

1 2 3	TELECONFERENCE BOARD MEETING MINUTES			
4 5 6 7	NOTE: Pursuant to the provisions of Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order N-29-20, dated March 17, 2020, neither Board member locations nor a public meeting location were provided.			
8	Friday, May 21, 2021			
9	Members Present			
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Seyron Foo, President Lea Tate, PsyD, Vice President Sheryll Casuga, PsyD Marisela Cervantes Mary Harb Sheets, PhD Julie Nystrom Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD Ana Rescate Shacunda Rodgers, PhD Members Absent None			
2324252627	Legal Counsel Will Maguire Heather Hoganson			
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Board Staff Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer Jon Burke, Assistant Executive Officer Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Manager Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager Liezel McCockran, CE/Renewals Coordinator Cristina Rivera, Legislative and Regulatory Analyst Sarah Proteau, Central Services Office Technician			
38 39 40 41	AGENDA 9:00 a.m. – 5:00 p.m. or until Completion of Business			

Unless noticed for a specific time, items may be heard at any time during the period of the Board meeting.

The Board welcomes and encourages public participation at its meetings. The public may take appropriate opportunities to comment on any issue before the Board at the time the item is heard.

Agenda Item 1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum

Board President Foo called the meeting to order at 9:10 a.m., roll was taken, and quorum established.

Agenda Item 2: President's Welcome

President Foo read the Board's mission statement and provided a welcome to all participants. He stated that Agenda Items 8 and 9 would be moved up in the schedule and be discussed after Agenda Item 3 and then would continue after in numerical order.

Plans for future Board meetings were addressed with reference to August 27, 2021, to remain virtual and November to be in-person, dependent on several factors including the status of any Emergency Order in place at that time.

Mr. Foo also noted that reference materials would potentially look different in subsequent meetings, changes which were made in the effort to incorporate stakeholder feedback to provide a narrative and contextualize data.

There was no Board or public comment offered.

Agenda Item 3: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda.

There was no Board or public comment offered.

Agenda Item 8: Budget Report

Mr. Glasspiegel referenced page 43 of 76 in the hand carry materials and provided the Budget Report summary.

A discussion ensued between Ms. Nystrom, Mr. Glasspiegel, and Ms. Renee Milano of the Budget Office regarding contracts the Board of Psychology had with the Department of Justice and the Office of the Attorney General (OAH) which were included in the materials.

Ms. Nystrom asked for clarification on rate increases that had taking place with the Office of the Attorney General (OAG) and OAH. Ms. Milano confirmed there had been

rate increases and that the Budget Office is preparing an analysis to make sure that all related budgets could support the additional cost.

Ms. Nystrom asked if any indication of further rate increases had been received to which Ms. Milano stated that she was unaware of any discussion of any new planned increase. It was asked that any potential rate increase by OAG would be communicated with DCA to allow appropriate planning and fiscal management.

Mr. Foo asked a question in relation to Attachments C and D and what was driving the difference in revenue, if it was connected to the augmentation for Department of Justice (DOJ) and how the difference would affect the structural imbalance.

A discussion ensued regarding differences in cost and revenue from the original projections and it was clarified that any differences are related to variable numbers related to enforcement activity and citations/fines.

Mr. Glasspiegel confirmed that while it was helpful to have additional income as a small cushion, the difference would not affect the structural imbalance of the budget forecast in a meaningful and effective way.

There was no public comment offered.

Agenda Item 9: Discussion and Possible Approval of Regulatory Fee Changes 16 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Sections 1392: Psychologist Fees, 1392.1: Psychological Assistant Fees

Mr. Glasspiegel provided a summary of the discussion of a structural imbalance that had been provided at the February 2021 Board Meeting. This included background on the structural imbalance and he provided an update on the existing options that have been discussed. Page 19 of the combined meeting materials was referenced for a list of all fees that had not been increased to their statutory cap. Page 49 of 76 in the hand carry materials was referenced, which included historical information of all fees since the Board's inception.

Mr. Glasspiegel noted a change to the language to add the word "conforming" to update the staff recommended motion to approve the proposed regulatory changes to 16 CCR Sections 1392 and 1392.1, direct the Executive Officer to take all steps necessary to initiate the rulemaking process, authorize the Executive Officer to make any technical or non-substantive changes to the rulemaking package as necessary, including any conforming changes with language previously approved by the Board, notice the proposed text for a 45-day comment period with the Office of Administrative Law, hold a hearing on the proposal, and, if no adverse comments are received during the 45-day comment period or hearing, adopt the proposed regulatory changes.

- 130 Mr. Foo referred to the hand carry item for Agenda Item 9, attachment b, which provided
- 131 a fee history for the Board of Psychology and related fees which would potentially be
- 132 impacted by a change. He noted that the application fee of \$40 had been set in 1979,
- 133 the continuing education evaluation fee was set in 2013, the renewal fee was also set in
- 134 1979. Mr. Foo then opened to questions from the Board.

