March 13, 2017

Nicole J. Jones, Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 9:07 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice has been sent to all interested parties.

Members Present:
Nicole J. Jones, Chairperson
Michael Erickson, PhD

Others Present:
Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer
Norine Marks, Department of Consumer Affairs
Cherise Burns, Central Services Manager
Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager
Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager
Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Coordinator
Natasha Lim, Licensing Coordinator
Rebecca May, Department of Consumer Affairs, Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
Konnor Leitzell, Student Assistant
Dr. Marilyn Immoos, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
Dr. Andrea Davis, President, DIR/Floortime Coalition of California

Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call
Nicole J. Jones, Chairperson, called the open session meeting to order. A Quorum was present and due notice has been sent to all interested parties.

Agenda Item #2: Welcome from the Chair
Chairperson, Nicole J. Jones welcomed everyone in attendance.

Agenda Item #3: Public Comment(s) for Items not on the Agenda
There were no public comments.
Agenda Item #4: Approval of Committee Minutes: April 6, 2016

It was M(Erickson)/S(Jones)/C to approve the April 6, 2016 committee minutes as drafted.

No public comments received.

Vote: 2 Ayes (Jones, Erickson) No-0

Agenda Item # 5: Overview of 2017 Legislative Visits with the Chairs and Vice-Chairs of the Senate Business, Professions and Economic Development Committee and Assembly Business and Professions Committees.

Ms. Burns discussed the 2017 legislative visits. She advised staff and Board members visited the Chair and Vice Chair of the Senate Committee on Business Professions and Economic Development, and the Assembly Committee on Business and Professions. In the meetings, they discussed the Board’s Omnibus proposal, as well as AB 89 (Levine). They revisited remaining concerns of last year which included the disappointment of AB 2086 (Cooley and Mathis), and the ongoing issue of telehealth. Ms. Burns confirmed both committees showed quite a bit of interest in telehealth as well as any potential issues regarding veterans. Our legislative proposals were well received, and the Board will have these meetings again next year.

Dr. Erickson advised he was pleased with the reception we received, and looks forward to us doing it again next year.

Ms. Jones appreciates the feedback as this is a relatively new process. She asked Board staff for a summary of the visit in the next board meeting packet, and thanked Dr. Erickson again for sharing his experience.

Ms. Jones asked for public comment. No comments were received.

Agenda Item #6: Sponsored Legislation and Legislative Proposals for the 2017 Legislative Session: Review and Potential Action on Language, Recommendations to the Full Board

a. Omnibus Proposal: Psychological Assistant Fees and Delinquency Fees in Business and Professions Code (BPC) Section 2987 (Fee Schedule)

Ms. Burns briefly reviewed what the purpose of an Omnibus Bill is. She advised the Board’s language which will need to be submitted to the Senate Business, Professions, and Economic Development Committee, was previously approved at the November 2016 Board Meeting. She advised it was brought to the Board’s attention that the Board may need to broaden the language for who can pay the registration and renewal fees of a Psychological Assistant, as previously approved language mandated that the fees be paid by the Psychological Assistant. The proposed changes list a cap for the fees that can be charged, and leave open who the fee must be paid by. This is necessary as some Psychological Assistants are responsible for their own fees, and others will be
covered by their employer. At this time, this bill is not yet introduced, and our language will be included after re-approval by the Board.

Ms. Jones thanked for the explanation. She advised the legislative presentation Ms. Burns provided at the February Board meeting was wonderful.

Dr. Erickson stated he has no concerns and thinks the additional amendment is appropriate.

It was M(Erickson)/S(Jones)/C to adopt the language as written, and recommend approval to the full board.

Ms. Jones asked for public comment. Dr. Davis asked if this specifically affected delinquency fees. Ms. Jones advised the affected section is only the application and renewal fees.

