

1 MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING

2 August 22, 2025

3  
4 DoubleTree by Hilton San Diego Bayside

5 4875 N. Harbor Drive

6 San Diego, CA 92106

7  
8 **Board Members Present**

9 Lea Tate, PsyD, President

10 Shacunda Rodgers, PhD, Vice President

11 Sheryll Casuga, PsyD, CMPC

12 Seyron Foo

13 Mary Harb Sheets, PhD

14 Julie Nystrom

15 Ana Rescate

16  
17 **Board Members Absent**

18 Marisela Cervantes, EdD, MPA

19  
20 **Board Staff**

21 Jonathan Burke, Executive Officer

22 Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager

23 Cynthia Whitney, Central Services Manager

24 Jacklyn Mancilla, Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Analyst

25 Troy Polk, Continuing Professional Development / Renewals Coordinator

26 Shelley Ganaway, Legal Counsel

27  
28  
29 Friday, August 22, 2025

30  
31 **Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum**

32 Dr. Tate called the meeting to order at 9:13 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice  
33 had been sent to all interested parties.

34  
35 **Agenda Item #2: President's Welcome**

36 a) Mindfulness Exercise

37  
38 Dr. Tate made opening comments and introduced Dr. Rodgers to lead a mindfulness  
39 exercise.

40  
41 Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

42  
43 No Board comment was offered.

44

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

**Agenda Item #3: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda**

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

Dr. Patricia Lindquist expressed concern about the time and financial impacts that may arise when a complaint is filed against a licensee.

No further public comment was offered.

**Agenda Item #4: Update, Presentation and Discussion on California Psychology Internship Council (CAPIC)**

Dr. Tate introduced Dr. Melodie Schaefer to present this item.

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

Mr. Foo commented that the Board's journal could include an item about the status of CAPIC's website, written by Dr. Schaefer.

Dr. Casuga expressed her gratitude, commenting that her time as a CAPIC trainee laid the groundwork for her entire career.

No further Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

**Agenda Item #5: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Board Meeting Minutes: May 9, 2025**

Dr. Tate introduced this item, starting on page 27 of the meeting materials

It was (M)Foo(S)Casuga(C) to approve the May 9, 2025, Board Meeting minutes with any technical, non-substantive amendments.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

Votes

7 ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 0 noes

## **Agenda Item #6: President's Report**

### a) Meeting Calendar

Dr. Tate provided the update on this item, found on page 44 of the meeting materials.

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

## **Agenda Item #7: Executive Officer's Report**

Mr. Burke provided the update on this item.

### a) Personnel Update

Mr. Burke welcomed Tram Phung to the Central Services Unit as the new Board Liaison. He commented on Board and staff vacancies.

### b) Outreach Activities

Mr. Burke commented that Mr. Polk, the board's Continuing Professional Development (CPD) and Renewals Coordinator, will attend the California Psychological Association's (CPA) annual convention in Long Beach, California in September, staffing a booth.

Mr. Burke commented that he himself will participate online in the annual Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) convention, taking place in St. Louis, Missouri.

### c) Communications with ASPPB and other jurisdictions regarding EPPP

Mr. Burke commented on his February conversation with his counterpart in Texas, and spoke about his discussion with the Executive Director of ASPPB in which he emphasized the content of the Board-approved position letter on the Examination for the Professional Practice in Psychology (EPPP).

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

134  
135 No public comment was offered.  
136

137 **Agenda Item #8: DCA Update**  
138

139 Mr. Burke provided the update on this item, commenting on the hybrid telework  
140 transition, travel updates, and the status of the annual report.  
141

142 Dr. Tate called for Board comment.  
143

144 No Board comment was offered.  
145

146 Dr. Tate called for public comment.  
147

148 Dr. Schaefer commented that at a past Board meeting, the DCA Update included  
149 information about how grant money was disbursed to support the practice of  
150 psychology, but that the presentation did not differentiate between monies granted to  
151 Educational Psychologists, who are licensed by Board of Behavioral Sciences (BBS), or  
152 granted to Psychologists, who are licensed by the Board of Psychology.  
153

