

NOTICE OF LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS COMMITTEE 1 2 TELECONFERENCE MEETING 3 4 NOTE: Pursuant to the provisions of Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20, dated March 17, 2020, neither Committee member locations nor a public 5 6 meeting location were provided. 7 **Committee Members** 8 Marisela Cervantes, Chair 9 10 Sheryll Casuga, PsyD Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD 11 12 Legal Counsel 13 William Maguire 14 Clay Jackson 15 16 **Board Staff** 17 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer 18 Jonathan Burke, Assistant Executive Officer 19 Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager 20 Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Manager 21 22 Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager Cristina Rivera, Legislative and Regulatory Analyst 23 Liezel McCockran, Continuing Education/Renewals Coordinator 24 25 Friday, March 19, 2021 26 Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum 27 28 29 Marisela Cervantes, Chair, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m. 30 Agenda Item #2: Chairperson's Welcome and Opening Remarks 31 32 Ms. Cervantes read opening remarks and welcomed new Board staff, Jonathan 33 Burke and Cristina Rivera, as well as new Board Counsel, Will Maguire. 34 35 Agenda Item #3: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda. Note: The 36 Committee May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this 37 38 Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code sections 11125 and 39 11125.7(a)]. 40 41 No Committee question or public comment was given. 42 43 44 Agenda Item #4: COVID-19 Waiver Update

Ms. Sorrick provided the COVID-19 update. Ms. Sorrick explained the waiver updates on the extension of meeting Continuing Education requirements for renewals and the face to face supervision for licensure.

Dr. Elizabeth Winkelman, California Psychological Association (CPA), asked if the public can get information earlier on the waiver extensions. Dr. Winkelman provided an example of how the face-to-face requirement was only extended three days before it was due to expire.

Ms. Sorrick stated that the Board has communicated to the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) about establishing longer waiver periods and extending the waivers with more time before they are due to expire.

Agenda Item #5: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Committee Meeting Minutes: June 12, 2020

Dr. Phillips provided Board staff with edits/comments regarding the language.

It was M(Phillips)/S(Casuga)/C to adopt the June 12, 2020 Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee minutes as amended.

No Committee or public comment was given.

Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes

Agenda Item #6: Board Sponsored Legislation for the 2021 Legislative Session: Review and Possible Action

a) SB 401 (Pan) Healing arts: psychology - Amendments to sections 2960 and 2960.1 of the Business and Professions Code Regarding Denial, Suspension and Revocation for Acts of Sexual Contact

Ms. Rivera provided a summary of this bill proposal.

Dr. Phillips stated that when Board staff and Board Members met with joint committees for the Sunset Review, they seemed highly receptive to this bill.

It was M(Casuga)/S(Phillips)/C to recommend to the Board support SB 401 (Pan).

Dr. Winkelman stated that CPA took a neutral position on SB 401. She also thanked the Board for working with CPA's initial concerns and clarifying the language.

Ms. Sorrick extended gratitude to Dr. Phillips for the flexibility of his schedule and his willingness to represent the Board at the upcoming hearing.



90 91 Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes 92 93 b) Pathways to Licensure Statutory Revisions – Amendments to sections 27, 2909, 2909.5, 2910, 2911, 2913, 2914, 2915, 2915.5, 2915.7, 2942, 2944, 2946, and 94 95 2960 of the Business and Professions Code, and section 1010 of the Evidence Code 96 97 Ms. Rivera provided the update on this item. She stated that the item is informational, 98 99 and no action is requested of the Committee. 100 Ms. Cervantes is anticipating that the full language will be provided at the April Board 101 Meeting. 102 103 No Committee or public comment was given. 104 105 c) Sunset Provisions – Amendments to section 2912 of the Business and 106 Professions Code, and Addition of Sections Related to Reinstatement to Active 107 after Voluntary Surrender, Licensure Committee Delegated Authority, and 108 **Authority to Issue Waivers** 109 110 Ms. Rivera provided the update on this item. She stated that the item is informational, 111 and no action is requested of the Committee. 112 113 Ms. Cervantes is anticipating that the full language will be provided at the April Board 114 Meeting. 115 116 No Committee or public comment was given. 117 118 Agenda Item #7: Review and Consideration of Proposed Legislation 119 a) Review of Bills for Active Position Recommendations to the Board 120 121 1. AB 54 (Kiley) COVID-19 emergency order violation: license revocation 122 123 Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill. She stated that staff recommends the 124 Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee Watch AB 54 (Kiley) as compliance with 125 COVID-19 emergency orders is enforced at the local level. 126 127 Ms. Cervantes stated that the committee does not need to vote on watch bills. Mr. Maquire 128 stated that if it looks like a bill is moving further in the process, the Committee may want 129

No Committee or public comment was given.

