

1	BOARD MEETING TELECONFERENCE
2	
3 4	NOTE: Pursuant to the provisions of Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20, dated March 17, 2020, neither Board member locations nor a public meeting
5	location were provided.
6	•
7	Thursday, July 9, 2020
8	
9	Members Present
10	Seyron Foo, President
11	Mary Harb Sheets, PhD, Vice-President
12	Sheryll Casuga, PsyD
13	Marisela Cervantes
14	Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD
15	Lea Tate, PsyD
16	Marchan Abard
17	Members Absent Alita Bernal
18	
19	Shacunda Rodgers, PhD
20	Land Councel
21	Legal Counsel
22	Norine Marks
23 24	Others Present
25	Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
26	Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer
27	Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Program Manager
28	Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager
29	Evan Gage, Special Projects Analyst
30	
	Thursday, July 9, 2020
31	
32	Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum
33 34	Seyron Foo, Board President, called the open session meeting to order at 10:03 a.m. A
35	quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties.
36	quorum was present and ade nedes had seen sent to an interested parties.
37	Agenda Item #2: President's Welcome
38	Ma Faranda da del de anticipato de CD DESE CONTROL CON
39	Mr. Foo acknowledged the reappointments of Drs. Phillips and Harb Sheets to the
40	Board in June 2020. Dr. Phillips commented on Mr. Foo's reappointment as well.
41	
42	No public comment offered.
43	

Agenda Item #3: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda. Note: The Board 44 May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this Public 45 46 Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)]. 47 48 49 Mr. Foo called for public comment. 50 Public comment included requests that the Board discuss PSYPACT at a future 51 meeting, as well as rules regarding training hours obtained outside the country. 52 53 No further public or Board comment offered. 54 Agenda Item #4: Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update Including but not Limited to 55 56 **Updates on Waivers** 57 Ms. Sorrick provided this update. 58 59 At the April meeting, the Board approved two waivers to extend the time for PSBs who 60 were running out of time to accrue SPE in certain training areas. The face-to-face 61 requirement waiver has been extended to September 3, 2020 to allow for 62 telesupervision. There have been no changes to the examination requirement due to 63 the pandemic. 64 65 Mr. Foo called for Board comment. 66 67 Ms. Sorrick explained the waiver process in response to a Board question. 68 69 Mr. Foo called for public comment. 70 71 72 Public comments questioned the authority of the Board and of DCA to authorize waivers. Ms. Marks replied that the Board could act on its own authority where allowed 73 by regulation. 74 75 76 Board discussion ensued regarding how to address specific situations such as extension requests, that may arise that would have to wait until Licensure Committee 77 78 convened again. 79 80 Ms. Sorrick confirmed that Licensure Committee could convene sooner if there were significant numbers of people needing special consideration, but Ms. Cheung 81 82 commented that the actual number of people that do not fall under the discussed waiver 83 was very limited. 84

85

86

Mr. Foo invited further public comment.

87 88	Public comment referred to the potential lack of testing availability during the pandemic and whether there were enough slots available to accommodate all candidates.
89 90 91	Ms. Cheung commented that a few exam candidates were having trouble accessing testing and that these instances were dealt with as they arose.
92 93 94	Mr. Foo requested that Ms. Sorrick research whether vendors were already at capacity.
95 96	No further Board or public comment.
97 98	Agenda Item #5: President's Report
99 100 101 102	Mr. Foo commented that in-person meeting dates are hopeful at this point, but that WebEx may be the norm for the near future as the pandemic continues. Mr. Foo indicated that there have been no Committee changes.
103 104	Dr. Harb Sheets commented that the ASPPB convention will be virtual.
105 106	Staff was directed to update these dates and details on the website.
100 107 108	No public comment offered and no further Board discussion.
109 110	Agenda Item #6: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Board Meeting Minutes: April 17, 2020
111112113	It was M(Tate)/S(Casuga)/C to approve the minutes as presented.
113 114 115	No public or Board comment were given.
113 116 117	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
118 119	Agenda Item #7: Budget Report
120 121 122	Ms. Sorrick introduced this report and deferred to Paul McDermott of the Budget Office to go into greater detail.
122 123 124 125 126 127 128	The fiscal year that ended June 30, 2020 saw the Board coming in just under budget. Mr. McDermott commented that augmentations for expenditures toward Office of Administrative Hearings (OAH) and Office of the Attorney General (OAG) were approved, although Board expenditures to these two entities and to Subject Matter Experts did not slow down.
129	No public comment offered.

