It was M(Horn)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to adopt an opposed position.

Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no

Ms. Jones stated that the Board would continue to Watch the rest of the bills in this section and the section on 2-year Watch bills. Item d was omitted due to time constraints.

Agenda Item #22: Legislative Items for Future Meeting. The Board May Discuss Other

Items of Legislation in Sufficient Detail to Determine Whether Such Items Should be on a

Future Board Meeting Agenda and/or Whether to Hold a Special Meeting of the Board to

Discuss Such Items Pursuant to Government Code Section 11125.4

Dr. Horn asked if there was an update on CANRA. Ms. Jones stated that there is nothing new to report, but it will be added to the next meeting agenda.

Mr. Foo asked that even though the Board took an oppose position on AB 2138 if the Board can revisit this legislation and get an update in the August Board meeting. Ms. Jones stated that the Board will be provided with updates regardless of the position taken.

There were no legislative items for future agendas.

### Agenda Item #24: Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional Changes

- a) <u>16 CCR Sections 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8,</u> 1391.10, 1391.11, 1391.12, 1392.1 Psychological Assistants
- b) 16 CCR Section 1396.8 Standards of Practice for Telehealth
- c) <u>16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1381.10, 1392 Retired License,</u>

Renewal of Expired License, Psychologist Fees

- d) <u>16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1397.60, 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67</u>
   Continuing Professional Development
- e) 16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1381.10, and 1392 Retired

Board Meeting.

Psychologist License: Issuance of a License in Retired Status

For the sake of time, updates on the above-mentioned items will be provided in the August

### Agenda Item #23: Overview Presentation of the Legislative Process

Ms. Jones noted that due to time constraints the Board would not discuss this item specifically as it was for informational purposes only.

### Agenda Item #25: EPPP2 Task Force Report

Dr. Horn recused herself from this agenda item and left the room as she is an employee of the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) which currently owns the EPPP and is working on the development of the EPPP Part 2.

 Dr. Casuga, Chair of the EPPP2 Task Force, provided the Board with a summary of the EPPP2 Task Force meeting that took place on April 5, 2018. After the Task Force meeting, it was decided that the Task Force would send a letter to ASPPB expressing their concerns to ASPPB. The Task Force will be meeting again on June 29, 2018 and is expecting a response from ASPPB by then. Mr. Foo wanted to acknowledge Dr. Casuga's expert navigation and leadership of the large and diverse Task Force and expressed his gratitude.

#### Agenda Item #28: President's Report a) 2018 Meeting Calendar and Locations The 2018 Meeting Calendar and locations was provided to the Board for informational purposes. There were no Board or public comments b) Committee Update Dr. Phillips stated that there is still a vacancy on the Board. He also stated that there are no committee changes at this time. Agenda Item #29: Election of Vice President Ms. Marks stated the two Vice-President nominations from the February Board Meeting were Ms. Bernal and Mr. Foo. Discussion ensued whether we needed to open it for additional nominations or start over so that all nominees could accept their nomination. It was M(Acquaye-Baddoo)/S(Foo)/C to move to re-open for additional nominations for Vice President. Ms. Bernal accepted her nomination. Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no Ms. Marks asked those who wish to vote for Ms. Bernal to say aye. Vote: 8 aye (Acquaye-Baddoo, Bernal, Casuga, Erickson, Foo, Horn, Jones, Phillips), 0 no Ms. Jones provided suggestions to better the utilization of the Vice President. Agenda Item #30: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board Meetings. Note: The Board May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During This Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code Sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)] Dr. Horn spoke about continuing education and asked for an update on the Continuing Professional Development (CPD) regulations. Ms. McCockran stated that she is currently working on the Initial Statement of Reasons.

 Mr. Foo asked about probation's tolling process. Ms. Sorrick stated that in the next Enforcement Committee meeting, the Committee will provide an overview of tolling and how it works. Dr. Phillips stated that is an issue to first address in the Enforcement Committee then bring it to the

 full board.

Dr. Casuga had a follow up question regarding student participation in Board Meetings and highlighting items students will be interested in such as the EPPP2. Ms. Sorrick stated that the agenda is sent out to the stakeholders list.

642 Ms. Marks wanted to follow up on what Ms. Jones suggested about the review of the Administrative Procedure Manual and possible amendments to the manual to reference the 643 nomination of officers. 644 645 The following agenda items were moved to the August Board Meeting due to time restrictions: 646 647 648 Agenda Item #26: Outreach and Education Committee Report a) Strategic Plan 649 b) Communications Plan 650 c) Website 651 d) Social Media 652 e) Newsletter 653 f) Outreach Activities 654 g) Update on Outreach Plan for High Schools, Community Colleges, and 655 State and University System to Increase Licensing Population 656 h) Two-Year Outreach and Education Campaign Update 657 i) DCA Brochure "Professional Therapy Never Includes Sex" – Update 658 659 This agenda item will be discussed at the August Board Meeting. 660 661 Agenda Item #27: PsyPACT - Status of PsyPACT and Review of Board Concerns and 662 Feedback 663 664 This item is for informational purposes only. 665 666 667 **CLOSED SESSION** 668 Agenda Item #9 669 The Board Met in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3) and 670 671 11126(e) to Discuss Disciplinary Matters Including Petitions, Proposed Decisions, Stipulations, Petitions for Reconsideration, and Remands. 672 673 674 Meeting adjourned at 5:07 p.m. 675 676

677

President

Date