136 Ms. Nystrom asked if the independent company that performs the fee analysis will they 137 also analyze for inefficiencies within the Board operations.

138

- 139 Ms. Sorrick responded that separate to the fee audit, the Board has been working with 140 DCA's Organizational Improvement Office (OIO) to evaluate all processes including 141 online and paper licensing, renewal, and enforcement processes through the Board's
- 142
- strategic plan. Ms. Sorrick clarified that there is a separate process to analyze fees
- 143 through a fee study completed in coordination with the DCA Budget Office.

144

145 Mr. Matt Nishimine, DCA Budget Office, provided some clarity on fee studies which are 146 done regularly. He stated that fee studies typically do not include efficiency studies, but 147 he echoed the comments of Ms. Sorrick that he had been aware of the Board doing an 148 independent study to evaluate efficiencies in processes.

149

150 He then explained the process of a fee study and what would be included for example 151 how the initial licensing process is analyzed as well as renewal fees.

152 153

Mr. Foo asked Board members for a motion to approve regulatory changes as listed in the document.

154 155

- It was M(Harb Sheets)/S(Tate)/C to approve the regulatory changes to 16 California 156
- 157 Code of Regulations section 1392 and 1392.1, to direct the Executive Officer to take all
- 158 steps necessary to initiate the rulemaking process, authorize the Executive Officer to
- make any technical or non-substantive changes to the rulemaking package as 159
- 160 necessary, including any conforming changes with language previously approved by the
- 161 Board, notice the proposed text for a 45-day comment period with the Office of
- 162 Administrative Law, hold a hearing on the proposal, and, if no adverse comments are
- 163 received during the 45-day comment period or hearing, adopt the proposed regulatory 164
- changes 165

The motion was verbally amended as suggested by Mr. Glasspiegel to include the 166 167 language the word "conforming" and the amended motion was approved by Drs. Harb 168 Sheets and Tate.

169

170 There was no additional Board discussion and no public comment offered.

171

172 Vote: 8 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Phillips, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 0 173 Noes

175	Agenda Item 4: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Board Meeting Minutes:
176	February 18-19, 2021
177	
178	Mr. Foo introduced this item and asked the Board for any substantive edits to the
179	February 18-19, 2021 Board Meeting Minutes.
180	
181	Dr. Phillips provided a short list of minor edits which were regarding Ms. Nystrom's
182	recusal from voting on certain items due to her position with the State Senate. These
183	edits were noted and updated in the minutes.
184 185	Dr. Harb Shoots identified a miner error in punctuation which was noted and undated
186	Dr. Harb Sheets identified a minor error in punctuation which was noted and updated.
187	It was M(Phillips)/S(Harb Sheets)/C to adopt minutes as amended.
188	it was intriniips // otrials offects // o to adopt mindles as amended.
189	The was no public comment offered.
190	Familia community and a management of the community of the commu
191	Vote: 8 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Phillips, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate) 0
192	Noes
193	
194	Agenda Item 5: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Board Meeting Minutes:
195	April 2, 2021
196	
197	Dr. Phillips provided a short list of minor edits regarding Ms. Nystrom's recusal from
198	voting on certain items due to her position with the State Senate. These edits were
199	notated and updated in the minutes.
200	It was M/Dhilling)/S/Nystrom)/C to adopt minutes as amonded
201202	It was M(Phillips)/S(Nystrom)/C to adopt minutes as amended.
202	There was no public comment offered.
204	There was no public comment officed.
205	Vote: 8 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Phillips, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate) 0
206	Noes
207	
208	Agenda Item 6: Executive Officer's Report
209	
210	Personnel Update
211	Statistical Reports – Future Reporting Plan for Enforcement, Licensing, and
212	Central Services
213	
214	Ms. Sorrick provided an update for both Agenda Item 6 (a) and 6 (b).
215	
216	There were no updates to personnel and Ms. Sorrick re-stated the notification given by
217218	Mr. Foo in his welcome comments that meeting documents will look somewhat different going forward. She indicated that board staff would be working with each unit to verify
219	what information would be best included for clarity and context for Committee meetings.
- 1	What information would be best included for clarity and context for committee incettings.

a) 2020 Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) Annual Report

Ms. Sorrick gave the definition and requirement description on this report which is meant to update the Legislature annually between Sunset Review periods which typically happen every four years.

d) COVID-19 Update

Ms. Sorrick provided an update on active waivers related to COVID-19. Three Board waivers were approved and in place and Ms. Sorrick will continue to provide a weekly update so long as the waivers are in place. She stated that executive staff, board staff and Counsel will work with DCA as any information is received related to a lifting of the Governor's emergency order. She emphasized that any changes to a declared emergency or reopening of the state would affect any existing waivers.