130	
131	Agenda Item #8: Public Comment Request: ASPPB's Guidelines for the Use of
132	Social Media by Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee in Practice and by
133	Psychology Regulatory Bodies
134	
135	Mr. Thomas provided the update on this item.
136	. A '10000 AODDD
137	In April 2020, ASPPB released their draft guidelines. The Board was asked to provide
138	feedback to these guidelines.
139	Discussion ensued.
140 141	Discussion ensued.
141	It was (M)Phillips/(S)Casuga/C to delegate staff to work with Dr. Casuga on a letter to
143	ASPPB expressing the Board's gratitude for creating the guidelines and requesting an
144	acknowledgement to discuss the disparity of equitable access to technology and socia
145	media.
146	
147	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
148	·
149	No further public comment offered and no further Board discussion.
150	
151	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
152	
153	Agenda Item #9: Licensure Committee Report and Consideration of Committee
154	<u>Recommendations</u>
155	
156	Dr. Harb Sheets provided this report.
157	,
158	a)
159	DCD# 4
160	<u>PSB# 1</u>
161	No public comment offered
162 163	No public confinent offered
164	It was (M)Phillips/(S)Tate/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to
165	deny the request for an extension of the 72-month limitation for the psychological
166	assistant registration.
167	abblictant regionation.
168	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
169	
170	PSB #2
171	

It was (M)Foo/(S)Casuga/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to grant the 6-month extension request of the 72-month limitation for the psychological assistant registration. No public comment offered. Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes **PSB #3** It was (M)Foo/(S)Casuga/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to grant the 18-month extension request of the 72-month limitation for the psychological assistant registration. No public comment offered. Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes **PSB #4** It was (M)Cervantes/(S)Foo/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to grant a 6-month, instead of 6-year, extension request of the 72-month limitation for the psychological assistant registration. No public comment offered. Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes **PSB #5** It was (M)Tate/(S)Phillips/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to deny the 2-year extension request of the 72-month limitation for the psychological assistant registration. No public comment offered. Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes PSB #6 It was (M)Phillips/(S)Casuga/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to deny the 12-month extension request of the 72-month limitation for the psychological

assistant registration.

215	
216	No public comment offered.
217	
218	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
219	
220	<u>PSB #7</u>
221	
222	It was (M)Tate/(S)Phillips/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to
223	grant the 18-month extension request of the 72-month limitation for the psychological
224	assistant registration.
225	
226	No public comment offered.
227	
228	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
229	
230	b)
231	
232	<u>PSY #1</u>
233	
234	It was (M)Tate/(S)Casuga/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to
235	grant the 10-month extension request to the 30-consecutive month limitation to accrue
236	post-doctoral SPE.
237	
238	No public comment offered.
239	
240	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
241	
242	<u>PSY #2</u>
243	
244	It was (M)Cervantes/(S)Phillips/C to approve the Licensure Committee's
245	recommendation to grant the 7-week extension request to the 30-consecutive month
246	limitation to accrue post-doctoral SPE.
247	
248	Board discussion ensued about situations where an extension might be requested for a
249	medical reason, whether supporting documentation should be submitted to the full
250	Board.
251	
252	Dr. Harb Sheets said that Licensure Committee will develop guidelines to determine
253	what documentation should be shared with the full Board.
254	
255	No public comment offered.
256	
257	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes

258	
259	<u>PSY #3</u>
260	
261	It was (M)Tate/(S)Foo/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to
262	grant the 17-month extension request to the 30-consecutive month limitation to accrue
263	post-doctoral SPE.
264	
265	No public comment offered.
266	
267	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
268	
269	c)
270	
271	<u>PSY #1</u>
272	
273	It was (M)Foo/(S)Tate/C to approve the Licensure Committee's recommendation to
274	grant the alternate plan for supervised professional experience in non-mental health
275	area.
276	
277	Dr. Phillips commented that PSY #1 would be doing mostly academic emphasis. Does
278	the Board consider this applicant's alternate plan to be unrelated to mental health?
279	
280	Discussion ensued.
281	
282	It was (M)Foo/(S)Tate/C to amend the motion and approve the non-mental health
283	portion of the supervision plan provided.
284	
285	No public comment offered.
286	
287	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
288	N.
289	d)
290	// // // // // // // // // // // // //
291	It was (M)Cervantes/(S)Phillips/C to approve the Licensure Committee's
292	recommendation to deny the CE exception request.
293	
294	No public comment offered.
295	V (0 (0 0) (F
296	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
297	Amanda Ham #40. Davian and Canaldan Considers to the David H
298	Agenda Item #10: Review and Consideration of Changes to the Board's
299	Administrative Procedure Manual
300	