Vote: 2 Ayes (Jones, Erickson) No-0

b. AB 89 (Levine): Suicide Risk Assessment and Intervention Coursework Requirements – Addition of Section to the BPC (Coursework in Suicide Risk Assessment and Intervention)

Ms. Jones advised that the language for AB 89 (Levine) was adopted at the February 2017 Board meeting. Ms. Burns provided an explanation for AB 89 (Levine), and advised that the six (6) hour educational requirement in suicide risk assessment and intervention is an educational requirement for new licensees and a one-time requirement for current licensees that can be demonstrated if audited through their education, their post-doctoral training, or a continuing education course. AB 89 (Levine) is up for its first hearing Tuesday, March 28, 2017. Staff have sent request for support letters to the supporters of AB 2198 (Levine, 2014). The American Foundation for Suicide Prevention talked about supporting AB 89 (Levine), and is interested sending in a formal letter of support. Ms. Burns presented draft legislative intent language for committee’s consideration recommendation.

Ms. Jones thanked Ms. Burns and asked Dr. Erickson about the need for legislative intent language. Dr. Erickson is pleased with the bill, and thinks intent language can be helpful. After reading the draft language, he is left with the question of, whether or not psychologists need the training because they are deficient. He thinks maybe it should be added to the intent language that the Board of Psychology decided to lead the way, or something similar.

Ms. Jones advised she likes the updated intent language, but is not sure how important it is. She believes it might be better placed in advocacy materials, versus legislative intent in the bill.

Ms. Burns explained the benefit for advocacy materials and the difficulty with intent language because intent language is more directed towards what is the problem and what is the solution versus a broader letter for the bill and why the Board decided to move forward with this requirement.
Dr. Erickson appreciated the explanation believes and having as advocacy materials is more appropriate than legislative intent language.

Ms. Jones thinks that maybe a newsletter article may be helpful if written by a licensed member.

Ms. Burns thinks advocacy materials will start the appropriate conversation of acknowledging trainings received, but also potential shortcomings.

Dr. Erickson offered to co-author a newsletter article with Ms. Jones.

Both Ms. Jones and Dr. Erickson believe clarification through advocacy is more appropriate than intent language.

Ms. Jones asked for public comment: Dr. Davis, who is also with the San Gabriel Valley Psychological Association, believes personally, that it must be an important bill to be sponsored by the Board of Psychology. She has a positive response to the bill. She has been in touch with Amanda Levy and Adrian Lier with the California Psychological Association, and will continue discussions with them, but otherwise has a positive feeling towards this bill.

Ms. Sorrick advised we have been reaching to those interested in advocating for AB 89 (Levine). Ms. Davis is interested in advocating for AB 89 and will email the Board’s general email box and we will respond with our template materials.

**Agenda Item #7: Review and Consideration of Legislation: Recommendations to the Full Board**

a. **Newly Introduced Bills – Review of Bill Analyses and Potential Action to Recommend Positions to the Full Board**

Ms. Jones thanked staff for the legislative matrix. She reminded everyone that mid-February was the last day to introduce bills. She instructed that first, the Committee will review bills that have been introduced which staff is recommending the committee take a position on.

Ms. Burns advised some bills will not have a position recommended, but staff thought were important for analysis. If concerns arise with any under (ii) we will complete an analysis. Section (iii) is spot language but we will follow up once language is added.

i. **Recommendations for Active Positions on bills**

A. **AB 44 (Reyes) – Workers’ Compensation: Workplace Violence**

A summary of this bill was read by Ms. Burns. She advised staff’s recommendation is to watch this bill to see if additional language is introduced which would warrant a position. She advised at the current time, there is no direct impact to the Board.
Dr. Erickson states he does not know how violence in the workplace will be defined. He believes terrorism can be defined, but has concerns regarding allowing violence in the workplace to be included as it can have a very broad definition. He clarified that a Qualified Medical Evaluation (QME) is not involved in the Utilization Review Process, but rather a QME is used to determine compensability. Currently, utilization review can take as fast as 5 or 6 days. Does not know why the bill language increases the allowable time frame to 240 weeks.

Ms. Jones thanked Dr. Erickson and confirmed there is no action to be taken on this bill and staff will continue to watch AB 44 (Reyes). A Hearing date has not been scheduled, but staff will watch once hearing is held.

Ms. Jones asked for public comment: Dr. Immoos agrees that violence in the workplace needs to be defined as with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation that can be a very tricky situation.

B. AB 1074 (Maienschein) – Knox-Keene Health Care Service Plan Act of 1975

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Glasspiegel. He advised staff’s recommendation is to watch AB 1074 (Maienschein).

