

#### LICENSURE COMMITTEE MEETING TELECONFERENCE 1 2 3 NOTE: Pursuant to the provisions of Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-4 20, dated March 17, 2020, neither Committee member locations nor a public meeting 5 location were provided. 6 7 Thursday, January 7, 2021 8 9 **Members Present** Mary Harb Sheets, PhD, Chair 10 Julie Nystrom, Public Member 11 12 Lea Tate, PsyD 13 **Members Absent** 14 None 15 16 17 Legal Counsel Will Maguire 18 19 **Board Staff Present** 20 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer 21 Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager 22 Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Manager 23 Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager 24 Mai Xiong, Licensing/BreEZe Coordinator 25 26 Lavinia Snyder, Examination Coordinator Liezel McCockran, Continuing Education and Renewals Coordinator 27 28 Thursday, January 7, 2021 29 Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum 30 31 Mary Harb Sheets, PhD, Chairperson, called the open session meeting to order at 32 10:04 a.m. A guorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested 33 parties. 34 35 Agenda Item #2: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda. Note: The 36 Committee May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this 37 Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the 38 Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)]. 39 40 41 Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment. 42 No Committee question or public comment was given. 43

| 44                               |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 45                               | Agenda Item #3: Chairperson's Welcome and Opening Remarks   |
| 46<br>47<br>48                   | Dr. Harb Sheets welcomed Julie Nystrom, newly appointed Board Member replacing Seyron Foo, Board President, as a member of the Licensure Committee.   |
| 49<br>50                         | Agenda Item #4: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Licensure Committee   |
| 51                               | Meeting Minutes: May 15, 2020   |
| 52                               |   |
| 53<br>54<br>55                   | Ms. Nystrom commented that since she was not appointed to the Board during the May 15, 2020 Licensure Committee meeting, she will abstain from voting.  |
| 56<br>57                         | No further Committee comment was given.   |
| 58<br>59<br>60                   | It was M(Tate)/S(Harb Sheets)/C to adopt the May 15, 2020 Licensure Committee meeting minutes.  |
| 61<br>62                         | Dr. Harb Sheets called for Committee discussion and public comment.   |
| 63<br>64                         | No Committee or public comment was given.   |
| 65<br>66                         | Votes: 2 ayes (Harb Sheets, Tate), 1 abstain (Nystrom), 0 noes  |
| 67<br>68                         | Agenda Item #5: Projects on hold due to Limited Staffing Resources  |
| 69<br>70                         | a) Informational Resources for Supervisors  |
| 70<br>71<br>72<br>73<br>74<br>75 | b) Co-host a Stakeholder Meeting on Informing Consumers Regarding the Respective Roles of a Licensed Psychologist, Licensed Educational Psychologist, and Individuals Holding a Credential with a Specialization in School Psychology |
| 76<br>77<br>78                   | Ms. Cheung stated that the projects listed under this item were delegated from the Board to staff at the September 2019 Licensure Committee meeting. Ms. Cheung provided a brief background summary of each project.                  |
| 79<br>80<br>81                   | Ms. Cheung explained that due to limited staffing resources, the projects will be on hold until further notice.   |
| 82<br>83                         | Public Comment:   |
| 84<br>85<br>86                   | Jo Linder-Crow, PhD, California Psychological Association (CPA), asked for clarifications whether limited staffing resources means a shortage of staff or an exception due to staff working remotely.                                 |

Ms. Sorrick responded that it is both, as the Board had to remove two temporary staff due to budget concerns.

#### Agenda Item #6: Update on Waivers

Ms. Cheung provided an overview of the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) and Board's approved waivers as of December 14, 2020.

Public Comments:

Colleen Kennedy, PhD, asked how Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP) candidates are notified about the waivers. Ms. Cheung responded that the Board identifies the impacted candidates who fall within the specified timeframe regarding their scheduled expiration date of their application. The Board notifies the candidates of the revised exam eligibility end date by email.