Ms. Sorrick provided historical context in reference to the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic when the Board submitted several waiver requests. She stated that the Board will continue working with DCA as the situation continues to evolve and explained the process of how waivers work.

Dr. Phillips expressed understanding and empathy for the concerns of stakeholders regarding the difficulty licensees have experienced with planning around short-term waivers. He stated his agreement with feedback he had received and stated his assurance that the Board would continue to do all that was in the Board's power to try to address the situation.

Mr. Maguire, DCA Legal Counsel, provided context regarding Board of Psychology issued waivers. He explained that the reason the Board had been able to issue waivers on its own accord was because it had specific statutory authority which would expire when the state of emergency ended.

There was no public comment offered.

Agenda Item 7: DCA Update

Ms. Cruz-Jones, DCA Board and Bureau Relations, provided an update regarding mask guidelines which were still in effect. She indicated that DCA will communicate updated guidance to boards and bureaus as it is received from the Center for Disease Control (CDC).

Ms. Cruz-Jones offered clarification on remote meetings and stated that if/when the order is lifted, DCA will provide all options for Boards and Bureaus to transition safely to plan for in-person meetings. She stated that the DCA COVID-19 website could be visited for information.

Ms. Cruz-Jones also referenced mandatory training for all staff and Board members.

A discussion ensued between Mr. Foo and Ms. Cruz-Jones regarding the limited notice provided for the waiver extensions and length of extensions. Mr. Foo expressed his understanding that while navigating a global pandemic was difficult, the information provided by various health services had made clear that the COVID-19 pandemic would not be a short-term situation. He asked for clarification as to the level of communication that existed between DCA and the Business Consumer Services and Housing Agency's effort to understand how decisions were made regarding waiver extensions.

Ms. Cruz-Jones stated that she would speak with DCA Executive Office and provide Ms. Sorrick answers to questions related to communication with the Business Consumer Services and Housing Agency for the Board members.

There was no public comment offered.

Mr. Foo expressed appreciation to Ms. Cruz-Jones and to board and bureau relations for the close contact and assistance that has been provided during this difficult time.

Agenda Item 10: Licensing Report

Ms. Cheung provided an update on the licensing report and clarified that the data provided in the report had previously been requested by the Board regarding the Licensing Unit's application workload. She echoed previous comments made that indicated staff would seek guidance from the Committee regarding the statistical content of the report and Licensing Unit workflow at the License Committee meeting on July 16, 2021.

She referenced Attachment A which indicated there were a higher number of licensees and approved applicants as well as more registrations issued since the last Licensing Report. There were less inactive and delinquent Psychologist licenses as well as a higher number of approved exam candidates for the EPPP.

Ms. Cheung indicated that there was not a significant increase in the number of applications received in compared to previous years with exception to a slight increase in January.

Additionally, the ongoing efforts to manage the budget and structural deficit by the Board was referenced by Ms. Cheung, who recognized the hard work of the Licensing Unit's staff of four full-time analysts to manage the entire workload of the Unit.

Ms. Cheung expressed empathy with applicants and appreciation of their patience in an anxious time and provided some improvements that had been put into place to assist with communication. These include an automatic response to email queries that provide

helpful while waiting for a response from staff. Ms. Cheung indicated that applicants could always find processing timeframes on the Board's website and social media platforms which were updated monthly. Mr. Foo referenced attachments d and e that contained a year by year comparison of various items which had not been previously presented to the Board to review and expressed appreciation for the update. **Public Comment** Colleen Kennedy, member of the public, commented on the CPLEE application process and asked and if it could be automated instead of a paper process. Ms. Cheung responded that the staff was consistently looking at process improvement options, and ways to limit paper use and increase convenience and efficiency. She indicated that an electronic option for applications to take certain exams were being explored. Mr. Foo requested that the Board receive an update regarding when the Licensing Unit can go "paper lite" before the end of the calendar year. This was confirmed by Ms. Cheung and the request was added to the Licensing Committee Meeting for July 2021 by Dr. Harb Sheets, Licensing Committee Chair. There was no additional Board or public comment offered Agenda Item 11: Continuing Education and Renewals Report Mr. Glasspiegel referenced page 31 of 250 in the meeting materials. He congratulated all five licensed Board Members for passing their Continuing Education Audits and provided the update for this report for informational purposes only. No action was required by the Board. Mr. Foo referred to attachment d and e which were year by year comparisons for trends or changes in the data that had previously been requested by the Board. These had been asked for in the effort to observe any trends or patterns in the statistical data.

There was no Board or public comment offered.