130

133134

to consider an oppose unless amended position.

2. AB 1236 (Ting) Healing arts: licensees: data collection



Ms. Rivera stated that the bill is currently in the Assembly Business and Professions Committee. Ms. Rivera stated due to the anticipated cost to implement this bill, and the Board's need for a fee increase, staff is recommending the Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee watch AB 1236 (Ting).

Ms. Cervantes stated that she does not agree with the staff recommendation. She stated that it is important for staff to collect demographic data but has concerns that the data points identified in the bill will not be beneficial to licensees or the public. Ms. Cervantes provided the following example: for job satisfaction rating, many licensees renewal fees are paid by their employer and asking psychologists that question is not going to help in meeting overall workforce needs of the state. Ms. Cervantes provided more examples of how the items listed in the bill were not helpful. Ms. Cervantes suggested that a survey question about retirement would be helpful. She suggested that a position other than Watch should be taken on this bill, so that the Board is engaged in how the bill develops and can raise operational concerns.

Committee discussion ensued regarding survey questions presented in this bill. Board staff informed the Committee that the board currently has a voluntary questionnaire in the initial application and renewal but there is no statutory requirement to report.

It was M(Casuga)/S(Phillips)/C to direct the Chair and board staff to meet with the authors staff on AB 1236 (Ting) to gather additional information about the bill.

Colin Sueyres, Director of Government Affairs at CPA, stated that CPA took an oppose position on AB 1236 (Ting). Mr. Sueyres stated the CPA Board received several comments regarding concerns of information provided for the survey being leaked. Mr. Sueyres stated CPA will be submitting the oppose position letter within the next week.

Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes

3. SB 772 (Ochoa Bogh) Professions and vocations: citations: minor violations

Ms. Rivera provided the background of the bill and the staff recommendation for the Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee to take an Oppose Unless Amended position on SB 772 (Ochoa Bogh), requesting the removal of the Board of Psychology, as this bill would prohibit the assessment of an administrative fine for a minor violation. While violations may be deemed minor, violations speak to the character of the licensee and thus all violations should be addressed as part of a larger context.

It was M(Phillips)/S(Casuga)/C to approve the staff recommendation to recommend to the Board take an oppose unless amended position on SB 772 (Ochoa Bogh).

No Committee or public comment was given.



180 181	Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes
182	b) Review of Watch Bills
183	b) Noviou of Maton Billo
184 185	Dr. Casuga stated she would like to discuss the following bills: AB 32 (Aguiar-Curry) and SB 224 (Portantino).
186	
187 188	Dr. Phillips stated he would like to discuss the following bills: AB 107 (Salas), AB 657 (Bonta), and AB 810 (Flora).
189	
190 191	1. AB 32 (Aguiar-Curry) Telehealth
192 193	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill.
194 195 196	Dr. Casuga stated that telehealth has been very helpful providing access to mental health services. She stated she hopes the committee will recommend supporting this bill.
197 198	It was M(Casuga)/S(Phillips)/C to recommend to the full Board to support AB 32 (Aguiar-Curry).
199 200 201 202	Dr. Phillips asked for staff input. Ms. Sorrick stated that if this bill intends to accomplish what it says it does then it would be in line with strategic plan items related to access to care.
203	
204 205	Mr. Sueyres stated the CPA took a position of strong support.
206 207	Committee discussion ensued regarding taking a position of support.
208 209	Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes
210211212	2. AB 107 (Salas) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: temporary licenses: military spouses
212213214	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill.
215 216 217	It was M(Phillips)/S(Casuga)/C to recommend to the Board to support if amended AB 107 (Salas).
218 219	No Committee or public comment was given.
220 221	Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes
222 223 224	3. AB 225 (Gray) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: veterans: military spouses: licenses