301	This item was tabled and will be reintroduced at a future Board meeting.
302 303	Agenda Item #11: Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Report and Consideration of
304	Committee Recommendations
305	Committee Necommendations
306	11(a)(1): Pathways to Licensure Statutory Revisions – Amendments to Sections
307	27, 2909, 2909.5, 2910, 2911, 2913, 2914, 2915, 2915.5, 2915.7, 2940, 2942, 2943,
308	2946, and 2960 of the Business and Professions Code, and Section 1010 of the
309	Evidence Code.
310	
311	Pathways is moved to next year.
312	
313	11(a)(2): SB 275 (Pan) Board of Psychology: disciplinary action: sexual contact or
314	sexual behavior with a client or former client
315	
316	SB 275 will be pursued next year.
317	ob 2. o mii bo parodod nom your
318	Mr. Foo suggested it be pulled from future agendas as it is a gut and amend and called
319	for public comment.
320	
321	No public comment was offered.
322	·
323	11(b)(1)(A): AB 1145 (Garcia) Child abuse: reportable conduct.
324	
325	The Board took a support position. The bill is currently in Senate Public Safety
326	Committee, but the hearing date is postponed.
327	committee, but the meaning date to peetperiod.
328	11(b)(1)(B): SB 53 (Wilk) Open meetings.
329	
330	The Board opposes this bill and it is not expected to advance.
331	
332	11(b)(1)(C): SB 66 (Atkins) Medi-Cal: federally qualified health center and rural
333	health clinic services.
334	
335	The Board supports this bill; however, the author is not moving forward currently.
336	
337	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
338	
339	No public comment offered.
340	
341	11(b)(2)(A): AB 1263 (Low) Contracts: consumer services: consumer complaints.
342	

343 344	Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee recommends a support position.
345	It was (M)Phillips/(S)Harb Sheets/C to approve the Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
346	Committee's recommendation to adopt a support position on AB 1263.
347	
348	No public comment offered.
349	
350	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
351	
352	11(b)(2)(B): AB 2112 (Ramos) Suicide prevention.
353	
354	Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee recommends a support position.
355	It was ANN lark Charte/Charte/Charamana tha Larialative and Danvlatom. Affaire
356	It was (M)Harb Sheets/(S)Tate/C to approve the Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
357	Committee's recommendation to adopt a support position on AB 2112.
358 359	Mr. Foo asked Dr. Winkelman to comment, and she replied that California Psychological
360	Association (CPA) supports the bill as it is currently written. CPA asked for Board
361	support of the bill.
362	support of the bill.
363	No further public comments.
364	No further public confinence.
365	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
366	vote: o ayou (oabaga, obrvantos, r ob, riais onobis, r illimpo, rato), o nobis
367	11(b)(2)(C): AB 2164 (Rivas, Robert) Telehealth.
368	
369	Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee recommends a support position.
370	
371	Ms. Sorrick provided this update and asked Dr. Winkelman to comment.
372	
373	CPA supports this bill and requests the Board do the same in order to improve access
374	to care.
375	
376	It was (M)Casuga/(S)Harb Sheets/C to approve the Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
377	Committee's recommendation to adopt a support position on AB 2164.
378	
379	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
380	
381	No public comment offered.
382	
383	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
384	

385	11(b)(2)(D): AB 2185 (Patterson) Professions and vocations: applicants licensed
386	in other states: reciprocity.
387	
388	Informational item only.
389	·
390	11(b)(2)(E): AB 2549 (Salas) Department of Consumer Affairs: temporary licenses.
391	
392	Informational item only.
393	·
394	11(b)(2)(F): AB 2630 (Flora) Criminal history information: subsequent arrest
395	notification.
396	
397	Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee recommends a support position.
398	
399	It was (M)Phillips/(S)Harb Sheets/C to approve Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
400	Committee's recommendation to adopt a support position of AB 2630.
401	· · · ·
402	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
403	·
404	No public comment offered.
405	
406	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
407	
408	11(b)(2)(G): AB 2856 (Committee on Business and Professions) Board of
409	Psychology.
410	
411	Informational item only.
412	·
413	11(b)(2)(H): AB 3045 (Gray) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: veterans:
414	military spouses: licenses.
415	
416	Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee recommends an oppose position.
417	
418	It was (M)Harb Sheets/(S)Casuga/C to approve Legislative and Regulatory Affairs
419	Committee's recommendation to adopt an oppose position on AB 3045.
420	
421	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
422	
423	No public comment offered.
424	
425	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
426	