154 Mr. Burke commented that for future updates, the awards given will be broken out by  
155 license type.  
156

157 **Agenda Item #9: Enforcement Report**  
158

159 Mr. Burke provided the update on this item, starting on page 45 of the meeting  
160 materials.  
161

162 Mr. Foo asked for clarification between which actions might result in administrative  
163 correction as opposed to those that might result in disciplinary actions.  
164

165 Mr. Burke explained that administrative remedies are appropriate, for example, when a  
166 licensee does not renew in a timely manner. He added that patterns of behavior  
167 showing more egregious lapses might result in discipline, up to and including surrender  
168 of the license.  
169

170 No further Board comment was offered.  
171

172 Dr. Tate called for public comment.  
173

174 No public comment was offered.  
175

176 **Agenda Item #10: Budget Report**  
177

Ms. Mancilla provided the update on this item, starting on page one of the hand-carry materials.

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

**Agenda Item #11: Licensure Committee Report and Discussion and Possible Action on Committee Recommendations**

Dr. Harb Sheets introduced Ms. Cheung to provide the update on this item, starting on page 60 of the meeting materials.

a) Licensing Report

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

b) Examination Report

Ms. Cheung provided the update on this item, starting on page 70 of the meeting materials.

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

Dr. Araceli Lopez-Arenas commented on the professional and financial challenges faced by some individuals who do not successfully pass the licensure examination after multiple attempts.

No further public comment was offered.

c) Continuing Professional Development and Renewals Report

Mr. Polk provided the update on this item, starting on page 72 of the meeting materials.

Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

d) EPPP Update

Dr. Harb Sheets introduced Ms. Cheung to provide the update on this item, starting on page 78 of the meeting materials.

Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.

Dr. Rodgers asked for a link to the town hall to be included in the Board's social media.

Mr. Burke confirmed that this information had been posted on social media, and that an email was also sent out.

Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.

Dr. Janet Farrell commented on the cost and portability advantages to California test-takers if the Board were to adopt the professional practice exam developed in Texas, as an alternative to the EPPP2.

Dr. Robert Teel said that he agreed with Dr. Farrell's comments, and added that the Board might hold off adopting the EPPP2 exam until the potential benefits of Texas' examination are better understood.

Dr. Arthur Horvath said that he concurred with the previous two speakers.

Dr. Araceli Lopez-Arenas said she agreed with the previous speakers, and added that there should be more investigation into the demographics of the people who do not successfully pass the examination, to understand the backgrounds that might indicate potential barriers to licensure.

Dr. Melodie Schaefer, Acting Chair of California Psychological Association (CPA) Division II, expressed serious concerns and reservations about California undertaking the development of an alternative examination to the EPPP2. She commented on the

potential impacts on license portability for California licensees, as well as the great costs that might be incurred and the tremendous resources that might be involved. She added that any such efforts were liable to ignite complaints and litigation, all while the necessity of such an examination had not been established.

Dr. Roche said she agreed with the previous speakers, and added that age should also be considered as a factor when developing the examination. She commented that the ability to memorize the information required to pass the examination decreases as one ages, so that a test-taker in their 50s could be at a disadvantage compared to a younger test-taker.

No further public comment was offered.

Mr. Foo requested that Mr. Burke speak with his Texas counterpart and report back at the next Board meeting regarding what he learns about the development of the Texas examination.

No further Board comment was offered.

e) Barriers to Telehealth Survey Follow-Up: Telehealth Best Practice – Reference Document

Ms. Cheung provided the update on this item, starting on page 90 of the meeting materials.

Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

f) Stakeholder Meeting Preparation

Dr. Harb Sheets introduced Ms. Cheung to provide the update on this item.

Ms. Cheung commented that, given the amount of time and preparation necessary to hold a stakeholders meeting, the next one is being proposed to take place during the second Licensure Committee meeting of 2026.

Dr. Harb Sheets commented that both Board of Behavioral Sciences and this Board are going through Sunset Review, and this necessitated postponing the stakeholders meeting until staff had the capacity to begin the planning stage.

