

Policy and Advocacy Committee Meeting Minutes 1 2 **Department of Consumer Affairs** 1625 N. Market Blvd., Trinity Room (Third Floor, Room 307) 3 Sacramento, CA 95834 4 5 (916) 574-7720 6 7 Thursday, April 19, 2018 8 9 Nicole Jones, Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 10:07 a.m. A quorum was present and 10 due notice had been sent to all interested parties. 11 12 **Members Present** Nicole J. Jones, Chairperson 13 14 Sheryll Casuga, PsyD Michael Erickson, PhD 15 16 17 **Others Present** Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer 18 Cherise Burns, Central Services Manager 19 Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager 20 Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager 21 22 Norine Marks. DCA Legal Counsel Liezel McCockran, Continuing Education and Renewals Coordinator 23 24 Agenda Item #2: Welcome from the Chair 25 Ms. Jones welcomed those in attendance and read the mission statement of the 26 27 Committee. 28 29 Agenda Item #3: Public Comment(s) for Items not on the Agenda No public comment received. 30 31 Agenda Item #4: Approval of Committee Minutes: May 15, 2017 32 Ms. Jones provided her edits. 33 34 35 It was M(Erickson)/S(Casuga)C to approve the minutes as amended. 36 Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no 37 38 Agenda Item #5: Summary of Legislative Visits on Wednesday, February 14, 39 2018 40 Ms. Burns gave a recap of the Board's process for legislative visits at the beginning of 41 each legislative session. During this visit, the Board members and staff spoke to the 42 Legislative members and their staff about the Board's 2018 legislative proposal and 43 2017 legislative accomplishments. She stated that the packet given to legislators and 44 their staff contained the information presented by the Board members. 45

Ms. Jones asked Dr. Erickson to share his experience. Dr. Erickson stated it was quite valuable to talk with the legislators and their aids. He indicated that it was a good use of time.

Discussion ensued regarding future legislative visits. Ms. Jones asked if there were other ways that we could maximize Board participation. Ms. Sorrick stated that we can survey the Board to see if they would prefer to come up before a Board Meeting or for a separate half day in January.

Agenda item #6: Sponsored Legislation for the 2018 Legislative Session: Review and Potential Action, Recommendations to the Full Board

 a. AB 2968 (Levine) – Amend Sections 337 and 728 of the Business and Professions Code Regarding the Brochure Addressing Sexual Contact Between a Psychotherapist and a Patient.

Ms. Burns advised that on Tuesday, April 24, 2018, AB 2968 (Levine) will be heard in the Assembly Committee on Business and Professions.

Dr. Erickson asked to discuss the bifurcation of the language as discussed in the Board meeting. Ms. Burns provided a summary of the language.

Ms. Jones expressed her thanks to Dr. Erickson for going to testify at the Capitol on behalf of the Board.

Catherine Campbell, Executive Director, California Protective Parents Association, noticed that language was changed from patient to client, and asked why the language was changed. She also asked if the patient is a minor, is the client the parent and patient the child.

Ms. Burns stated that the word "client" was a broader term that includes all psychological services and that in her example, the patient would still be the child and not the parent.

Agenda item #7: Review and Consideration of Legislation: Review of Bill Analyses and Potential Action to Recommend Positions to the Full Board, Recommendations to the Full Board

- a. Newly Introduced Bills Review of Bill Analyses and Potential Action to Recommend Positions to the Full Board
- 1) Recommendations for Active Positions on Bills
- 88 A. AB 282 (Jones-Sawyer) Aiding, Advising, or Encouraging Suicide: Exemption
- 89 from Prosecution