269

225	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill.
226	
227	Dr. Phillips asked if applicants only have to attest that they qualify. Ms. Rivera answered
228 229	by stating that documentation will have to be provided along with the attestation.
230	No Committee or public comment was given.
231	
232	4. AB 339 (Lee) State and local government: open meetings
233	
234	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill and provided an update. Ms. Rivera
235	stated the staff recommendation is to Watch this bill.
236	
237	No Committee or public comment was given.
238	F. AD CAC (Law). Department of Consumon Affairs, be and a consumon description
239	5. AB 646 (Low) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: expunged convictions
240 241	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill.
241	ivis. Nivera provided the background of this bill.
243	Mr. Sueyres stated CPA has taken a position of support on AB 646 (Low).
244	Will Gueyres stated of Athas taken a position of support of AB 040 (Low).
245	No Committee or public comment was given.
246	5
247	6. AB 657 (Bonta) State civil service system: personal services contracts:
248	professionals
249	
250	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill.
251	
252	It was M(Phillips)/S(Casuga)/C to recommend to the Board to take an Oppose Unless
253	Amended position on AB 657 (Bonta).
254	Dr. Phillips suggested the term 'clinical' be removed as the Board does not issue
255 256	specialty licenses for psychologists.
257	specially licerises for psychologists.
258	No Committee or public comment was given.
259	The Committee of public comment was given.
260	Vote: 3 ayes (Cervantes, Casuga, Phillips), 0 noes
261	
262	7. AB 810 (Flora) Healing arts: reports: claims against licensees
263	
264	Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill. Ms. Rivera stated that the staff
265	recommends the Committee to Watch AB 810 (Flora).
266	
267	No Committee or public comment was given.

8. AB 830 (Flora) Department of Consumer Affairs: director: powers and duties



270 271 Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill. Ms. Rivera stated that staff recommends the Committee to Watch AB 830 (Flora). 272 273 9. AB 1026 (Smith) Business licenses: veterans. 274 275 276 Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill. 277 Ms. Cervantes had a question regarding the following statement, "This bill would 278 279 authorize a board to adopt regulations necessary to administer these provisions." Ms. Cervantes asked if the Board could raise its licensing fees to accommodate the 280 additional cost. 281 282 Mr. Glasspiegel responded that if the Board cannot implement the legislation as written, 283 the Board can promulgate regulations to specify how the Board will meet the legislative 284 requirement of the bill. 285 286 Ms. Sorrick stated that if there are enough individuals taking advantage of the authority 287 presented in this bill, it would add financial strain on the Board budget. 288 289 Ms. Cheung stated there is a relatively small number of military applicants; one year can 290 be 30 applicants and the next may be ten applicants. 291 292 No Committee or public comment was given. 293 294 295 10. AB 1386 (Cunningham) License fees: military partners and spouses 296 Ms. Rivera provided background of the bill. 297 298 Dr. Phillips stated that he appreciates this bills' desire to help people. He stated that the 299 people benefiting from this bill is a relatively small number and that in the long run other 300 licensees may be picking up the cost. 301 302 No Committee or public comment was given. 303 304 11. SB 102 (Melendez) COVID-19 emergency order violation: license revocation 305 306 307 Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill. Ms. Rivera stated that staff recommends the Committee to Watch SB 102 (Melendez). 308 309 No Committee or public comment was given. 310

12. SB 224 (Portantino) Pupil instruction: mental health education

Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill.

311

312313314



Ms. Cervantes stated that as an educator she appreciates the intent of this legislation, but it would be difficult for school districts to implement without additional funding.

Dr. Phillips stated that conceptually this bill is a good idea. Dr. Phillips stated that part of the problem with psychological healthcare delivery is access. For there to be access to care, people must be cognizant of the situations in which they would need to access care. Dr. Phillips stated there are pockets of resistance to the use of mental health care. Dr. Phillips stated it would be most cost efficient and more compassionate if people were readily willing to consider that option. Dr. Phillips shares the Chair's concern as it relates to imposing yet more obligations on overtaxed school systems without providing appropriate additional revenue sources.

Dr. Casuga wanted to discuss this bill because some of the provisions would increase education and outreach for mental health, especially to younger students. Dr. Casuga stated she appreciates the bill's provisions that provide information on how to seek and find assistance from mental health professionals. Dr. Casuga supports the outreach aspect proposed in this bill.