310  
311 Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.  
312

313 Dr. Casuga commented that the stakeholder meeting planning is ongoing, and  
314 acknowledged the disruption to the process in light of the Board's Sunset Bill review.  
315

316 No Board comment was offered.  
317

318 Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.  
319

320 No public comment was offered.  
321

322 **Agenda Item #12: Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee Update**  
323

324 Dr. Casuga introduced Ms. Mancilla to provide the update on this item, starting on page  
325 92 of the meeting materials.  
326

327 a) Review of Bills, Discussion and Possible Action on Recommendations to the Board  
328 for Positions on Bills  
329

330 1) AB 82 (Ward) Health care: legally protected health care activity.  
331

332 Dr. Casuga called for a motion.  
333

334 It was (M)Foo(S)Rodgers(C) to adopt a support position on AB 82.  
335

336 Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.  
337

338 Dr. Rodgers commented on the protections available to providers as afforded by this  
339 bill.  
340

341 Dr. Casuga called for public comment.  
342

343 Tyler Rinde, of CPA thanked the Board for bringing this bill up and urged the Board to  
344 support it.  
345

346 No further Board or public comments were offered.  
347

348 Votes

349 6 ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 1 recusal (Nystrom), 0  
350 noes  
351

352 2) SB 402 (Valladares) Health care coverage: autism.  
353



It was (M)Foo(S)Tate(C) to adopt a support position on SB 402.

Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.

Dr. Harb Sheets asked whether this bill would place another category of licensure under the authority of the Board.

Dr. Casuga replied that this bill would not create new categories, but would provide oversight to licensees regarding autism-related services.

Dr. Casuga called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

Votes

6 ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 1 recusal (Nystrom), 0 noes

b) Bills with Active Positions Taken by the Board

This item was informational only, and no action was taken on the following bills.

1) SB 775 (Ashby) Board of Psychology and Board of Behavioral Sciences.

2) AB 489 (Bonta) Health care professions: deceptive terms or letters: artificial intelligence.

3) SB 470 (Laird) Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act: teleconferencing.

4) SB 641 (Ashby) Department of Consumer Affairs and Department of Real Estate: states of emergency: waivers and exemptions.

5) SB 579 (Padilla) Mental health and artificial intelligence working group.

c) Watch Bills

1) AB 81 (Ta): Veterans: mental health

2) AB 257 (Flora) Specialty care networks: telehealth and other virtual services

3) AB 277 (Alanis) Behavioral health centers, facilities, and programs: background checks

4) AB 346 (Nguyen) In-home support services: licensed healthcare professional certification

5) SB 518 (Weber Pierson) Descendants of enslaved persons: reparations

6) AB 742 (Elhawary) Licensing: applicants who are descendants of slaves

7) AB 479 (Tangipa) Criminal procedure: vacatur relief.

8) AB 985 (Ahrens) Anesthesiologists assistants

AB 985 no longer concerns the Board's jurisdiction, and will be removed from the Watch List.

9) AB 667 (Solache) Professions and vocations: license examinations: interpreters

Dr. Casuga called for Board comment on the preceding items.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Casuga called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

Dr. Tate asked Ms. Nystrom to offer a courtesy explanation as to why she occasionally recuses herself from the discussion on some Legislative items.

Ms. Nystrom commented that she works with the California State Senate, and that her work often includes staffing bills. To obviate any appearance of conflict, she recuses herself when appropriate.

### **Agenda Item #13: Discussion Regarding Legislative Items for Future Meetings**

Mr. Foo commented on consideration of a consent agenda for all related watch bills and bills with an active position as one agenda item, as long as the Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee put forth a unanimous position for the items under discussion.

Drs. Tate and Casuga were in favor of this approach.

No further Board comment was offered.