11(b)(2)(I): SB 1474 (Committee on Business, Professions and Economic **Development) Professions and Vocations** Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee recommends a support position. It was (M)Phillips/(S)/Casuga/C to approve Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee's recommendation to adopt a support position on SB 1474. Mr. Foo called for public comment on items 11(b)(2)(D), (E), and (G) No public comment offered. Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes 11(b)(3): Review of Watch Bills 11(b)(3)(F): AB 2028 (Aguiar-Curry) State agencies: meetings. Ms. Sorrick provided an update on this bill. This bill would, except for closed sessions, require that this notice include all writings or materials provided for the noticed meeting to a member of the state body by staff of a state agency, board, or commission, or another member of the state body, that are in connection with a matter subject to discussion or consideration at the meeting. The bill would require these writings and materials to be made available on the internet website, and to people who so request in writing, on the same day as they are provided to members of the state body or at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting, whichever is earlier. Previously, materials were required to be made available to the public no later than ten days before a noticed meeting. Staff had concerns that this will challenge transparency if they are unable to present last-minute changes and materials. ADA requirements further challenge staff's ability to post materials timely since they don't have control over the website. Dr. Phillips agreed that the Board should share our concerns with the author, commenting that materials are sometimes provided at the last minute by a petitioner. It was (M)Phillips/(S)Tate to adopt an oppose position on AB 2028. No public comment offered.

ID 1 111 (1
ns and Rehabilitation (CDCR) staff who are terminated because they are
accrue hours towards licensure in time to take the examinations.
nmenters requested that the Board adopt a support position of this bill to
ontinuity of care for patients within CDCR.
Harb Sheets/(S)Cervantes/C to adopt a support position on AB 2253.
s asked Ms. Sorrick to comment on the matter.
ck replied that the bill had more to do with employment issues in exempt
nd as such was not a Board issue per se. Further, the bill did not provide a
nection to the Board's vision and mission.
cussion ensued.
ves (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets), 2 noes (Phillips, Tate)
(): AB 2360 (Maienschein) Telehealth: mental health.
(): AB 2360 (Maienschein) Telehealth: mental health.
(): AB 2360 (Maienschein) Telehealth: mental health.
ck provided this update.
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including Jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including Jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the all decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language.
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the all decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language.
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the all decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language.
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including lists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the alld decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language. Jaman asked that staff request that psychologists be added by the authors to
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the lald decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language. Ja asked that staff request that psychologists be added by the authors to gauge interest in include to support this bill if amended to include
ck provided this update. Ja asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including jists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the lald decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language. Ja asked that staff request that psychologists be added by the authors to gauge interest in include to support this bill if amended to include
ck provided this update. ga asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including gists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the all decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language. Ilman asked that staff request that psychologists be added by the authors to ga commented that she would move to support this bill if amended to include gists in the bill's language.
ck provided this update. ga asked whether staff reached out to the authors to gauge interest in including gists. Ms. Sorrick replied that the bill does not include psychologists, but the all decide to support if amended to add psychologists into the language. Ilman asked that staff request that psychologists be added by the authors to ga commented that she would move to support this bill if amended to include gists in the bill's language.

Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes

11(b)(3)(J): AB 2253 (Low) Professional licensure.

Ms. Sorrick provided the update on this item.

No Board comment offered.

513	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
514	
515 516	No further public comment offered.
517 518	Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes
519	11(b)(3)(O): AB 2704 (Ting) Healing arts: licensees: data collection.
520 521 522	Mr. Foo provided the update on this bill.
523 524	Board discussion ensued that the bill is a move in the right direction but is limited.
525 526	It was (M)Cervantes/(S)Harb Sheets/C to adopt a support position on this bill.
527 528	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
529 530	No public comment offered.
531532533	Dr. Phillips commented that while providing race information was optional, gender and gender identity would be hard to ask for and might cause discomfort in impacted communities.
534 535 536 537 538	Ms. Sorrick asked for clarification from Ms. Marks regarding concerns about collecting demographic information prior to application for a license, such that demographic information could have the appearance of influencing the Board's licensing decision.
539 540	This motion is held over to Day 2.
540	Friday, July 10, 2020
541	Manakana Basasat
542 543	Members Present Seyron Foo, President
544	Mary Harb Sheets, PhD, Vice-President
545	Sheryll Casuga, PsyD
546	Marisela Cervantes
547	Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD
548	Lea Tate, PsyD
549	
550	Members Absent
551	Alita Bernal
552	Shacunda Rodgers, PhD
553	onacanaa neagoro, i no
554	<u>Legal Counsel</u>
555	Norine Marks