Agenda Item 12: Enforcement Report

the estimated response time as well as some frequently asked questions that may be

- Ms. Monterrubio referenced materials in the hand carry items and provided an update to the staff vacancies and statistics related to enforcement.
- 357 Ms. Nystrom asked for any possible trends related to enforcement and the pandemic. 358
- Ms. Monterrubio agreed to research this information request and report back to the Board at the next Board meeting.
 - Dr. Harb Sheets noted that the data showed fewer examples of gross negligence and asked for a report in August. Ms. Monterrubio stated that a report would be provided at that meeting.

There was no public comment offered.

Agenda Item 13: Enforcement Committee Report and Consideration of and Possible Action on Committee Recommendations

- a) Child Custody Stakeholder Meeting-Implementation Plan Update
 - 1. Statutory Discussion Regarding Proposed Exception to Psychotherapist-Patient Privilege for Board Investigations
- Dr. Phillips, Committee chair, provided an update and historical background on this item. He stated that this information has been provided to stakeholders
- Mr. Burke read the portion of the memo related to the role of psychologists in child custody and visitation proceedings and provided historical context. This was included in the hand carry items and titled "Current Law". Five items had been identified in 2018 as under the jurisdiction of the Board of Psychology of which four have been implemented. The focus of this discussion was the fifth item: the intent to review and consider statutory language related to documentation considered in a child custody complaint investigation.
- Dr. Phillips provided background information and context for this item and stated the Enforcement Committee and staff recommendation that the Board approve the proposed language to amend Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 2918.
- It was M(Casuga)/S(Tate)/C to adopt the Enforcement Committee and staff recommendation to approve the proposed language to amend Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 2918.
- **Board Discussion**
- Ms. Nystrom stated that the Medical Board had previously implemented language to allow them to work with courts on similar issues and queried whether legislation has ever been considered or enacted for the Board of Psychology.

Dr. Phillips stated that to his knowledge there has never been legislation in this context for the Board of Psychology and believed that the Medical Board may be the only one that has an exception for purposes of investigation. Dr. Phillips stated that apparently it had been a successful exception in that it has increased the ability for the Medical Board to get records when they needed them.

Ms. Rescate added some context of another situation related to dual custody of minors that would be affected by this change in language.

Public Comment

Dr. Jo Linder-Crow, CEO, California Psychological Association (CPA) stated that CPA had provided feedback on a similar item earlier. She stated the position of CPA which was that Family Code section 3025.5 already provides licensing boards with the ability to access records through the court. Dr. Linder-Crow stated that CPA has serious concerns that these changes could erode the psychotherapist/patient relationship by impacting confidentiality, that there is already a process in place, and concern about areas of potential harm to consumers.

Dr. Phillips provided context that confidential information would be redacted for privacy and this change would be in the effort to the access to records in very specific situations that have been shown to present a problem for the Board in enforcement proceedings. He emphasized the Committee had determined that this change would be a necessary measure and he expressed that he did not expect the risk to the consumer to be substantial as there would still be a court involved to make sure the Board is kept within its limits of appropriate patient information.

Kathleen Russell, Executive Director of the Center for Judicial Excellence, stated support for the proposed change and added that it has nothing to do with the reports to the court but rather with the underlying documentation that was critical to the completion of investigations. She added that this was a consumer safety issue. Ms. Russell emphasized that this change would go a step beyond Family Code section 3025.5 which only deals with the custody evaluation report itself.

Colin Sueyres, Director of Government Affairs, California Psychological Association (CPA) requested that if the Board were to move forward with this change that there be work groups convened between the Board of Psychology and CPA as well as the relevant contacts of the Medical Board of California to establish what parameters to be discussed on this item.

He also requested that if this were to be legislation that's introduced, it would be held until the next year of session to allow for at least six months to truly work with the Board of Psychology to craft language that protects both the provider and the patient in a suitable manner.

There was no further public comment offered.

Ms. Nystrom stated that it had been determined that she would recuse herself from voting on items once they enter the legislative process and become a piece of legislation. In the interim, it was established that she would be involved with the discussion and vote up to the point that it is in the legislative process.

She expressed agreement with Mr. Sueyres regarding the importance of a continuous dialogue and supported the idea of work groups to make sure that all interested parties felt that their voices were heard.

A discussion ensued between Mr. Foo and Ms. Sorrick regarding engagement with interested parties and where the proposed legislation was in the process.

Ms. Sorrick stated that there are a variety of options as to how to seek the change but given the current staffing resources and limitations in the legislature with the COVID limitations on bills per legislator, this amendment would not likely be made until February of 2023 and an author would be sought at that time.

Further discussion ensued between Mr. Foo and Board Members regarding experiences with patient privilege.

Dr. Phillips provided insight that during his initial intake meeting with a new patient he discusses that the relationship is confidential but also the myriad of exceptions to the psychotherapist-patient privilege. This discussion is covered both verbally and in writing.

Dr. Harb Sheets echoed Dr. Phillips' statement that exceptions to privilege and mandated reporting is discussed when going over informed consent.