Dr. Casuga called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

**Agenda Item #14: Regulatory Update, Review, and Potential Consideration of Additional Changes**

Dr. Casuga introduced this item, starting on page 557 of the meeting materials.

a) 16 CCR section 1395.2 – Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance-Abusing Licensees

b) 16 CCR sections 1380.3, 1381, 1381.1, 1381.2, 1381.4, 1381.5, 1382, 1382.3, 1382.4, 1382.5, 1386, 1387, 1387.1, 1387.2, 1387.3, 1387.4, 1387.5, 1387.6, 1387.10, 1388, 1388.6, 1389, 1389.1, 1391, 1391.1, 1391.3, 1391.4, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.11, and 1391.12 – Pathways to Licensure

c) 16 CCR sections 1380.6, 1393, 1396, 1396.1, 1396.2, 1396.3, 1396.4, 1396.5, 1397, 1397.1, 1397.2, 1397.35, 1397.37, 1397.39, 1397.50, 1397.51, 1397.52, 1397.53, 1397.54, and 1397.55 - Enforcement Provisions

d) 16 CCR sections 1397.35, 1397.37, 1397.39, and 1937.40 – Corporations

e) 16 CCR sections 1381, 1387, 1387.10, 1388, 1388.6, 1389, and 1389.1 – Implementation of AB 282

f) 16 CCR sections 1390 – 1390.14 – Research Psychoanalyst Regulation

g) 16 CCR section 1396.8 – Standards of Practice for Telehealth Services

Dr. Casuga called for Board comment on the preceding items.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Casuga called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

**Agenda Item #15: Discussion and Possible Action of Proposed Changes to Language to the Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance-Abusing Licensees**

Ms. Mancilla provided the update on this item, starting on page 560 of the meeting materials. Additional comments submitted for the Board's consideration are found starting on page 6 of the Hand Carry meeting materials.

Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.

Dr. Harb Sheets expressed concern about three of the current guidelines, first that a five-year probationary period was an unreasonable standard, second that it does not make sense to impose a psychological evaluation for less egregious violations, and third that the guidelines do not account for the practical difficulties of providing a referral within the current thirty days called for in the guidelines.

Dr. Tate commented that she agreed with Dr. Harb Sheets on the standard five-year probationary period being too inflexible. She did differ on the question of the psychological evaluation, commenting that it was important to ascertain whether anyone who had already violated laws and regulations did so as a result of psychological reasons. Dr. Tate agreed with Dr. Harb Sheets that increasing the time allowed for referrals from thirty days to sixty days was reasonable.

Mr. Foo commented on the new guideline that caps probation tolling at two years, after which continued non-practice would be considered a violation of probation. He questioned whether a probationer should be able to remain on probation indefinitely while practicing free and clear in a different state, and whether this scenario was reasonable and fair.

Dr. Harb Sheets commented that it would be important to consider whether a violation occurred because of underlying pathology, and not simply because of an accidental oversight.

Dr. Rodgers questioned whether psychological evaluation as a term of probation should have been redesignated as a standard term, rather than as an optional one. She added that she would be in favor of restoring psychological evaluation to being an optional term or condition.

Dr. Casuga commented that treating psychological evaluation as an optional term, rather than standard, has been helpful in restricting this term to cases where it might really be called for, and without the risk of stigmatizing licensees for offenses that had nothing to do with underlying psychological issues.

Ms. Nystrom asked whether staff had data on how often psychological evaluation was imposed as a term of probation.

Mr. Foo commented that this term would only be waived if the Board voted to waive it. He added that this happened rarely.

It was (M)Tate(S)Foo(C) to approve the proposed regulatory text for §1395.2 and the form incorporated by reference with changes discussed at this meeting, to direct staff to submit the text to the Director of DCA and the Business Consumer Services and Housing Agency for review, and if no adverse comments are received, authorize the Executive Director to take all steps necessary to initiate the rulemaking process, to

make any non-substantive changes to the package, and set the matter for a hearing if requested, and if no adverse comments are received during the 45-day comment period and no hearing is requested, to authorize the Executive Officer to take all steps necessary to complete the rulemaking and adopt the proposed regulations at § 1395.2 and the form incorporated by reference.

Board discussion centered on those terms which should be carefully spelled out in the record, namely the status of psychological evaluation as a standard or optional term of probation, and also the intent and practical considerations of tolling probation.