557	Others Present
558	Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
559	Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer
560	Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Program Manager
561	Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager
562	Evan Gage, Special Projects Analyst
563	A second of these #47. Coll to Conden/Dell Coll/Establishment of a Consum.
564 565	Agenda Item #17: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum
566 567	Seyron Foo, Board President, called the open session meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties.
568	quorum was prosent and ade notice had been contite an interested parties.
569 570	Agenda Item #18: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda. Note: The Board May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this Public
571	Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda
572	of a Future Meeting [Government Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)].
573	<u></u>
574	No public comment offered.
575	
576	Agenda Item #11(b)(3)(O): AB 2704 (Ting) Healing Arts: licensees: data collection
577	<u> </u>
578	This item was carried over from Day 1.
579	
580	Mr. Foo called for Board comment.
581	
582	Board discussion ensued regarding the safety of licensees who identify themselves in
583	non-binary terms, since compilation of such information could lead to them being
584	subjected to abuse, although such identifying markers are separated from the individual.
585	
586	Ms. Cervantes commented that the intent of the bill is to report demographic data in the
587	aggregate to anticipate workforce needs.
588	alggi agaita ta annia,parta mannia maada.
589	Dr. Phillips commented that he suggests requesting additional data be collected to
590	make the information more comprehensive and useful to that purpose.
591	Thate the information more comprehensive and accounts that purpose.
592	Mr. Foo suggested not changing the Board's position to support if amended, but instead
593	could support while expressing concerns in the support letter.
594	could support write expressing concerns in the support letter.
595	Dr. Phillips would like 'disability' or 'different' ability added, also age. He suggested the
	author could include a variety of aspects of data, a list of 'including, but not limited to.'
596 507	author could include a variety of aspects of data, a list of including, but not limited to.
597	Ms. Marks commented that the hill says the data may be collected at the time of
598	Ms. Marks commented that the bill says the data may be collected at the time of
599	application but may be collected at the time of licensure to facilitate removing identifying

markers at the time of collection. As to other data points, regulations could be promulgated to collect additional data points, since the bill points to a minimum amount of data collection. Dr. Phillips commented that if the bill allowed including more data points than is provided for in the list, then a simple support position would be enough. Mr. Foo called for public comment. No public comment offered. Mr. Foo called for staff comment. Ms. Sorrick commented that she and Ms. Cervantes had a discussion with Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development (OSHPD). OSHPD currently collects certain data points, but the Board was not on the list that provides the volunteer data to the workforce study. This bill would give the Board the ability to participate in that program so that OSHPD could then, if they do share that data, even in the aggregate, be able to share that data with the Board if this bill passes.

It was (M)Cervantes/(S)Harb Sheets/C to adopt a support position on this bill, conveying to the author several other different information pieces that should be included.

Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes

11(b)(3)(P): SB 806 (Grove) Worker status: employees: independent contractors.

Ms. Sorrick provided the update on this bill, which removes several exemptions from current law.

Dr. Harb Sheets commented that this bill would provide a more liberal test to see who was and independent contractor instead of employee. Those categories exempted under AB 5 would no longer be relevant, having been superseded by SB 806.

Ms. Sorrick replied that staff has been watching this bill. This is a direct employment issue and staff wanted to watch where this bill went.

Mr. Foo called for public comment.

Dr. Winkelman commented that psychologists are already exempt from the current version of the law, and that this bill would not change that. CPA does not have a position on the bill.

643 644 645	more liberal list of exemptions, and that this could hurt access to care for consumers.
646 647	Dr. Winkelman commented that CPA has been watching this bill and echoes Dr. Harb Sheets' concerns.
648	cheste schesme.
649 650	Mr. Foo stated that this bill will remain a Watch item until November.
651 652	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
653 654	No public comment offered.
655 656 657 658 659	Agenda Item #12: Legislative Items for Future Meeting. The Board May Discuss Other Items of Legislation in Sufficient Detail to Determine Whether Such Items Should be on a Future Board Meeting Agenda and/or Whether to Hold a Special Meeting of the Board to Discuss Such Items Pursuant to Government Code Section 11125.4.
660 661	Dr. Casuga suggested tracking SB 855 (Wiener) and possibly supporting it.
662663664	No further Board suggestions.
665 666 667	Mr. Foo suggested adding it to the Watch list and that staff can advise whether it should go on the agenda for Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee before year end.
668 669	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
670 671	No public comment offered.
672 673	Agenda Item #13: Enforcement Report
674 675	Ms. Monterrubio provided the report on this item.
676 677 678	Dr. Casuga asked whether the complaints received were unique or pertaining in some instances to a single Subject more than once.
679 680 681	Ms. Monterrubio replied that there were multiple complaints against the same Subject(s) by multiple Complainants.
682 683	Dr. Harb Sheets asked whether it would be possible to sort out the multiple complaints

685 686 687	Ms. Monterrubio replied that she could have those statistics available for the November 2020 Board meeting.
688	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
689 690 691	Public comment ensued regarding what the average time for complaints to go through and whether the Board was behind in this regard.
692	and whether the Beard was sermina in this regard.
693 694 695	Ms. Monterrubio replied that timeframes have not improved, and that cases can take a significant amount of time. She reminded the public that staff open all complaints within ten days, and work with OAH, OAG, and Division of Investigation.
696 697 698	Mr. Foo invited Deputy Attorney General Josh Templet to comment on Ms. Monterrubio's remarks.
699700701	Mr. Templet confirmed that OAG has emergency tools, such as interim license suspension, which can be used within tight timelines.
702 703	No further public offered and no further Board questions.
704 705 706	Agenda Item #14: Licensing Report
707 708	Ms. Cheung provided this update.
709 710	Mr. Foo asked for Board questions.
711 712	No Board questions offered.
713 714	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
715 716	No public comment offered.
717	Agenda Item #15: Continuing Education and Renewals Report
718 719 720	Mr. Thomas provided this report.
721 722	Mr. Foo asked for Board questions.
723 724	No Board questions offered.
725 726	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
727	No public comment offered.