Ms. Sorrick stated that this bill is not directly tied to access to care or the provision of care but instead about education. Ms. Sorrick stated that, historically, the Board has seen several bills about curriculum or programs within the educational system and has not been involved in advocating for those. Advocacy for this bill would be inconsistent with what the Board has done in the past.

 Dr. Winkelman stated that CPA is supporting this bill. She stated the CPA Board discussed this bill and thought it would be very useful to have education on mental health issues and could lead to a decrease in stigma and provide more access. Dr. Winkelman stated that there have been two middle school suicides in her county. She stated that the CPA Board also discussed the financing issue, but that the recommendation for a one-time medically accurate, age-appropriate mental health education in elementary, middle, and high school seemed like a relatively inexpensive burden.

13. SB 534 (Jones) Department of Consumer Affairs

Ms. Rivera provided the background of this bill. Ms. Rivera stated that staff recommends the Committee to Watch SB 534 (Jones).

No Committee or public comment was given.

Agenda Item #8 - Legislative Items for Future Meeting. The Committee May
Discuss Other Items of Legislation in Sufficient Detail to Determine Whether Such
Items Should be on a Future Committee or Board Meeting Agenda and/or Whether



to Hold a Special Meeting of the Committee or Board to Discuss Such Items Pursuant to Government Code Section 11125.4

Dr. Casuga wanted to watch SB 221 (Wiener) regarding health care access.

362363364

361

Mr. Glasspiegel stated that the upcoming April 2nd Board meeting agenda will include two bills were not included in this agenda, AB 562 (Low) and SB 731 (Bradford).

365366367

No public comments were made, and no further Committee comments were made.

368 369

<u>Agenda Item #9 - Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional Changes</u>

370371372

374

375

Mr. Glasspiegel stated that there are no updates on the following items.

373

a) 16 California Code of Regulations (CCR) 1396.8 – Standards of Practice for Telehealth

376

No Committee or public comment was given.

377378

b) 16 CCR Sections 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11,
1391.12, 1392.1 - Psychological Assistants

381 382

No Committee or public comment was given.

383 384

c) 16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1381.10, 1392 – Retired License, Renewal of Expired License, Psychologist Fees

385 386 387

No Committee or public comment was given.

388 389

d) 16 CCR Sections 1381.9, 1397.60, 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67 – Continuing Professional Development

391

390

The following comments public comments were given:

393

Dr. Winkelman asked the expected timeline of adoption of telehealth and CPD regulations and if there will be FAQs on both CPD and telehealth.

396

Mr. Glasspiegel stated that both packages are in the drafting phase. He stated that for CPD the effective date in the language is January 1, 2022, and the Board intends to do an FAQ.

400

- Dr. Winkelman stated she understands the implementation date will not be before
- January 1, 2022, and she also understands there will be a transitional year. Dr.
- Winkelman provided an example of why there should be sufficient notice: a licensee's



renewal would be impacted if they are due to renew January 5th and the new regulations are implemented January 1, 2022.

Ms. Sorrick stated within the next 3-6 months we will know if both regulations will be approved by the Office of Administrative Law (OAL). She stated that once the package is submitted to and approved by OAL then Board staff would work on an implementation plan. This would include issuing an advisory and creating an FAQ. Ms. Sorrick acknowledged and thanked Dr. Winkelman for offering to help Board staff in creating the FAQ. Ms. Sorrick stated that in the regulations a straddle year was created so that licensees can use either the CPD model or the prior model to fulfill the renewal.

Dr. Phillips stated he recognizes the regulatory approval process is one that is difficult to predict but that the Board will do everything within its abilities to expedite the regulatory approval process and that psychologists are adequately informed in advance of the implementation of the regulations.

e) 16 CCR Sections 1391.13, and 1391.14 – Inactive Psychological Assistant Registration and Reactivating a Psychological Assistant Registration

No Committee or public comment was given.

f) 16 CCR 1392 – Psychologist Fees – California Psychology Law and Ethics Examination (CPLEE) and Initial License and Biennial Renewal Fee for a Psychologist

No Committee or public comment was given.

g) 16 CCR 1395.2 Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance-Abusing Licensees

No Committee or public comment was given.

Agenda Item #10 - Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board
Meetings. Note: The Committee May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter
Raised During This Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place
the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code Sections 11125
and 11125.7(a)].

No Committee or public comment was given.

Adjournment

The Committee adjourned at 1:21 p.m.