Dr. Casuga called for public comment.

Dr. Arthur Horvath commented that the Uniform Standards should be used first and foremost for public protection, and said that the term 'substance-abusing' should be changed as it is outdated and introduces bias into the discussion of remediation. He added his support to the letter submitted by CPA.

Tyler Rinde, of CPA, commented that CPA would like to see the mandatory probation term remain flexible and not default to five years. He added that CPA would like to have psychological evaluation made an optional term, for use in appropriate cases, and left to the discretion of the Board. He stated that CPA would support keeping the current 90-day timeframe for referrals, rather than reducing it to thirty or sixty days. He requested that the entire spectrum of administrative actions from the website be incorporated by reference as well.

Dr. Melodie Schaefer commented that the Board's use of diagnostic testing or assessments as terms of probation might stigmatize the licensee, given how difficult it can be to identify any co-occurring disorders. She called for a more compassionate response to the possibility of addiction, and gave examples for prognoses based on the length of time a person is clean and sober.

Dr. Robert Teel spoke in support of CPA's letter, and commented that he preferred to see psychological evaluation be treated as an optional term, not standard.

The next commenter spoke in favor of providing and publishing definitions of minor, moderate, and major deviations from the standard of care in the guidelines. She said that this would minimize subjectivity and inconsistency in reaching judgments.

Dr. Patricia Lindquist commented that she wanted staff disciplinary decisions to be reviewed to ensure that the discipline imposed is in line with the severity of the infraction.

Dr. Janet Farrell spoke in support of CPA's recommendations.

No further public comment was offered.

Dr. Casuga called for further Board comment.

Mr. Foo commented that, in order to draft language from the discussion on tolling practices, it would be better if another Board Member joined him in the Enforcement Committee to craft this piece. Dr. Tate agreed to join the Enforcement Committee. The goal, Mr. Foo said, was to spend adequate time preparing this language carefully and having it ready to present to the Board at its next full meeting in November 2025.

Votes

0 ayes, 7 noes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate)

It was (M)Tate(S)Rodgers(C) to direct staff to make additional revisions to § 1395.2 and the document incorporated by reference as discussed today, and to bring the revised materials back to the Board for discussion and potential approval at a future meeting, including the psychological evaluation discussion, tolling, practice monitor timeframe, probation timeframe flexibility, and [the incorporation of the] spectrum of administrative actions.

Dr. Casuga called for public comment.

Dr. Bob Geffner suggested that only licensed members should participate in the Enforcement Committee, and said that the Board should be more diligent in gathering other viewpoints that may support or challenge the recommendations put forth by the Enforcement Committee.

Tyler Rinde, of CPA, expressed his appreciation for the Board's discussion on this item and for the new motion currently on the table.

No further public comment was offered.

Votes

7 ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 0 noes

#### **Agenda Item #16: Discussion and Possible Amendments to SB 775**

Mr. Burke provided the update on this item, starting on page 608 of the meeting materials.

It was (M)Foo(S)Casuga(C) to approve the proposed statutory language.

The amended language is as follows:

Agencies are required by law to have statutes authorizing the submission of fingerprints and the receipt of criminal history information for any applicant populations for which they intend to require fingerprint-based criminal history background checks as part of a licensing or registration process.

The Board of Psychology is currently fingerprinting *psychologists, psychological associates, psychological testing technicians, research psychoanalysts, and student research psychoanalysts*. However, the board is only authorized to submit fingerprints for *psychologists* and *psychological assistants*, and the approved authorizing statutes for those populations do not conform to the requirements of Public Law 92-544.

DOJ recommends establishing statutory authorities to fingerprint these applicant populations by the respective boards, both for the previously approved applicant populations with deficient authorizing statutes and for those applicant populations that have not been approved for fingerprinting.

**Add BPC 2960.01** (psychologists)

(a) The Board of Psychology shall require an applicant for licensure as a psychologist, as defined in Section 2902, to undergo a fingerprint-based state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to Section 144.