Discussion ensued regarding inadequate time for notification of the waiver to extend exam eligibility to take or retake the EPPP. Dr. Harb Sheets suggested Dr. Kennedy send an email to the general licensing inbox for further assistance regarding her specific questions on her application.

Karin Nilsson, PhD, Coordinator of Postdoctoral Training at UC Davis Student Health and Counseling Services, stated that the UC Davis campus is still providing services remotely and inquired when to expect an extension to the in-person face-to-face supervision waiver.

Ms. Sorrick responded that this specific waiver was maintained by the Department of Consumer Affairs and continued to explain that in the past, the extension approval usually happens up to one to two weeks prior to the expiration date of the waiver. Ms. Sorrick recommended Ms. Nilsson continue to check back for extension approval when it gets closer to the expiration date of the waiver.

Discussion ensued regarding concerns and the impact to students if the in-person faceto-face supervision waiver is not extended in a timely manner.

Krystel McCullough, PsyD, asked if the Board would consider waiving the California Psychology Laws and Ethics Examination (CPLEE) due to financial strain and delay of exam scheduling because of the pandemic. Dr. Harb Sheets responded that more information about the CPLEE will be discussed in Agenda Item #10 and asked Ms. Cheung to provide further information since this question has been raised in the past.

Ms. Cheung stated that there were similar public comments offered about waiving the examination in a previous meeting. However, such waiver is not available, and she encouraged the public to continue checking back for additional information.

Dr. Long, owner and operator of a Southern California practice, stated her unsuccessful experience in contacting the Board and questioned if the Board is taking any actions to decrease the application processing timeframes.

Ms. Cheung stated that the lengthened application processing timeframes is due to budgetary concerns as previously mentioned and explained that staff are doing the best they can with the resources available at this time.

No further public comment was given.

## **Agenda Item #7: Licensing Report**

Ms. Xiong provided this report.

Ms. Xiong brought attention to Attachment B in the meeting materials and commented that the significant increase in the volume of open Initial Application for Licensure as a Psychologist in October and November of 2020 is due to the two Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) issued waivers (DCA-20-66 and DCA-20-78), which provide a one-time 6-month extension for candidates as specified to take or retake an examination from the expiration date of their application.

No Committee discussion or public comment was given.

# **Agenda Item #8: Continuing Education and Renewals Report**

Ms. McCockran presented the continuing professional development goal from the strategic plan. Ms. McCockran reported that all five Board members were audited and passed.

Ms. McCockran provided the Continuing Education Audit and Renewal statistics.

No Committee discussion or public comment was given.

# Agenda Item #9: Examination Report

# a) Subject Matter Expert (SME) - Demographic Data

Ms. Snyder provided background information on SME selection for the CPLEE development program and SME demographic data for the Fiscal Year 2019/2020.

Public Comment:

Dr. McCullough asked to define SME. Ms. Snyder responded that SMEs are qualified licensees, who attend the yearly examination development workshops to help develop the CPLEE for the Board.

## b) **Examination Candidate Statistics**

As a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, Ms. Snyder described the reasons for examination delays proctored by the vendors Pearson VUE and Psychological Services, Inc. (PSI). These included exam scheduling/rescheduling issues and exam facility shutdowns. Ms. Snyder also spoke about the statistics for approved candidates and exams that have been taken for both EPPP and CPLEE from January 2020 through November 2020.

#### Public Comment:

Dr. McCullough commented that she is on the Board's email list. However, she thinks that the Board is not efficient with providing information of exam availability due to the impact of COVID to the respective exam candidates.

# c) Review, Consider and Possible Action on Draft Regulatory Language to Increase the California Psychology Law and Ethics Exam (CPLEE) Fee – Proposed Amendments to Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations Section 1392(b)

Ms. Snyder stated that the CPLEE exam fee is intended to cover cost to the Board for the development and administration of the CPLEE. Ms. Snyder continued to provide background information on the exam fees and shared the concerns that the Board has been subsidizing the costs for the CPLEE in the past three fiscal years. Ms. Snyder mentioned that a spreadsheet containing the cost comparison of CPLEE income and expenditure in the last three years was provided as part of the meeting materials.