90 Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill. 91 92 It was M(Erickson)/S(Casuga)/C to recommend a support position to the full Board. 93 94 Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no 95 B. AB 1779 (Nazarian) – Sexual Orientation: Change Efforts 96 Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill. 97 98 Discussion ensued regarding the list of support for the bill. 99 100 101 Kathleen Russell, Executive Director, Center for Judicial Excellence, asked how this bill is different from AB 2943. 102 103 Ms. Burns explained how this bill extends the ban on sexual orientation change efforts 104 to dependent adults as well as children. AB 2943 would make sexual orientation change 105 efforts an unlawful business practice under the Civil Code. 106 107 108 It was M(Erickson)/S(Casuga)/C to recommend a support position to the full Board. 109 110 Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no 111 C. AB 2044 (Stone) - Child Custody: Safety of the Child 112 Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill. She advised that staff recommends the 113 114 Committee discuss and consider whether or not to take a formal position on the bill. Ms. Burns spoke about the bill analysis. She stated that staff reached out to one of our 115 enforcement experts who advised there are positives of the bill regarding training for 116 judicial council, but that there could be unintended consequences from other provisions 117 in the bill that are outside of the Board's purview. 118 119 120 Dr. Erickson stated he has a positive sense about this bill, but has concerns regarding unintended consequences. 121 122 Discussion ensued regarding unintended consequences of the bill. The Committee also 123 discussed alternate options the Committee can recommend to the Board including a 124 letter of support in concept to the author. 125 126 127 Ms. Sorrick stated that the Committee may want to bring this bill to the full Board with a watch recommendation. 128 129 130 Discussion ensued regarding whether watching the bill was appropriate. Ms. Jones recommended that the Committee watch the bill but also to reach out to the author 131 regarding the Committee's concerns about unintended consequences with specific 132 133 provisions of the bill.

Catherine Campbell, stated that her organization supports this bill because it goes towards child safety. Perpetrators of domestic violence are getting custody of children and evaluators are missing the abuse and giving perpetrators custody. She stated that we are living the unintended consequences and that children are still in the custody of abusive parents. In the past 10 years, we have had over 600 murders of children, including one that happened in Yolo county where the abusive parent killed the children.

Kathleen Russell, expressed appreciation to Dr. Erickson in expressing the importance of this legislation. She thought she had previously made it clear to the Board that this bill directly relates to psychologists in California and is in the purview of the Board. She stated there have been 53 children murdered by a divorcing parent in the last decade in California, and the reality now is worse than any unintended consequences of the bill.

It was M/(Erickson)/S(Casuga)/C to watch the bill and delegate to staff and an available Committee member to have a phone call with the author's office to relate the Committees concerns regarding unintended consequences and report back to the full Board.

Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no

D. AB 2138 (Chiu) – Licensing Boards: Denial of Application: Criminal Conviction Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill. She stated that the bill was amended on April 2, 2018, and provided the amendments to the Committee.

Ms. Monterrubio explained the current process for the denial of licenses and the appeal process.

Ms. Jones asked if we can put some of the enforcement information that was just heard into the analysis.

Ms. Marks stated this bill would prohibit you from denying a license in certain categories that you might otherwise want to have your eye on.

It was M(Casuga)/S(Erickson)/C to recommend the Board to take an Oppose position.

Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no

E. AB 2943 (Low) – Unlawful Business Practices: Sexual Orientation Change Efforts

- Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill. She advised this bill would categorize sexual orientation change efforts as an unlawful business practice throughout this state. Ms.
- Burns also listed the organizations that have taken a support or oppose position on the
- 177 bill.

179 180 181	Ms. Sorrick stated that there was a brief discussion with Assembly Member Low during the Board's legislative visit so we knew this bill was coming.
182	Dr. Jo Linder-Crow, Chief Executive Officer, California Psychological Association (CPA), stated they are in strong support of this bill.
183 184	stated they are in strong support of this bill.
185 186	It was M/(Erickson)/S(Casuga)/C to recommend the Board take a Support position.
187 188	Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no
189 190	F. SB 1125 (Atkins) – Federally Qualified Health Center and Rural Health Clinic Services
191 192	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
193 194	It was M/(Casuga)/S(Erickson)/C to recommend the Board take a Support position.
195 196	Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no
197 198	2) Recommendations for Committee to Watch Bills
199 200	A. AB 1436 (Berman) – Board of Behavioral Sciences: Licensees: suicide prevention training
201 202	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
203 204	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
205 206 207	B. AB 1659 (Low) – Healing Arts Boards: Inactive Licenses Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
208 209	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
210 211 212	C. AB 1893 (Maienschein) – Maternal Mental Health: Federal Funding Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
213 214	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
215 216 217	 D. AB 1896 (Cervantes) – Sexual Assault Counselor-Victim Privilege – Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
218 219	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
220 221	E. AB 1968 (Low) – Mental Health: Firearms Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.