Dr. Tate expressed support for the previous members comments and touched on informed consent. She stated that she had not had any bad experiences with this issue in her practice.

Dr. Casuga expressed her experience related to reports and enforcement issues for assessments. She opined that the change would be valuable for children in assessments and treatment.

Mr. Foo stated his appreciation for the collaborative effort that he has seen in the process, both in past and what he anticipates going forward.

There was no further Board or public comment offered.

Vote: 7 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Phillips, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate) 1 Noes (Nystrom)

489	b) Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional Changes
490	1. 16 CCR 1380.6 – Display of License Number
491	2. 16 CCR 1393 – Requirements for Psychologists on Probation
492	3. 16 CCR 1396 – Competence
493	4. 16 CCR 1396.1 – Interpersonal Relations
494	5. 16 CCR 1396.2 – Misrepresentation
495	6. 16 CCR 1396.3 – Test Security
496	7. 16 CCR 1396.4 – Professional Identification
497	8. 16 CCR 1396.5 – Consumer Information
498	9. 16 CCR 1397 – Advertising
499	10.16 CCR 1397.1 – Child Abuse Reporting requirements
500	11.16 CCR 1397.2 – Other Actions Constituting Unprofessional Conduct
501	12.16 CCR 1397.30 – Citation
502	13.16 CCR 1397.36 – Requirements for Professional Corporations
503	14.16 CCR 1397.37 – Shares: Ownership and Transfer
504	15.16 CCR 1397.39 – Corporate Activities
505	16.16 CCR 1397.40 – Trusts
506	17.16 CCR 1397.50 – Citations and Fines
507	18.16 CCR 1397.51 – Amount of Fines
508	19.16 CCR 1397.52 – Compliance with Orders of Abatement
509	20.16 CCR 1397.53 – Citations for Unlicensed Practice
510	21.16 CCR 1397.54 – Contest of Citations
511	22.16 CCR 1397.55 – Disconnection of Telephone Service
512	

Ms. Monterrubio provided an informational update to Agenda Items 13 (b) and 13 (c).

513514515

516

400

She stated that the Enforcement Committee and board staff had begun a comprehensive review of all enforcement related sections of the California Code of Regulations and the Business and Professions Code.

517518519

520

521

Ms. Monterrubio stated that the Committee and staff had completed their review of the regulatory sections and would continue working on the review of the statutory sections at the next Enforcement Committee. This was provided as information only and no action was required.

522523524

There was no Board or public comment offered.

525526

527528

529

530

531

- c) Statutory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional Changes
 - 1. Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 2902 Definitions
 - 2. BPC section 2903 Licensure requirement; Practice of psychology; Psychotherapy
 - 3. BPC section 2903.1 Biofeedback instruments
 - 4. BPC section 2908 Exemption of other professions
 - 5. BPC section 2912 Temporary practice by licensees of other state or foreign country

534	6.	BPC section 2934.1 – Posting of license status on Web site
535	7.	BPC section 2936 – Consumer and professional education in matters
536		relevant to ethical practice; Standards of ethical conduct; Notice
537	8.	BPC section 2960 – Grounds for action subdivisions (a)-(r) & (o)
538	9.	BPC section 2960.05 – Limitations period for filing accusation against
539		licensee
540	10.	BPC section 2960.1 – Sexual contact with patient; Revocation
541	11.	BPC section 2960.2 – Licensee's physical, emotional and mental
542		condition evaluated
543	12.	BPC section 2960.5 – Mental illness or chemical dependency
544	13.	BPC section 2960.6 – Actions by other states
545	14.	BPC section 2961 – Scope of action
546	15.	BPC section 2962 – Petition for reinstatement or modification of penalty
547	16.	BPC section 2963 – Matters deemed conviction
548	17.	BPC section 2964 – Report of license revocation or restoration
549	18.	BPC section 2964.3 – Persons required to register as sex offender
550	19.	BPC section 2964.5 – Conditions of probation or suspension
551	20.	BPC section 2964.6 – Payment of probationary costs
552	21.	BPC section 2965 – Conduct of proceedings
553	22.	BPC section 2966 – Suspension during incarceration for felony
554		conviction; Determination of substantial relationship of felony to functions
555		of psychologist; Discipline or denial of license
556	23.	BPC section 2969 – Penalties for failure to provide medical records;
557		Failure to comply with court order; Multiple acts
558	24.	BPC section 2970 – Violation of chapter as misdemeanor
559	25.	BPC section 2971 – Injunctions
560	26.	BPC section 2985 – Renewal of suspended licenses; Reinstatement of
561		revoked licenses
562	27.	BPC section 2986 – Effect of failure to renew within prescribed time
563	28.	BPC section 2995 – Psychological corporation
564	29.	BPC section 2996 – Violation of unprofessional conduct
565	30.	BPC section 2996.1 – Conduct of practice
566	31.	BPC section 2996.2 – Accrual of income to shareholder while disqualified
567		prohibited
568	32.	BPC section 2997 – Shareholders, directors and officers to be licensees
569	33.	BPC section 2998 – Name, 2999 – Regulation by committee

Agenda Item 14: Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) Update

Mr. Foo introduced this item and provided context that the mid-year meeting for ASPPB had been held virtually which gave more members the opportunity to attend. He opened the floor for Board comment.