Ms. Jones him for the information and asked if any committee members have questions. No questions provided by the committee. Ms. Jones believes this bill should be watched with the caveat that staff ensure that the changes which the author intends to make are completed.

Ms. Jones asked for any public comment. None received

C. AB 1188 (Nazarian) – Health Professions Development: Loan Repayment

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Letizell. He advised staff recommend the committee take a support position on AB 1188.

Ms. Jones thanked him for the information. She confirmed our history of support for this topic, and previous our access to mental health campaign, and believe this is in line with our planning believes it is important to support this bill.

Ms. Sorrick clarifies, that fees collected by the Board of Behavioral Sciences (BBS) and Board of Psychology treated separately and are used for their own licensees respectively.

Ms. Burns also clarified that this bill does not include physicians and surgeons, and does not affect Psychological Assistants. This bill only affects licensees within BBS and Psychology.

It was M(Erickson)/S(Jones)/C to support AB 1188 (Nazarian) and recommend the full Board take a support position
No public comment received.

Vote: 2 Ayes (Jones, Erickson) No-0

D. SB 399 (Portantino) – Health Care Coverage: Pervasive Developmental Disorder

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Glasspiegel. Ms. Jones thanked him for the information. She stated due the Board’s history of involvement with Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA), and the ABA Task Force, opposition to the bill is valid. She believes staff should communicate with the sponsor our concerns.

Dr. Erickson states the ABA issue is complex, and believes this bill may address a piece of the story but does not provide a big picture revision. He believes that requirements are loosened in some areas, and also believes opposition is valid.

Ms. Jones confirmed Dr. Erickson agrees that staff should be in communication with the Authors office, our concerns regarding the bill.

It was M/(Jones)/S(Erickson)/C to oppose SB 399 (Portantino) and to recommend full board take oppose position, with delegation of staff to articulate concerns to author.

Ms. Jones asked for public comment:

Dr. Andrea Davis, stated the intent of the bill is not a reduction of oversight or reduction of requirements or training. She seeks to protect right of psychologists to proscribe ABA services. She states this is a clean-up from SB 946 (Steinberg Chapter 650, Statutes of 2011), which involves regional center oversight. Currently, only Applied Behavior Analysis is available to be used, and that is a problem. She believes these additional treatments must be covered by insurance, as they often cannot be afforded by families. She asks for the committee to reconsider their position. She believes more communication with the author’s office, to making amendments are possible. She wants to reiterate that oversight is necessary, as well as proper qualifications.

Dr. Erickson asks staff to speak to the author’s office.

Ms. Jones recommends we watch the bill and take the language to the April Board meeting or back to the May Policy and Advocacy Committee meeting or June Board meeting. In the meantime, staff can raise our concerns with author’s office.

Dr. Davis thanks the committee for being heard, and would like to support amendments proposed by the Board.

Ms. Jones withdraws her previous motion, and it was M/(Jones)/S(Erickson)/C to delegate staff to work with authors office and sponsor of this bill.

Ms. Jones asked for public comment. None received

Vote: 2 Ayes (Jones, Erickson) No-0
The Committee adjourned for a ten (10) minute break.

ii. Recommendations for Committee Watch Bills

A. AB 12 (Cooley) – State Government: Administrative Regulations: Review

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

B. AB 93 (Medina) – Marriage and Family Therapists: Clinical Social Workers

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

C. AB 148 (Mathis) – California Physician Corps Program: Practice Setting

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

D. AB 191 (Wood) – Mental Health: Involuntary Treatment

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for Committee comment. Dr. Erickson asked regarding scope of practice, if it is outside of the scope of practice for Marriage and Family Therapists and Professional Clinical Counselors to sign off on these documents. He requested staff review the bill and determine the next course of action.

No public comment was received.

E. AB 208 (Eggman) – Deferred Entry of Judgment: Pretrial Diversion

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Burns advised we are in constant conversation with our enforcement unit. Ms. Monterrubio confirmed we were watching to see how it may affect our casework. Dr. Immoos is interested in the specifics relating to the program. She believes the program is not a magic bullet and believes content is very important. Ms. Jones asked if we can flag this information to be added in the bill analysis.