728	
729	Agenda Item #16: Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional
730	<u>Changes</u>
731	
732	Ms. Sorrick provided this update.
733	
734	Mr. Foo called for Board comments.
735	
736	No Board comments.
737	
738	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
739	
740	No public comment offered.
741	
742	<u>CLOSED SESSION</u>
743	A
744	Agenda Item #22: The Board will Meet in Closed Session Pursuant to Government
745	Code Section 11126(c)(3) to Discuss Disciplinary Matters Including Proposed
746	<u>Decisions, Stipulations, Petitions for Reconsideration, and Remands.</u>
747	ODEN SESSION
748	OPEN SESSION
749	Agenda Item #19: Enforcement Committee Report
750 751	Agenda item #19. Emorcement Committee Report
751 752	a) Child Custody Stakeholder Meeting-Implementation Plan Update
753	a onia dastady otakonolaci meeting-implementation i lan opaate
754	Dr. Phillips provided this update and called for public comment.
755	211. Timpo provided tine apadto dina canca for paone comments
756	No public comment offered.
757	'
758	b) Proposed Amendments to 16 CCR Sections 1394 - Substantial Relationship
759	Criteria; 1395 - Rehabilitation Criteria for Denials and Reinstatements; 1395.1 -
760	Rehabilitation Criteria for Suspensions or Revocations; 1395.2 - Disciplinary
761	Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance Abusing Licensees
762	
762 763	Ms. Monterrubio provided the update on this item and asked for approval of highlighted
764	areas:
765	aioas.
766	II. DISCIPLINARY GUIDELINES
767	III DIGGII EINANNI GOIDEEINEG
768	A. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS
769	
770	Lines 211-298:

773

Factors to be considered - In determining whether revocation, suspension, or probation is to be imposed in a given case, factors such as the following should be considered the Board must consider the following:

774 775 776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

Substantial Relationship Criteria set forth in 16 CCR section 1394:

- 1. Nature and severity of the act(s), offense(s), or crime(s) under consideration.
- 2. Actual or potential harm to any consumer, client, or the public.
- 3. Prior record of discipline or citations.
- 4. Number and/or variety of current violations.
- 5. Mitigation and aggravation evidence.
- Rehabilitation evidence.
- 7. In the case of a criminal conviction, compliance with terms of sentence and/or court-ordered probation.
- 8. Overall criminal record.
- 9. Time passed since the act(s) or offense(s) occurred.
- 10. Whether or not the respondent cooperated with the Board's investigation, other law enforcement or regulatory agencies, and/or the injured parties.
- 11. Recognition by respondent of his or her wrongdoing and demonstration of corrective action to prevent recurrence.

791792793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

(a) For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license or registration pursuant to section 141, or Division 1.5 (commencing with section 475) of the Code, or sections 2960 or 2960.6 of the Code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license or registration under the Psychology Licensing Law (Chapter 6.6 of Division 2 of the Code), if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license or registration to perform the functions authorized by the license or registration, or in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

801 802 803

804

- (b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the board shall consider the following criteria:
- 805 (1) The nature and gravity of the offense;
- 806 (2) The number of years elapsed since the date of the offense; and
- 807 (3) The nature and duties of the profession in which the applicant seeks licensure or in which the licensee is licensed.

- 810 (c) For purposes of subdivision (a), substantially related crimes, professional 811 misconduct, or acts shall include, but are not limited to, the following:
- 812 (1) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the
- violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Psychology Licensing
- 814 **Law**.
- 815 (2) Conviction or act involving fiscal dishonesty.
- 816 (3) Conviction or act involving child abuse.

- (4) A conviction requiring a person to register as a sex offender pursuant to section 290
 of the Penal Code.
- (5) Conviction or act involving lewd conduct or sexual impropriety.
- (6) Conviction or act involving assault, battery, or other violence.
- 821 (7) Conviction or act involving the use of drugs or alcohol to an extent or in a manner
- 822 <u>dangerous to the individual or the public.</u>
- 823 (8) Conviction or act involving harassment, trespass, or stalking.