- A discussion ensued between Board Members. Drs. Phillips and Tate expressed appreciation for being able to attend the mid-year ASPPB meeting. Dr. Tate expressed surprise at how ahead of the curve California is and was very proud to be a representative of this State.
- Dr. Harb Sheets stated her surprise at how many states required graduation from an APA accredited graduate program. She also spoke of her concern with a lack of cohesiveness between the different jurisdictions.
- 587 Dr. Casuga expressed concerns on the possible infringement on jurisdictional power 588 and echoed the comments of Dr. Harb Sheets.
- Dr. Rodgers supported the previous comments and stated there were opportunities to join volunteer task forces within ASPPB which would potentially provide openings for the Board to be involved in conversations. She expressed her appreciation at the opportunity to lead a mindfulness exercise during the meeting.
 - Dr. Phillips echoed Dr. Rodgers and brought up, for context, the government travel limitations that have generally been in place.
 - Ms. Sorrick stated that the ASPPB annual meeting in the fall will also be virtual and there will be opportunity to attend.
 - Dr. Casuga clarified that the volunteer task forces that were mentioned by Dr. Rodgers generally did not require travel but meet virtually. She said this would provide more opportunity to have a seat at the table for future discussions.
 - Mr. Foo clarified that the information reported above was related to the discussions had at the ASPPB mid-year meeting and that the Board of Psychology doesn't have any changes in relation to what ASPPB discussed but merely was providing a report on the experience. He stated that if any items were to be agendized for any future Board meeting and if there was an item to be discussed further, it would be done in a collaborative manner to allow for the participation of stakeholders.
 - There was no public comment offered.

Agenda Item 15: Legislative and Regulatory Affairs

- a) Board-Sponsored Legislation for the 2021 Legislative Session: Review and Possible Action
 - i. SB 401 (Pan) Psychology: unprofessional conduct: disciplinary action: sexual acts
- Dr. Phillips introduced this item and expressed appreciation to the California Psychological Association for their work with the Board on SB 401 (Pan).

Ms. Rivera provided a brief information-only update for this agenda item. No action was required
There was no Board or public comment offered.
Pathways to Licensure Statutory Revisions – Amendments to sections 27.

ii. Pathways to Licensure Statutory Revisions – Amendments to sections 27, 2909, 2909.5, 2910, 2911, 2913, 2914, 2915, 2915.5, 2915.7, 2942, 2944, 2946, and 2960 of the Business and Professions Code, and section 1010 of the Evidence Code.

Dr. Phillips introduced this item and Ms. Rivera provided an update that the Board was waiting to be included in the bill. No action was required.

There was no Board or public comment offered.

iii. Sunset Provisions – Amendments to section 2912, and Addition of Sections Related to Reinstatement to Active after Voluntary Surrender, Licensure Committee Delegated Authority, and Authority to Issue Waivers.

Dr. Phillips introduced this item and Ms. Rivera provided an update. No action was required.

Ms. Sorrick stated that the committee was reviewing statuary amendments and considering the Board for inclusion in SB 801 and that Agenda Items 2 and 3 were both in a "wait and see" status.

There was no Board or public comment offered.

b. Review and Consideration of Bills for an Active Positioni. AB 885 (Quirk) Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act: teleconferencing

Dr. Phillips introduced this item and Ms. Rivera provided the update on the bill regarding accessibility to public meetings. It was staff's recommendation to watch the bill and that staff will work with Dr. Rodgers to draft a letter of concern regarding the requirement that the meeting be both audibly and visually observable to the public.

Staff's concerns were stated by Ms. Rivera as follows: Technology may not allow for blurred backgrounds, there are health and safety of Board members and their family, and finally, there is considerable research on ZOOM fatigue and the impact of virtual meetings on mental health from cameras in meetings.