F. AB 266 (Thurmond) – Inmates: Housing Assignments

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Dr. Immoos advised currently a specific form is filled out by the counselor, and the specific assignment is based on many things including a thorough screening process that all inmates receive which include diagnoses. If a positive screening is received, then a full evaluation is completed. She believes the screening in the bill is already done, and does not know the reason for this
bill, and believes this bill seems less thorough than the process they already have in place.

Ms. Jones thanked her for her comments.

Dr. Erickson agrees with Dr. Immoos’ concerns.

G. AB 349 (McCarty) – Department of Consumer Affairs: Licensure Applicants

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

H. AB 451 (Arambula) – Health Facilities: Emergency Services and Care

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

I. AB 456 (Thurmond) – Healing Arts: Associate Clinical Social Workers

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. Ms. Jones asked that this be flagged for licensing committee.

J. AB 470 (Arambula) – Medi-Cal: Specialty Mental Health Services

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

K. AB 488 (Kiley) – Mental Health Services Act

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

L. AB 492 (Grayson) – Public Records: Department of Consumer Affairs

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

M. AB 501 (Ridley-Thomas) – Mental Health: Community Care Facilities

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

N. AB 620 (Holden) – Prisoners: Trauma Informed Therapy
Leitzell reviewed. Dr. Immoos advised she has not read the bill. Wonders what the meaning is specified inmates. Believes over one third of inmates are treated in the general mental health programs. Psychotic disorders are treated in individual and group therapies. They have post release therapies that are provided. She is concerned regarding listing specified.

Dr. Erickson advised he is not sure why physicians are not allowed. Dr. Immoos advised the blueprint or program guide includes psychiatrist for primary physicians. Dr. Immoos and Ms. Burns discussed CDCR providing insight on bills.

O. AB 683 (Garcia) – Prisoners: Support Services

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

P. AB 689 (Obernolte) – Juvenile Proceedings: Competency

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

Q. AB 704 (Grayson) – Multidisciplinary Teams: Human Trafficking

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. Dr. Erickson stated he was thinking about mandated reporting, confidentiality, and the sharing involved, but agrees not enough information is known at this time. No public comment received.

R. AB 720 (Eggman) – Inmates: Psychiatric Medication: Informed Consent

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. Ms. Burns confirmed staff will continue to watch to see any effect on our licensees.

S. AB 1061 (Gloria) – Victim’s Restitution

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. Ms. Jones asked that we monitor the change in definition to sex offenses.

T. AB 1116 (Grayson) – Critical Incident Stress Management Services Act

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

U. AB 1134 (Gloria) – Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability
A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

Ms. Jones made a note to link this bill to AB 1188 (Nazarian), as this relates to scholarships and payments.

V. AB 1340 (Maienschein) – Medical Education: Mental and Physical Health Care

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment.

Ms. Jones advised this may be of interest to the licensing committee.

Dr. Immoos advised she recently met with the Medical Board and learned a lot more about their procedures. Again from her perspective this is something that potentially already exists.

W. AB 1456 (Low) – Professional Licensure

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

Ms. Burns expanded that this falls under exempt settings, and would increase those settings for those under this bill. We have no concerns as still even with our language.

X. SB 8 (Beall) – Diversion: Mental Disorders

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

Y. SB 27 (Morrell) – Professions and Vocations: Licenses: Military Service

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

Ms. Burns advised our Board does charge both an initial applicant and licensure fee.

Z. SB 191 (Beall) – Pupil Health: Mental Health and Substance Use Disorder

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

AA. SB 215 (Beall) – Inmates: Victim Advocates

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee comments received.
Dr. Immoos advised she is interested in looking at the bill. She advised there is already an option to receive outside care if necessary, but this bill has many specifications that may or may not be necessary.

**BB. SB 241 (Monning) – Medical Records: Access**

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee comments received.

Ms. Jones is curious to know how much people are generally charged.

Ms. Burns advised that is the question. Is it difficulty accessing records or difficulty paying for records?

**CC. SB 684 (Bates) – Incompetence to Stand Trial: Conservatorship**

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee comment received.

Dr. Immoos wonders if people are on the street that have done great bodily harm. She believes people are held until they are competent to stand trial.