Ms. Sorrick clarified that the Board used to offer two different exams per year but it changed to four different exams per year so candidates could retake the exam without having to wait a long period of time which increased the cost to the Board.

Dr. Harb Sheets questioned if there was a different vendor that used to administer the CPLEE.

Ms. Sorrick responded that it is the same vendor but with a new contract.

Dr. Tate suggested data be analyzed more often in the future to allow fees to be increased incrementally by lower amounts rather than by large amounts.

Ms. Nystrom questioned if there has been any effort to streamline the process of managing the exam or other options for consideration, such as reducing administrative costs, so the CPLEE exam fee increase will be less significant given the pandemic issues and setbacks.

- Ms. Sorrick responded that the Board can consider offering the CPLEE less in the interim due to budgetary concerns. However, Ms. Sorrick stated she is hesitant to do so
- because of the possible impact that it would delay candidates becoming licensed.

226

Dr. Harb Sheets asked Ms. Sorrick about the regulatory process if the Committee 227 should decide to recommend increasing the CPLEE exam fee to the Board. 228

229

- Ms. Sorrick confirmed that upon the Committee's recommendation, the 230
- recommendation will go to the following Board meeting for discussion. If the Board 231
- approved the Committee's recommendation, then the regulatory process could begin. 232
- Ms. Sorrick explained that the regulatory process can be guite lengthy, and the CPLEE 233

exam increase may not be effective for at least another two or three years. 234

235 236

237

Dr. Harb Sheets asked, since it would take approximately three years to amend the regulations, would the proposed fee increase to \$235.20 be an adequate number to compensate for any potential fee increased by the vendor.

238 239 240

241

Ms. Sorrick asked Ms. Snyder to clarify the length of the contracts with the exam vendors to assist with the proposed exam fee increase analysis. Ms. Snyder stated that she believes it is a three-year contract signed with PSI.

242 243 244

Discussion ensued regarding the breakdown of exam cost in July of 2021 with the anticipated 10% exam cost increase from PSI.

245 246 247

**Public Comment:** 

248

Dr. McCullough commented that the high exam fee increase should be an additional 249 reason to waive the CPLEE during the global pandemic. 250

251

252 No further public comment was given.

253 254

It was M(Tate)/S(Harb Sheets)/C to recommend staff to draft regulatory language to increase the CPLEE exam fee and bring it to the full Board.

255 256 257

Votes: 2 ayes (Harb Sheets, Tate), 1 abstain (Nystrom), 0 noes

258 259

Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee, in preparation for the Board meeting, if there are any additional items to support the Committee's recommendation that may be helpful to the discussion with the Board.

260 261 262

263

264 265

266

Dr. Harb Sheets responded that due to the extended timeframe between the last exam fee increase to the current consideration of an exam fee increase, it would be helpful to share with the Board members the history of exam fee increases for background. Dr. Harb Sheets stated that it may also be helpful to include a potential option to reduce the exam fee increase and offering fewer versions of the exam per year.

267 268 269

Agenda Item #10: Discuss California Psychology Law and Ethics Exam (CPLEE) **Online Administrations** 

Dr. Harb Sheets provided background information regarding an exam candidate's request for the Board to consider offering the CPLEE online due to COVID-19.

Dr. Harb Sheets asked if the Committee members have any feedback after reading the memo provided as an attachment from Tracy Montez, PhD, Chief, Division of Programs and Policy Review.

Ms. Nystrom stated that she does not have any concerns with the Board's recommendation to not pursue online administrations at this time and questioned if there are any other states that offer the CPLEE or similar examinations online.