Dr. Phillips asked if the option of a hybrid meeting of part teleconference and part inperson would be possible. 668 A discussion ensued about the possible implementation of a hybrid meeting. Concern 669 was expressed by multiple Board Members about the difficulty of scheduling both 670 videographer and WebEx. Ms. Rivera confirmed the mandatory requirement of video for 671 in-person meetings. 672 673 It was determined that Ms. Rivera would seek clarification from the authors office for 674 Counsel regarding the intent of the bill and clarification as to why video would be required for remote participants. 675 676 677 Mr. Maguire and Ms. Sorrick suggested additional changes to the language in Government Code section 11123 (b)(1)(B) and 11123 (b)(1)(C) to clarify "designated 678 679 primary meeting location" and to adjust the section to address concerns for video, cost, 680 and accessibility. 681 682 Dr. Phillips confirmed with Ms. Rivera that the bill should be on watch status and does 683 not require a motion and vote. 684 685 There was no public comment offered. 686 687 Mr. Maguire stated that counsel would work with staff on which specific points to ask the 688 author for clarity. 689 690 Ms. Sorrick stated that staff would work with counsel to make sure all concerns of Board 691 Members are addressed. 692 693 c. Review of Bills with Active Positions Taken by the Board 694 1. AB 32 (Aguiar-Curry) Telehealth 695 696 Dr. Phillips introduced and Ms. Rivera provided an update on this item regarding 697 telehealth related to Medi-Cal. Ms. Rivera stated the Committee's recommendation on 698 March 19, 2021 to support AB 32 (Aguiar-Curry) and was adopted by the Board on April 699 2, 2021. 700 701 Ms. Rivera stated AB 32 (Aguiar-Curry) had passed out of Appropriations on a 16-0 702 vote and would be moving to floor. 703 704 This update was provided as information only, with no action required. 705 706 There was no Board or public comment offered. 707 708 2. AB 107 (Salas) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: temporary 709 licenses: military spouses 710 711 Dr. Phillips introduced and Ms. Rivera provided an update on this item regarding the 712 issuing of temporary authorization to active duty military spouses. She stated that staff

had spoken with the author's office and sponsor who was willing to assist staff to make necessary modifications to BPC 2946 to include the Board under the exemption. Ms. Rivera provided the Committee's recommendation on March 19, 2021 to Support if Amended on AB 107 (Salas). This recommendation was adopted by the Board on April 2, 2021. The bill passed out of the Appropriations Committee and Ms. Rivera stated staff's understanding that the author's office intends to include the Board on the amendments they submit when the bill gets to the Senate Business, Professions and Economic Committee. This update was provided as information only, with no action required. There was no Board or public comment offered. 3.SB 731 (Durazo) Criminal records: relief Dr. Phillips introduced and Ms. Rivera provided an update on this item regarding the ability of the Board to receive conviction information for applicants under specific circumstances. Ms. Rivera stated that this bill would have a large impact on the Board's licensing and enforcement programs and would hinder the Board's ability protect consumers. Ms. Rivera provided the Committee's recommendation on March 19, 2021 to oppose SB 731 (Durazo). This recommendation was adopted by the Board on April 2, 2021. Ms. Rivera stated SB 731 (Durazo) passed out of Appropriations with a 5 - 2 vote. This update was provided as information only, with no action required. There was no Board or public comment offered. 4.SB 772 (Ochoa Bogh) Professions and vocations: citations: minor violations Dr. Phillips introduced and Ms. Rivera provided an update on this item. She stated the Committee had agreed with the staff recommendation for an Oppose Unless Amended position on SB 772 (Ochoa Bogh). This recommendation was adopted by the Board on April 2, 2021. Ms. Rivera stated SB 772 (Ochoa Bogh) would be a two-year bill. This update was provided as information only, with no action required.

There was no Board or public comment offered.

758 759 d. Review of Watch Bills 760 761 Dr. Phillips stated that the Board would review Agenda Items 15 (d) 5, 11, and 14. 762 763 1. AB 29 (Cooper) State bodies: meetings 764 2. AB 54 (Kiley) COVID-19 emergency order violation: license revocation 3. AB 225 (Gray) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: veterans: 765 766 military spouses: licenses 767 4. AB 339 (Lee) State and local government: open meetings 5. AB 562 (Low) Frontline COVID-19 Provider Mental Health Resiliency Act 768 769 of 2021: health care providers: mental health services 770 771 Ms. Rivera provided a review of this item regarding the establishment of a mental health 772 resiliency program to provide mental health services to licensed health care providers 773 who have provided health care services to COVID-19 patients. 774 775 She stated the Board had agreed with the Committee recommendation to watch AB 562 (Low) on April 2, 2021 and the bill passed out of the Appropriations Committee on a 16-776 777 0 vote. 778 779 This update was provided as information only, with no action required. 780 781 Dr. Phillips opined that Board of Psychology licensees be included as part of the bill, 782 which was echoed by Mr. Maguire. 783 784 Ms. Rivera confirmed that the details were still being defined and that she could 785 communicate with the author's office to discuss. 786 787 There was no public comment offered. 788 789 6. AB 646 (Low) Department of Consumer Affairs: boards: expunged 790 convictions 791 7. AB 657 (Bonta) State civil service system: personal services contracts: 792 professionals 793 8. AB 810 (Flora) Healing arts: reports: claims against licensees 794 9. AB 830 (Flora) Department of Consumer Affairs: director: powers and 795 duties 796 10. AB 1026 (Smith) Business licenses: veterans. 797 11. AB 1236 (Ting) Healing arts: licensees: data collection 798 799 Dr. Phillips introduced this item and Ms. Rivera provided an update. 800 801 Ms. Rivera provided a review of this item regarding possible requirement of data 802 collection of healing arts licensees.