Rehabilitation Criteria for Suspensions or Revocations as set forth in 16 CCR section 1395.1:

826 827

- When considering the suspension or revocation of a license or registration of a person holding a license or registration under the Psychology Licensing Law (chapter 6.6 of division 2 of the Code), the Board will evaluate whether the licensee or registrant has made a showing of rehabilitation and is presently fit for a license or registration.
- (a) Where the basis for discipline is the conviction of a crime, the Board shall consider whether the licensee or registrant has made a showing of rehabilitation if the person
- whether the licensee or registrant has made a showing of rehabilitation if the person completed the criminal sentence without a violation of parole or probation. In making
- this determination, the Board shall use the following criteria in (1) through (5), as
- available. If there is a violation of parole or probation or no showing of rehabilitation
- based on these criteria, the Board shall evaluate rehabilitation under subdivision (b).
- 838 (1) Nature and gravity of the crime(s).
- 839 (2) The reason for granting and the length(s) of the applicable parole or probation 840 period(s).
- 841 (3) The extent to which the applicable parole or probation period was shortened or lengthened, and the reason(s) the period was modified.
- (4) The terms or conditions of parole or probation and the extent to which they bear on the licensee's or registrant's rehabilitation.
- (5) The extent to which the terms or conditions of parole or probation were modified, and the reason(s) for modification.

- (b) Where the basis for discipline is not based on a conviction, or was based upon
 professional misconduct, or unprofessional conduct under 2960 or 2960.6, or the Board
 determines that the licensee or registrant did not make a showing of rehabilitation based
 on subdivision (a), the Board shall apply the following criteria in evaluating the
 licensee's or registrant's rehabilitation:
- (1) Total criminal record and/or record of discipline or other enforcement action,
- including the nature and gravity of the acts underlying the discipline or enforcement
 action.
- 856 (2) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s).
- (3) Whether the licensee or registrant has complied with any terms of parole, probation,
- 858 <u>restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against such person.</u>
- 859 (4) If applicable, evidence of dismissal proceedings pursuant to section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.
- 861 (5) The criteria in subdivision (a)(1)-(5), as applicable.

(6) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the licensee or registrant demonstrating that he or she has a mature, measured appreciation of the gravity of the misconduct, and remorse for the harm caused, and showing a demonstrated course of conduct by the licensee or registrant that convinces and assures the Board that the public will be safe if the person is permitted to remain licensed or registered to practice psychology.

Term 11, Lines 1115-1119:

LICENSED SUPERVISION DURING PRACTICE

A board may reduce testing frequency to a minimum of 24 times per year for any person who is a practicing licensee if the licensee receives a minimum of 50% supervision per day by a supervisor licensed by the board.

V. REHABILITATION CRITERIA FOR REINSTATEMENT/PENALTYDISCIPLINE RELIEF HEARINGS

Lines 1610-1659:

The Board will consider, pursuant to <u>16 CCR</u> Section 1395, the following criteria of rehabilitation for Denials and Reinstatements:

- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial which also could be considered as grounds for denial under section 480 of the Code.
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) of crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) The extent to which the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
- (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

When considering the denial of a license or registration under sections 141, 480, 2960, or 2960.6 of the Code, or a petition for reinstatement or modification of penalty under section 2962 of the Code, the Board will evaluate whether the applicant or petitioner has made a showing of rehabilitation and has established present fitness for a license or registration.

(a) Where the denial is, or the surrender or revocation was, in part on the ground(s) that the applicant or petitioner has been convicted of a crime, the Board shall consider whether the applicant or petitioner made a showing of rehabilitation if the person completed the criminal sentence without a violation of parole or probation. In making this determination, the Board shall use the following criteria in (1) through (5), as

- 908 available. If there is a violation of parole or probation, or no showing of rehabilitation
- based on these criteria, the Board shall evaluate rehabilitation under subdivision (b).
- 910 (1) The nature and gravity of the crime(s).
- 911 (2) The reason for granting and the length(s) of the applicable parole or probation
- 912 <u>period(s).</u>
- 913 (3) The extent to which the applicable parole or probation period was shortened or lengthened, and the reason(s) the period was modified.
- 915 (4) The terms or conditions of parole or probation and the extent to which they bear on the applicant's or petitioner's rehabilitation.
- 917 (5) The extent to which the terms or conditions of parole or probation were modified, 918 and the reason(s) for modification.