Dr. Harb Sheets replied that from her participation in a web conference hosted by the
Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB), with approximately 30
states represented, the consideration of security concerns was discussed and none of
them had gone to an online administration.

Dr. Tate agreed that the security concerns seem very significant.

290 Public Comments:

Dr. McCullough explained that she did not feel safe when she recently took the CPLEE and stated that the test should be waived.

Dr. Montez commented that as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, PSI has implemented safety and health protocols.

Dr. Harb Sheets stated that while the Board's mission statement includes "supporting the evolution of the profession," the Board's primary mission is consumer protection. Additionally, the health and safety of applicants must also be a consideration.

No Committee discussion and no public comment was given.

# Agenda Item #11: Consideration and Possible Action on Guidelines for Board Meetings Materials Relating to Extension Requests

At the July 2020 Board meeting, Dr. Phillips raised the question of how much and which supporting documents are being included with the meeting materials during the review of extension requests.

Dr. Harb Sheets directed staff to add the discussion and development of a guideline regarding the types of documentation to be included in the Board meeting materials.

Discussion ensued as to which supporting documents listed on the memo would be necessary for the decision-making process.

- Dr. Harb Sheets suggested not to provide any supporting documentation with the 317
- extension request to the Board meeting in order to maintain a greater degree of 318
- confidentiality. Dr. Harb Sheets continued to explain that information would be available 319 320

if any of the Board member should request for it.

321

It was M(Tate)/S(Nystrom)/C to recommend to the Board that the public extension 322 request packet will include the extension request letter/email and the letters of support 323 with the appropriate redaction. 324

325

No Committee discussion and no public comment was given. 326

327

328 Votes: 3 ayes (Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Tate), 0 noes

329

- Agenda Item #12: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Licensure 330
- Committee Meetings. Note: The Committee May Not Discuss or Take Action on 331
- Any Matter Raised During this Public Comment Section, Except to Decide 332
- Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government 333
- Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)]. 334

335

336 Dr Harb Sheets asked the public if there were any recommendations for agenda items for future Committee meetings. 337

338

Dr. McCullough requested for the Board's consideration to waive the CPLEE. 339

340

341 Dr. Daniel Szuhay, a recent licensee of the Board, stated that he felt that the examination is important and passing the exam is evidence of a licensee understanding 342 the laws and ethics required to protect consumers in the field of psychology. 343

344

Dr. Nilsson questioned the Board's timeline regarding consideration to adopt the EPPP 345 Part 2. 346

347

Dr. Harb Sheets responded that the Task Force members have been monitoring the 348 EPPP Part 2 and they will bring additional information to the full Board for discussion. 349

350

Ms. Sorrick commented on the regulatory process if the Board decided to adopt the 351 EPPP Part 2. 352

353 354

Dr. Kennedy guestioned if the Board is concerned about ASPPB developing an exam that consistently has less than a 50% pass rate.

355 356

357 Dr. Harb Sheets replied that the Board monitors the passing rate carefully and stated that there has not been a concern. Dr. Harb Sheets further explained that when looking 358 at the passing rate, it is important to consider the breakdown of first-time test takers vs. 359 retakes, schools, and background information. 360

361

No further Committee discussion or public commented was given. 362

| 363 |  |
|-----|--|
| 364 | CLOSED SESSION   |
| 365 |  |
| 366 | Agenda Item #13: The Board will Meet in Closed Session Pursuant to Government  |
| 367 | Code Section 11126(c)(2) to Discuss and Consider Qualifications for Licensure. |
| 368 |  |
| 369 | The Committee entered closed session at 12:30 p.m.                             |
| 370 |  |
| 371 | <u>OPEN SESSION</u>  |
| 372 |  |
| 373 | The Board returned to open session at 2:30 p.m.                                |
| 374 |  |
| 375 | ADJOURNMENT  |
| 376 |  |
| 377 | The Committee adjourned at 2:30 p.m.   |