303				
304	She stated that on March 19, 2021, the Committee had agreed with the staff			
305	recommendation for an Oppose Unless Amended position on AB 1236 (Ting). This			
306	recommendation was adopted by the Board on April 2, 2021.			
307				
308	Ms. Rivera clarified that while staff supported the voluntary collection of information, the			
309	requirement that programs compile and submit a report of the data would be cost			
310	prohibitive to the Board which is currently in need of a fee increase.			
311	promblave to the Board Whom is dancing in mood of a roo increase.			
312	This update was provided as information only, with no action required.			
312	This apacto was provided as information only, with he assem required.			
313	There was no Board or public comment offered.			
311	There was no Board of public comment officied.			
316	12. AB 1386 (Cunningham) License fees: military partners and spouses			
317	13. SB 102 (Melendez) COVID-19 emergency order violation: license			
317	revocation			
310	14.SB 221 (Wiener) Health care coverage: timely access to care			
320	14.30 221 (Wieffer) Health care coverage. Inflety access to care			
320 321	Dr. Phillips introduced this item and Ms. Rivera provided an update.			
321	Dr. 1 milips introduced this item and ivis. Nivera provided an apacie.			
323	Ms. Rivera provided a review of this item regarding access to care. She provided the			
323	staff recommendation that the Board watch SB 221 (Weiner).			
325	stan recommendation that the Board Water OB 221 (Weiner).			
326	Board comment			
327	Board commone			
328	Dr. Casuga opined that the Board watch this bill and Dr. Phillips expressed appreciation			
329	for Dr. Casuga's comment.			
330	Tor Dr. Gadaga a comment.			
331	There was no public comment offered.			
332	There was no public comment energy.			
333	15. SB 224 (Portantino) Pupil instruction: mental health education			
334	10. OB 221 (Fortalitatio) Fupil mondotton. Montal montal addocation			
335	e) Legislative Items for Future Meeting. The Board May Discuss Other Items of			
336	Legislation in Sufficient Detail to Determine Whether Such Items Should be			
337	on a Future Board Meeting Agenda and/or Whether to Hold a Special Meeting			
338	of the Board to Discuss Such Items Pursuant to Government Code section			
339	11125.4			
340	11120.1			
341	Dr. Phillips introduced this item.			
342	Dr. 1 minpo introduced the term.			
343	No Board or public comment was offered.			
343 344	The Desire of passio common trad officion.			
345	Agenda Item 16: Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional			
346	Changes			
347				

Mr. Glasspiegel provided update on Agenda Items 16(a) –16(g).
No Board or public comment was offered.

- a) 16 California Code of Regulations (CCR) 1396.8 Standards of Practice for Telehealth
- b) 16 CCR sections 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11, 1391.12, 1392.1 Psychological Assistants
- c) 16 CCR sections 1381.9, 1381.10, 1392 Retired License, Renewal of Expired License, Psychologist Fees
- d) 16 CCR sections 1381.9, 1397.60, 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67 Continuing Professional Development
- e) 16 CCR sections 1391.13, and 1391.14 Inactive Psychological Assistant Registration and Reactivating a Psychological Assistant Registration
- f) 16 CCR sections 1392 and 1392.1 Psychologist Fees and Psychological Assistant Fees
- g) 16 CCR 1395.2 Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance-Abusing Licensees

Agenda Item 17: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board Meetings

Mr. Foo introduced this item and asked for recommendations.

Dr. Casuga asked the Telepsychology Committee to research issues related to Telehealth regarding teletherapy and tele-assessment to clarify some questions as to what treatment modalities can be provided via phone.

Dr. Phillips stated that this request had not been placed on the agenda for the Telepsychology Committee because the topic may relate more to a "standard of care" issue, but that he would investigate the appropriateness of where the issue could be addressed.

Mr. Foo requested that Ms. Sorrick provide an update on the Health Care Professionals Educational Fund at a future Board meeting.

No public comment was offered.

CLOSED SESSION

President Foo went to closed session at 1:56 p.m.

18. The Board Will Meet in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126, subdivision (c)(3) to Discuss Disciplinary Matters Including Proposed Decisions, Stipulations, Petitions for Reinstatement or Modification of Penalty, Petitions for Reconsideration, and Remands.

893
894 ADJOURNMENT
895
896 The Board adjourned at 3:17 p.m.