- (b) Where the denial is not or the surrender or revocation was not based on a conviction, or was based upon professional misconduct, or unprofessional conduct under 2960 or 2960.6, or the Board determines that the applicant or petitioner did not
- 922 under 2960 or 2960.6, or the Board determines that the applicant or petitioner did no 923 make a showing of rehabilitation based on subdivision (a), the Board shall apply the
- 924 following criteria in evaluating an applicant's or petitioner's rehabilitation:
- 925 (1) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) that are
- grounds for denial, or that were grounds for surrender or revocation, which also could
- be considered as grounds for denial under sections 141, 480, 2960, or 2960.6 of the
- 928 Code, and the time that has elapsed between them.
- 929 (2) The extent to which the applicant or petitioner has complied with any terms of
- parole, probation, restitution, or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant or petitioner.
- 932 (3) The criteria in subdivision (a)(1)-(5), as applicable.
- 933 (4) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant or petitioner
- demonstrating that he or she has a mature, measured appreciation of the gravity of the
- 935 misconduct, and remorse for the harm caused, and showing a course of conduct that
- convinces and assures the Board that the public will be safe if the person is permitted to
- 937 <u>be licensed or registered to practice psychology.</u>

Lines 1974-1975:

938 939

940

DRUG TESTING STANDARDS [Uniform Standard # 9]:

941 942 943

944

6. Prior to vacation or absence, <u>any</u> alternative to the licensee's <u>alcohol or drug</u> testing location(s) requirements (including frequency) must be approved by the Board.

945946947

It was (M)Foo/(S)Tate/C to amend the CCR sections and Uniform Standards language as highlighted in the Enforcement Committee Report materials.

949 950

948

Dr. Phillips called for public comment.

951 952

No public comment offered and no further Board discussion.

Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes c) Review and Consideration of the Goal and Name of the Enforcement Committee Dr. Phillips provided the update on this item and drew attention to new underscored language in materials. It was (M)Harb Sheets/(S)Casuga/C to adopt the change requested by Enforcement Committee to add new language to their Goal to read as follows: "The goal of this Committee is to protect the health and safety of consumers of psychological services through the active enforcement of the statutes and regulations governing the safe practice of psychology in California. The Committee reviews the Board's Disciplinary Guidelines and enforcement statutes and regulations and submits recommended amendments to the full Board for consideration. This Committee also provides feedback for the Expert Reviewer Program." Dr. Phillips called for Board discussion. No further Board discussion. Dr. Phillips called for public comment. No public comment offered. Vote: 6 ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Tate), 0 noes d) Statutory and Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional **Changes** Dr. Phillips provided the update on this item and called for Board discussion. No Board discussion offered. Dr. Phillips called for public comment. Public commenters shared concerns that several of the metrics included in this report show a lack of transparency and a failure to act, and that the actions of the Enforcement Stakeholder Meeting are not reflected in the Board's disciplinary actions. No further public comment offered.

997	Agenda Item #20: Update on Telehealth Guidance
998 999	Ms. Sorrick provided this update.
1000	ivis. Corrier provided this apaate.
1001	This item is informational only and follows up on the April meeting.
1002	The nem is intermational only and renewe up on the 7 pm meeting.
1003	Mr. Foo asked Ms. Sorrick to send Dr. Rodgers all this information and maybe carry this
1004	item over to the November meeting.
1005	
1006	Mr. Foo called for Board discussion.
1007	
1008	No Board discussion.
1009	
1010	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
1011	No public commont offered
1012	No public comment offered.
1013 1014	Agenda Item #19(a)(1): Enforcement Committee Report: Child Custody
1014	Stakeholder – Implementation Plan Update: Statutory Discussion Regarding
1015	Proposed Exception to Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege for Board Investigations
1017	Tropossa Exception to regeneration retainer retringer for Estata invoctigations
1018	Mr. Foo asked Ms. Monterrubio to recap 19(a)(1) for the benefit of those members of
1019	the public who missed it.
1020	
1021	Ms. Monterrubio state that Items 1-4 were implemented. Item 5 will be discussed at the
1022	September Enforcement Committee. No action is required.
1023	
1024	Mr. Foo called for public comment on 19(a)(1).
1025	
1026	Public comment ensued regarding the distinction being made between parental
1027 1028	alienation and parental alienation syndrome and that a future Stakeholder Meeting might be the proper forum for continuing that discussion.
1028	might be the proper forum for continuing that discussion.
1030	No further public comment offered.
1031	The farther public comment energy.
1032	Dr. Phillips commented that the primary focus of the Enforcement Committee is
1033	parental alienation 'syndrome.' The intent in adding this word was to provide clarity and
1034	the answer open-ended for the Board to be better able to solicit more information
1035	regarding potential experts' attitudes. Nothing binding is decided in Enforcement
1036	Committee – it all comes back to the full Board in an open meeting for action.
1037	
1038	Agenda Item #21: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board
1039	Meetings. Note: The Board May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised

1040	During This Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the
1041	Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code Sections 11125 and
1042	<u>11125.7(a)].</u>
1043	
1044	No Board recommendations made for future agenda items.
1045	
1046	<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>
1047	
1048	The meeting adjourned at 2:32 p.m.