Ms. Burns advised we are watching for changing definitions. Ms. Jones explained as we watch, we will modify our narrative as the bill amends.

**DD. ACR 8 (Jones-Sawyer) – Post-Traumatic Street Disorder**

A summary of the bill was read by Mr. Leitzell. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. Dr. Erickson asked about the effectiveness of a resolution.

Mr. Thomas asked if this does not change a code, where is it recognized.

Ms. Burns advised that if it becomes chaptered, than it may be come back as legislation again in the future.

Dr. Immoos, advised as a psychologist, she is limited to evidence based treatments. There is a procedure for diagnosing a disorder that has gone under research. It would be questionable to deviate from best practices.

**iii. Recommendations for Committee Watch for Spot Bills**

Ms. Burns provided an overview of spot bills.

**A. AB 244 (Cervantes) – Maternal Mental Health**

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.
B. AB 462 (Thurmond) – Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

C. AB 473 (Waldron) – Mental Health: Criminal Justice

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee comment received.

Dr. Immoos thinks a bill like this would be extremely valuable if it ties together counties. The idea appears to be beneficial.

Ms. Burns advised the Board will continue to watch for any changes.

D. AB 477 (Ridley-Thomas) – Community Mental Health Service

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

E. AB 635 (Harper) – Department of Consumer Affairs

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

F. AB 710 (Wood) – Department of Consumer Affairs

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

G. AB 800 (Chiu) – Hate Crimes: Hotline

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

H. AB 835 (Dababneh) – Consumer Affairs

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

I. AB 1261 (Berman) – Suicide Prevention
A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

J. AB 1315 (Mullin) – Mental Health

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

K. SB 70 (Bates) – Health Care Professionals

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received.

Ms. Monterrubio advised she is not sure who this will affect as a probationer can be tolled while on probation.

Ms. Burns advised the Board will continue to watch for any changes.

L. SB 142 (Beall) – Defendants: Mental Illness

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

M. SB 247 (Moorlach) – Licensing Requirements

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

Ms. Sorrick believes there are a few bills related to determinations by the Little Hoover Commission and believes this may be one of the bills.

N. SB 538 (Monning) – Health Care Service Plans

A summary of the bill was read by Ms. Burns. Ms. Jones asked for committee or public comment. No committee or public comment received. The Board will continue to watch for any changes.

Ms. Jones thanked for the work on the bills. She hopes on the watch bills that staff can incorporate anticipated concerns or reasons why we are watching the bill for all future memos.

Agenda Item #8: Update Regarding the California Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act (CANRA) and Mandated Reporting – Penal Code Sections 261.5, 288, and 11165.1
Mr. Glasspiegel and Ms. Burns reviewed updates to this agenda item. There were no committee or public comments.

**Agenda Item #9: Regulatory Update**

**a. Update on 16 CCR Sections 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11, 1391.12, 1392.1 – Psychological Assistants**

Mr. Glasspiegel reviewed the current status of the Board’s psychological assistant regulation changes. He advised staff is still reviewing the proposed documents to ensure they meet the necessary requirements for submission to the Department of Consumer Affairs to begin their preliminary review.

**b. Update on 16 CCR Sections 1387(b)(10)(11) and 1387.1 – Verification of Experience and Supervision Agreement Forms**

Mr. Glasspiegel reviewed the current status of the Board’s Verification of experience regulatory package. He advised the regulatory package finished the 15 day comment period on February 22, 2017, and staff is preparing to resubmit this package to the Department of Consumer Affairs.

**Agenda Item #10: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Committee Meetings.**

Ms. Burns advised there are a few bills that will be brought to the Board, during the April teleconference meeting. Some of the bills are: SB 755; SB 496; AB 508. She advised there may be others that the Board discovers.

Dr. Erickson thanked staff. Ms. Jones advised this is the first time she has attended a Policy and Advocacy committee meeting in person, and she enjoyed it. She advised there are the following upcoming meetings: May 15th and July 17th Policy and Advocacy Committee meetings, and two Board meetings, one in April and one in June. She thanked Ms. May from DCA legislative and regulatory review.

Ms. May wanted to add that spot bills will not move until something substantive is added.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The committee adjourned at 12:34 p.m.

*Signature on File*  ______________  ______________
Chairperson      Date