225 226	,			
227	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
228	•			
229	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.			
230				
231	G. AB 2022 (Chu) – Pupil Health: On-Campus Mental Health Professionals			
232	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
233				
234	Amanda Levy, CPA's Director of Government Affairs, stated that she believes the bill			
235	has been amended since and encouraged the Committee to look at recent			
236	amendments.			
237				
238	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.			
239				
240	H. AB 2117 (Arambula) – Marriage and Family Therapists: Clinical Social Workers:			
241	Professional Clinical Counselors			
242	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
243	TI 0 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 ''' 1 '''			
244	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.			
245	LAD 2440 (Claria) Factor Cara, Candar Affirming Health Cara and Bahaviaral			
246	I. AB 2119 (Gloria) – Foster Care: Gender Affirming Health Care and Behavioral Health Services			
247	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
248 249	ivis. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
250	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.			
251	The Committee agreed with stair's recommendation to water the bill.			
252	J. AB 2143 (Caballero) – Licensed Mental Health Service Provider Education			
253	Program: Providers			
254	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
255	Zanna promasa sin a rannan an ana ann			
256	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.			
257				
258	K. AB 2156 (Chen) – Mental Health Services: Gravely Disabled			
259	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.			
260				
261	Amanda Levy, CPA, advised this bill will not be moving forward but similar language to			
262	this bill will be included in AB 1971.			
263				
264	Dr. Casuga stated changes to access will make it even harder for individuals who have			
265	an intellectual disability to receive services. She stated that sometimes the current law			

The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.

268	
269	Ms. Sorrick advised that staff can add the bill to the May meeting for the Board to
270	discuss.
271	
272	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
273	
274	L. AB 2193 (Maienschein) – Maternal Mental Health
275	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
276	·
277	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
278	
279	M. AB 2483 (Voepel) – Department of Consumer Affairs: Office of Supervision of
280	Occupational Boards
281	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
282	
283	Dr. Erickson asked if this bill relates to the Supreme Court decision involving the North
284	Carolina Dental Board. Ms. Burns advised she is not sure but will look into it.
285	
286	Ms. Sorrick asked if CPA took a position on the bill.
287	
288	Ms. Levy was not sure but will look into it.
289	
290	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
291	
292	N. AB 2539 (Mathis) – California Physician Corps Program: Practice Setting
293	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
294	The Committee commend with staff's measurement of the term to write the bill
295	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
296	O. AD 2040 (Allen) Coverely Montelly III Children
297	O. AB 2619 (Allen) – Severely Mentally III Children
298	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
299	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the hill
300	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
301 302	P. AB 2780 (Bloom) – Family Law: Support Orders and Child Custody
	` ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '
303	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.

The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.

Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.

AB 2861 (Salas) - Medi-Cal: Telehealth: Substance Use Disorder Services

is applied to individuals who do not have an intellectual disability, such as autism, and

some facilities will not provide services to them.

266

267

303 304

305 306

307

308 309 Q.

311	
312	R. SB 1371 (Morrell) – Occupational Licensing: List
313	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
314	
315	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
316	
317	3) Recommendations for Committee to Watch Spot Bills
318	A AD 2442 (Continue) Montal Hoolth
319	A. AB 2442 (Santiago) – Mental Health Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
320 321	ivis. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
321 322	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
323	The committee agreed with stair a recommendation to water the bill.
324	B. SB 1134 (Newman) – Mental Health Services Fund
325	Ms. Burns provided an overview of the bill.
326	
327	The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.
328	
329	b. Review of 2-Year Bills with Watch Position
330	Ms. Burns asked the Committee if they wanted a review of each bill in this agenda item.
331	Ms. Jones asked if the Committee had any questions regarding the bills in this agenda
332	item. No questions were received from the Committee or public. The Committee agreed
333 334	with staff's recommendation to continue watching these bills.
33 4 335	Agenda Item #8: Review and Consideration of Statutory Revisions to Section
336	2960.1 of the Business and Professions Code Regarding Denial, Suspension and
337	Revocation for Acts of Sexual Contact
338	
339	Ms. Burns reminded the Committee of the decision made at the February Board
340	meeting to bifurcate the legislative proposal which would have modified the Board's
341	requirement for when to disseminate the Board's "Professional Therapy Never Includes
342	Sex" brochure, and modify the Board's provisions relating to discipline for acts of sexual
343	contact with a client or former client. She advised the Board voted to move forward with
344	modifying the brochure, but asked the Policy and Advocacy Committee to review the
345	proposal to modify the provisions in 2960.1 of the Business and Professions Code. She
346	advised the language provided in the memo is for the Committee's discussion.