(b) The Board shall submit to the Department of Justice fingerprint images and related information for individuals specified in subdivision (a) who are subject to a state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to subdivision (u) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code. The Department of Justice shall provide a state and federal level response pursuant to subdivision (p) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code.

**Add BPC 2960.02** (psychological associates)

(a) The Board of Psychology shall require an applicant for registration as a psychological associate, as defined in Section 2913, to undergo a fingerprint-based state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to Section 144.

(b) The Board shall submit to the Department of Justice fingerprint images and related information for individuals specified in subdivision (a) who are subject to a state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to subdivision (u) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code. The Department of Justice shall provide a state and federal level response pursuant to subdivision (p) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code.

**Add BPC 2999.106** (psychological testing technicians)

(a) The Board of Psychology shall require an applicant for registration as a psychological testing technician, as defined in Section 2999.100, to undergo a fingerprint-based state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to Section 144.

(b) The Board shall submit to the Department of Justice fingerprint images and related information for individuals specified in subdivision (a) who are subject to a state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to subdivision (u) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code. The Department of Justice shall provide a state and federal level response pursuant to subdivision (p) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code.

**Add BPC 2953.1** (research psychoanalyst + student research psychoanalyst)

(a) The Board of Psychology shall require an applicant for registration as a research psychoanalyst and applicant for registration as a student research psychoanalyst, as defined in Section 2950, to undergo a fingerprint-based state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to Section 144.

(b) The Board shall submit to the Department of Justice fingerprint images and related information for individuals specified in subdivision (a) who are subject to a state and national criminal history background check, pursuant to subdivision (u) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code. The Department of Justice shall provide a state and federal level response pursuant to subdivision (p) of Section 11105 of the Penal Code.

[END OF SB 775 LANGUAGE]

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

Votes

6 ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 1 recusal (Nystrom), 0 noes

**Agenda Item #17: Discussion and Possible Action on Proposed Changes to ASPPB Bylaws**

Mr. Burke provided the update on this item, starting on page 612 of the meeting materials.

It was (M)Harb Sheets(S)Rodgers(C) that the Board review the Updated Bylaws, determine any desired amendments and delegate to the Executive Officer authority to vote at the ASPPB Annual Meeting.

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.



712  
713 Dr. Tate called for public comment.

714  
715 Dr. Araceli Lopez-Arenas asked for clarification on what ASPPB is.

716  
717 Mr. Burke described ASPPB's structure and function.

718  
719 No further public comment was offered.

720  
721 Votes

722 7 ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rescate, Rodgers, Tate), 0 noes

723  
724 **Agenda Item #18: Discussion and Possible Action on the Development of the**  
725 **Integrated Examination for the Professional Practice in Psychology**

726  
727 This item was not taken up.

728  
729 **Agenda Item #19: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board Meetings**

730  
731 Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

732  
733 Mr. Foo asked that Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) be included at  
734 a future meeting to help guide the discussion regarding the EPPP Integrated Exam. He  
735 added that the next Sacramento Board meeting would take place in February, and since  
736 this is where the Board and OPES are located, this would be the budget-conscious  
737 accommodation.

738  
739 Dr. Casuga requested that Dr. Rodgers lead a mindfulness exercise at future meetings.  
740 She also suggested revisiting PSY-PACT in light of changes to the acceptance of non-  
741 APA regionally accredited schools.

742  
743 Dr. Tate called for public comment.

744  
745 Dr. Denise Grundland commented that the conversation about tolling probation should  
746 consider how the proposed changes might impact a probationer who is on maternity  
747 leave.

748  
749 Dr. Araceli Lopez-Arenas asked the Board to consider pausing the 72-month limit for  
750 psychological associates who retake the examination(s) multiple times.

751  
752 No further public comment was offered.

753  
754 **Agenda Item #20: Closed Session**

756 The Board did not convene closed session.

757

758 **CPD Credit for Meeting Attendance**

759

760 Mr. Polk commented that attendance at the meeting today provided 6 hours of CPD  
761 credit under Category 1.

762

763 **ADJOURNMENT**

764

765 The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

766