Ms. Jones asked Ms. Monterrubio her thoughts on whether this language is sufficient for

Ms. Monterrubio advised she agrees with the language provided. She believes this language will help the Office of the Attorney General and Administrative Law Judges

The Committee agreed with staff's recommendation to watch the bill.

 enforcements needs.

during the hearings and proposed decisions, but allows the Board to maintain its ability to modify the proposed decision of an Administrative Law Judge.

Discussion ensued regarding the need for the definition for sexual behavior to be broad enough to be useful to the Enforcement Unit and the prosecution of these types of cases.

Dr. Casuga stated that cultural differences aren't considered in this law, and is wondering how we can account for cultural variations.

Discussion ensued regarding the relevance of certain behaviors that may be considered culturally appropriate within the therapeutic environment. Some discussion was had regarding whether holding the hand of a crying patient or a similar act would be appropriate based on the changes presented in 2960.1 and how these would be handled in the complaint process.

Ms. Monterrubio advised if a complaint were received regarding hand holding, it would be sent to a Subject Matter Expert for review, but the Enforcement Unit is usually looking at a complaint with multiple incidents that occurred over a period of time.

Dr. Erickson asked how the changes to 2960.1 would change the range of discipline that an Administrative Law Judge could recommend. Ms. Monterrubio stated that the Administrative Law Judge could recommend under current law that the license be subject to revocation or probation, and under the proposed language, the Administrative Law Judge could only recommend revocation of the license, but reminded the Committee that the Board retains full authority to modify the decision of the Administrative Law Judge.

 Ms. Jones asked if the intent of the language is to say that it is inappropriate contact because there was a pattern or context to the behaviors, or specifically because it was a boundary violation. Ms. Burns stated that we are seeing patterns of inappropriate behaviors and it creates a nexus that usually leads to sexual contact.

Ms. Sorrick talked about the pros and cons to having a broad definition and asked if we can tackle some of the concerns of what we mean by sexual behaviors in intent language. She specified that we are not talking about a kiss on the cheek but seriously egregious behaviors.

Discussion ensued regarding how and where to incorporate the examples of egregious behaviors, and in what situations behaviors like hand holding might be appropriate. Additionally, discussion ensued regarding what types of communications might qualify as inappropriate and of a sexual nature.

Ms. Burns asked if using the language for sexual contact might help in clarifying this issue where it would require that the behavior or communication was for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, exploitation or abuse.

Discussion ensued regarding whether this additional language would effectively narrow the definition of sexual behavior and communication for the Board's purposes.

Dr. Elizabeth Winkelman, CPA's Director of Professional Affairs, asked if it was possible to revoke a license if the psychologist did not have sex with a patient. Ms. Monterrubio stated that it is possible, and Ms. Marks clarified that a boundary violation does not hold the same weight with an Administrative Law Judge as if it was sexual contact. Dr. Winkelman stated it would be good to explain this issue in the stakeholder meeting, and how this affects enforcement. She also stated that the Board should make sure that the language regarding abuse and exploitation is consistent with other uses of this language in the code.

It was M/(Erickson)S/(Casuga)/C to present the language as amended to the stakeholder group meeting.

Vote: 3 aye (Casuga, Erickson, Jones), 0 no

Agenda Item #9: Regulatory Update

- a. 16 CCR Sections 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11, 1391.12, 1392.1 Psychological Assistants
- b. 16 CCR Section 1396.8 Standards of Practice for Telehealth
- c. 16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1381.10, 1392 Retired License, Renewal of Expired License, Psychologist Fees
- d. 16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1397.60, 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67 Continuing Professional Development

Ms. Burns reviewed the status of the Boards regulatory packages.

Ms. Jones asked if staff could create a visual aid to assist with showing the status and progression of the Board's regulatory packages.

Ms. Burns advised this can be created.

- Agenda Item #10: Update Regarding the California Child Abuse and Neglect
 Reporting Act (CANRA) and Mandated Reporting Penal Code Sections 261.5, 288,
 and 11165.1.
- 436 Ms. Burns advised there has been no change since last reported.

438 Agenda Item #11: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Committee 439 Meetings.

440	None received	
441		
442	The Committee adjourned at 2:44 pm.	
443		
444		
445	Signature on file	
446	Chairperson	Date